


Studies in Education Policy

FRASER
INSTITUTE

May 2011

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas



May 2011

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas

Contents

<i>Introduction</i>	3
<i>Key academic indicators of school performance</i>	5
<i>Other indicators of school performance</i>	8
<i>Notes</i>	10
<i>Detailed school reports</i>	11
<i>Ranking the schools</i>	61
<i>Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10</i>	68
<i>About the authors</i>	70
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	72

Introduction

The *Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011* (hereafter, *Report Card*) collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one, easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their schools.

The Report Card helps parents choose

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, it alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they visit schools under consideration and speak with the staff.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of a single source of information. Web sites maintained by Ontario's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO),¹ the provincial ministry of education, and local school boards may also provide useful information.² Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school provide another point of view.

Naturally, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed

picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

The Report Card facilitates school improvement

The act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention and this can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than just incentive. It includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that may be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to their students' academic success accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

Some schools do better than others

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card*, like other report cards from the Fraser Institute, provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that even when we take into account factors such as the students' family background—which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students can enjoy in school—some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.³ Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents

and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make a greater difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools or schools having similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card*'s indicators, ratings, and rankings.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact Michael Thomas at 416.363.6575.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. We base our *Overall rating out of 10* on the school's performance on six indicators, all of which are derived from province-wide tests of literacy and mathematics skills that are administered by the province's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO).⁴ They are:

- (1) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics;
- (2) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in applied mathematics;
- (3) the percentage of these grade-9 EQAO assessments in mathematics that did not meet the provincial standard;
- (4) the percentage of Ontario Secondary School Literacy Tests (OSSLT) that were not successfully completed;
- (5) the difference between male and female students in their average levels of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics; and,
- (6) the difference between male and female students attempting the OSSLT for the first time in their rate of successful completion of the test.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide useful insight into a school's performance. As they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in any given year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Indicators of effective teaching

Average results on grade-9 mathematics tests

Fundamental to the mission of secondary schools is ensuring that students are equipped with sound skills in literacy and mathematics. Differences among students in abilities, motivation, and work habits will inevitably have an impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on both of these tests. There is also variation within schools in the average results obtained on these tests. Such differences in outcomes cannot be explained simply by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. We believe that teaching makes a difference to student outcomes and it therefore seems reasonable to include the average levels of achievement in these critical subject areas as indicators of effective teaching.

The indicators in mathematics—in the tables, *Average level Gr 9 Math (Acad)* and *Average level Gr 9 Math (Apld)*—show the average level of proficiency achieved by the school's students on the uniform assessments by the EQAO at the grade-9 level. Generally, each grade-9 student will write only one of the two tests, depending on the mathematics program—academic or applied—in which he or she is enrolled.

The EQAO converts the raw score on each test into a level of achievement from 1 to 4. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are prepared for work at the next grade.

In order to calculate the average level achieved by the students at a school on each test, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. A value of 0 was given in those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1.

Percentage of OSSLTs successfully completed

In most cases, students must pass the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT) in order to graduate. It is first written in grade 10. Students who do not pass the test in grade 10 may write the test again in subsequent school years. The OSSLT indicators report the success rate on the OSSLT by students who have not previously attempted the test—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)*-FTE—and students who have unsuccessfully attempted the test in the past—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)*-PE.

Since results on the OSSLT are expressed by the EQAO as simply successful or unsuccessful, the indicators of success rate are not used in the calculation of the *Overall rating*. Instead, the full weight assigned to the OSSLT results is given to the OSSLT fail rate described below.

Percentage of grade-9 mathematics and OSSLT tests below standard

Presented in the tables as *Tests below standard (%)*, this indicator combines the results of all the OSSLT and grade-9 mathematics tests written by the students at the school. However, for the purposes of the calculation of the *Overall rating*, the percentage of tests below standard for these two test series are calculated separately.

For each school, this indicator reports the combined rate of failure on the grade-9 math tests and the OSSLT. It was derived by dividing the total number of all the above tests that provided enough information to enable the calculation of a score but did not meet the provincial standard by the total number of such tests written by the students at the school.

Since literacy and mathematical skills are critical to students' further intellectual and personal development, students should, at the minimum, demonstrate that they meet the accepted standard of performance for their grade in these subject areas. Schools have the responsibility of ensuring that their students are adequately prepared to do so.

How well do the teachers take student differences into account? The *Gender gap* indicators

The *Gender gap* indicators—in the tables *Gender gap (level) Math* and *Gender gap (% passed)-OSSLT*—use the result in grade-9 academic mathematics and the OSSLT result for “first-time-eligible” (FTE) students to determine how successful the school has been in narrowing the achievement gap between male and female students in literacy and mathematics.⁵ These indicators are determined, for each subject area, by calculating the absolute value of the difference between male and female students in their average level of achievement (in mathematics) or success rate (in the OSSLT). The more successful sex is reported along with the difference in the detailed tables.

Undoubtedly, some personal and family characteristics, left unmitigated, can have a deleterious effect on a student's academic development. The *Report Cards* provide evidence that successful teachers overcome such impediments. By comparing the results of male and female students in two skills areas—literacy and mathematics—in which one group or the other has enjoyed a historical advantage, we are able to gauge the extent to which schools provide effective teaching to all of their students.

In general, how is the school doing, academically? The *Overall rating* out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that any school does better on

some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance—in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*. Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to the other schools in the Report Card?"

To derive this rating, the results for each of the six indicators, for each school year, were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets

of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values sharing certain statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared. The standardized data were then weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined.

For schools in which there were fewer than 15 test results for boys or for girls, no values for the *Gender gap* indicators can be provided. In these cases the *Overall rating out of 10* is derived using the remaining indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Other indicators of school performance

The *Report Card* includes other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about the school's effectiveness.

The *Tests not written* indicator

Schools that administer the assessments provided by the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) are expected to ensure that all their students write the tests. Higher participation rates provide the benefit of objective assessment of learning to more students and parents. They also provide a more accurate reflection of the level of achievement at the school. A reader can have more confidence that the test results are a true reflection of the school's average achievement level if all, or almost all, of its students write the tests.

The participation rate indicator—in the tables *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*—was determined by first summing, for both of the grade-9 math tests, the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing. This result was then divided by the total number of these tests that could have been completed had all students fully participated.

The principal of a school at which a relatively large percentage of students did not complete the tests should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to do so and a well-developed plan to increase participation in future test sittings.

As the OSSLT is a compulsory component of Ontario's graduation program and must be successfully completed by all students prior to graduation, it is unnecessary to consider it in the calculation of this indicator.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? The *Report Card* provides five years of data for most schools. Unlike a snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time. To detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trends* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has likely been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points are available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where the trend is statistically significant. For this indicator, we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the trend that is noted is real; that is, it did not happen just by chance.

The socioeconomic indicator

When they design their lesson plans and deliver the curriculum, educators can and should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students. By doing so, educators can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are successful despite adverse conditions faced by their students at home. Similarly, it identifies schools where students with a relatively positive home

situation appear not to be reaching their presumed potential.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using enrolment data from the Ministry of Education sorted by postal code and 2006 census data⁶ provided by Statistics Canada, we determined the average level of parental income from wages and salaries and from self-employment. We then used regression analysis to determine the relationship between parental income and variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. The analysis determined that, on average, when a school had more parents with higher incomes, the *Overall rating* at the school was likely to be higher.

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the *Overall rating* for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables *Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.*) between the actual *Overall rating* and this predicted value in each school's results table.

For example, during the 2009/2010 school year, North Park Secondary School, a public secondary school in Brampton, achieved an *Overall rating* of 6.9 and yet, when the parental income of the student body was taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 5.9. The difference of 1.0 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual *Overall rating* of Heart Lake Secondary School, another Brampton public secondary school, was 5.0, although its predicted rating was 6.1. The reported difference for Heart Lake is -1.1. This measurement suggests that North Park is more successful

than Heart Lake in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the effect of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, less than 25% of the variation among Ontario secondary schools in the overall rating is associated with the level of parental employment income. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, the statistical measures used describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. These relationships may not remain static. The more effectively the school enables all of its students to succeed, the weaker will be the relationship between the home characteristics of its students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for poor school performance. The effective school will produce good results, regardless of the family background of its students.

The student characteristics indicators

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs or who have certain identified special needs. As was noted in the Introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These two indicators, along with the average parental employment income, can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

Notes

- 1 The Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) is an arm's-length agency of the provincial government. It provides parents, teachers, and the public with information about student achievement. For more information, see the EQAO's web site at <<http://www.eqao.com>>.
- 2 See, for instance, the EQAO's web site at <<http://www.eqao.com>>, the Ministry of Education's web site at <<http://www.edu.gov.on.ca>>, or the web site of the Toronto Catholic District School Board at <<http://www.tcdsb.org>>.
- 3 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).
- 4 The EQAO's test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.
- 5 For a discussion of gender-based differentials in academic achievement, see Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 6 A Dissemination Area (DA) is a small geographic area comprising one or more neighbouring blocks, and having a population of 400 to 700 persons. The Dissemination Area is the smallest standard geographic area for which all census data are disseminated. All of Canada is divided into Dissemination Areas.

Detailed school reports

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their children should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. The complete *Report Card* can be downloaded free of charge from the Fraser Institute's web site at <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>>. More information regarding test results at individual schools can be found on the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) web site at <<http://www.eqao.com>> and on the web sites of local school districts and schools. Of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

Important note

Private schools are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the *Report Card* is based, in part, on results achieved on these tests, only those few private schools that chose to administer the EQAO tests could be included.

IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

A — GEOGRAPHIC AREA						
B — School name [Affiliation] City					OSSLT count: 421	
C — ESL (%): 1.7					Special needs (%): 15.2	
D — Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,400: -1.7	2009-10	2010	2009-10	2010	Rank:	2009-10
	530/727	426/693				
E — Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
E — Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	—
F — Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.2	—
G — OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	93.6	87.4	88.4	83.1	83.3	▼
H — OSSLT passed (%)—PE	73.6	41.8	52.5	65.6	n/a	n/a
I — Tests below standard (%)	24.5	31.1	25.8	27.4	27.6	▼
J — Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
K — Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	F 11.0	F 5.0	F 9.1	F 12.9	—
L — Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	2.5	1.2	1.6	1.0	—
M — Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.8	6.3	5.7	5.2	—

A — The schools are grouped into geographical areas. To find a school's results, find its location in the "List of cities and geographical areas" and note its geographical area. Find the geographical area in the "Index of geographical areas" and note the page on which its results begin. Within each geographical area, the schools are listed in alphabetical order.

B (left) — The school name, its affiliation—public, Catholic, or private—and the city in which the school is located.

B (right) — The number of students at the school who were eligible to write the OSSLT.

C — These statistics report the percentage of the

students at the school, who are eligible to write the OSSLT, who are enrolled in ESL programs, and the percentage who have an identified special need. Use this statistic to identify schools at which the students share some personal characteristics.

D (left) — This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average parental employment income in each school's family. A positive difference suggests that the school is effective in enabling its students to succeed regardless of their family's characteristics.

D (right) — The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2009/2010 and for the most recent five years. The rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the report card. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

E and F — The average level achieved by the students on the grade-9 academic (Acad) and applied (Apld) mathematics tests. The EQAO assigns a level of achievement to each completed test. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Thus, achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are well prepared for work at the next grade. In order to calculate the average level, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. In those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1, the test was given a value of 0.

G & H — The percentage of eligible OSSLT writers who successfully completed the test, either on their first attempt (FTE) or on a subsequent attempt (PE).

I — The percentage of all the completed tests written by students at the school that were judged

to be either unsuccessful (OSSLT) or below Level 3 (grade-9 math tests). A low percentage of *Tests below standard (%)* indicates that the school is successful in ensuring that most of its students are meeting or exceeding the provincial standard of performance.

J and K — The difference (in average level of achievement) between girls and boys in the grade-9 academic mathematics test and the OSSLT (FTE students). Where the difference favours the girls, the value is preceded by an F. Where boys are favoured, the value is preceded by an M. An E means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Smaller differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

L — The percentage of the grade-9 mathematics tests that could have been completed by the school's students but which were not assigned an overall score. The percentage, *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*, takes into account the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing.

Important note: Schools that administer these tests are expected to ensure that all their students participate. For this reason, you should take note of the *Tests not written* percentage when you consider each school's results in the *Report Card*. The principal of a school with a high *Tests not written* percentage should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to complete the tests.

M — The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the indicators described in E through K above to answer the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the report card?"

Important note: The *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more rapidly than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

N — An upward-pointing arrow at the end of an indicator row means that the school is probably improving on that indicator. A downward-pointing arrow means that the school is probably getting worse. The researchers had to be at least 90% sure that the changes were not just random before indicating a trend. A dash indicates that there is no significant change. Where insufficient data were available, “n/a” appears in the column. Note that for the two *Gender gap* indicators and *Tests below standard* a downward trend in the data will lead to an upward-pointing arrow in the trend column. For example, a decreasing *Tests below standard (%)* indicates improvement and so an upward-pointing arrow is displayed.

Other notes

Note 1

Not all the province’s secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2009/2010, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO mathematics test and at least 15 “first-time-eligible” (FTE) or “previously eligible” (PE) writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools and federally funded schools operated by First Nations are not required to administer the grade-9 mathematics tests. Since the results of these tests form the basis for this *Report Card*, only those schools that administer them could be included. Finally, also excluded are schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*.

The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* is not a judgement of the school’s effectiveness.

Note 2

The EQAO’s test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry

of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.

Note 3

In accordance with its regulations regarding the privacy of personal information, where the results in a test involved fewer than 15 students, the EQAO provided no data.

Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, “n/a” appears in the tables.

Note 5

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, contact Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

Note 6

You can compare a school’s results with these all-schools average results in the table below.

Average values for all schools 2009/2010						OSSLT count: 275
ESL (%): 5.3						Special Needs (%): 21.2
Parents' avg income: \$ 74,300						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	81.6	81.5	81.3	83.0	82.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	57.4	53.3	54.5	57.4	54.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	30.1	29.6	28.3	26.9	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	—
Gender gap (% passed)-OSSLT*	7.8	7.7	8.1	6.6	7.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	3.5	2.7	2.5	2.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.0	n/a

* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps in 2009/2010. The Gr 10 OSSLT FTE gender gap favoured females at 89.3% of schools, males at 10.2% of schools, and was even at 0.5% schools. The Gr 9 Math gender gap favoured males at 45.4% of schools, females at 20.9% of schools, and was even at 33.7% of schools.

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Acton	Halton Area	Courtice	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Ajax	Durham Area	Deep River	Renfrew County Area
Alexandria	Upper Canada Area	Delhi	Grand Erie Area
Alliston	Simcoe County Area	Desbarats	Algoma Area
Almonte	Upper Canada Area	Dorchester	Thames Valley Area
Amherstburg	Greater Essex Area	Douglas	Renfrew County Area
Ancaster	Hamilton-Wentworth Area	Dresden	Lambton Kent Area
Arnprior	Renfrew County Area	Dryden	Kenora Area
Arva	Thames Valley Area	Dundas	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Athens	Upper Canada Area	Dunnville	Grand Erie Area
Atikokan	Kenora Area	Dunrobin	Ottawa
Aurora	York Area	Elgin	Upper Canada Area
Avonmore	Upper Canada Area	Elliot Lake	Algoma Area
Aylmer	Thames Valley Area	Elmira	Waterloo Area
Baden	Waterloo Area	Elmvale	Simcoe County Area
Bancroft	Hastings-Limestone Area	Embrun	Upper Canada Area
Barrie	Barrie	Englehart	Ontario North East Area
Barry's Bay	Renfrew County Area	Erin	Upper Grand Area
Beamsville	Niagara Area	Espanola	Rainbow Area
Belle River	Greater Essex Area	Essex	Greater Essex Area
Belleville	Hastings-Limestone Area	Exeter	Avon Maitland Area
Blackstock	Durham Area	Fenelon Falls	Trillium Lakelands Area
Blenheim	Lambton Kent Area	Fergus	Upper Grand Area
Blind River	Algoma Area	Flesherton	Bluewater Area
Bolton	Peel Area	Fonthill	Niagara Area
Bowmanville	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Forest	Lambton Kent Area
Bracebridge	Trillium Lakelands Area	Fort Erie	Niagara Area
Bradford	Simcoe County Area	Fort Frances	Kenora Area
Brampton	Peel Area	Gananoque	Upper Canada Area
Brantford	Brantford	Georgetown	Halton Area
Brighton	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Geraldton	Superior-Greenstone Area
Brockville	Upper Canada Area	Glencoe	Thames Valley Area
Burlington	Halton Area	Gloucester	Ottawa
Caledon	Peel Area	Goderich	Avon Maitland Area
Caledon East	Peel Area	Gravenhurst	Trillium Lakelands Area
Caledonia	Grand Erie Area	Grimsby	Niagara Area
Cambridge	Waterloo Area	Guelph	Upper Grand Area
Campbellford	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Hagersville	Grand Erie Area
Cannington	Durham Area	Haliburton	Trillium Lakelands Area
Carleton Place	Upper Canada Area	Hamilton	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Casselman	Upper Canada Area	Hammond	Upper Canada Area
Cayuga	Grand Erie Area	Hanmer	Rainbow Area
Chapleau	Algoma Area	Hanover	Bluewater Area
Chatham	Lambton Kent Area	Harrow	Greater Essex Area
Chelmsford	Rainbow Area	Hawkesbury	Upper Canada Area
Chesley	Bluewater Area	Hearst	Ontario North East Area
Chesterville	Upper Canada Area	Huntsville	Trillium Lakelands Area
Clinton	Avon Maitland Area	Ingersoll	Thames Valley Area
Cloyne	Hastings-Limestone Area	Ingleside	Upper Canada Area
Cobourg	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Innisfil	Simcoe County Area
Cochrane	Ontario North East Area	Iroquois	Upper Canada Area
Collingwood	Simcoe County Area	Iroquois Falls	Ontario North East Area
Cornwall	Upper Canada Area	Kanata	Ottawa

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Kapuskasing	Ontario North East Area	Palmerston	Upper Grand Area
Kemptville	Upper Canada Area	Paris	Grand Erie Area
Kenora	Kenora Area	Parkhill	Thames Valley Area
Keswick	York Area	Parry Sound	Near North Area
Kincardine	Bluewater Area	Pembroke	Renfrew County Area
King City	York Area	Penetanguishene	Simcoe County Area
Kingston	Kingston	Perth	Upper Canada Area
Kingsville	Greater Essex Area	Petawawa	Renfrew County Area
Kirkland Lake	Ontario North East Area	Peterborough	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Kitchener	Kitchener	Petrolia	Lambton Kent Area
Lakefield	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Pickering	Durham Area
Langton	Grand Erie Area	Picton	Hastings-Limestone Area
LaSalle	Greater Essex Area	Plantagenet	Upper Canada Area
Leamington	Greater Essex Area	Port Colborne	Niagara Area
Lindsay	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Port Dover	Grand Erie Area
Lion's Head	Bluewater Area	Port Elgin	Bluewater Area
Listowel	Avon Maitland Area	Port Hope	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Lively	Rainbow Area	Port Perry	Durham Area
London	London	Prescott	Upper Canada Area
Madoc	Hastings-Limestone Area	Rainy River	Kenora Area
Manitouwadge	Superior-Greenstone Area	Red Lake	Kenora Area
Manotick	Ottawa	Red Rock	Lakehead Area
Maple	York Area	Renfrew	Renfrew County Area
Marathon	Superior-Greenstone Area	Richmond	Ottawa
Markham	York Area	Richmond Hill	York Area
M'Chigeeng	Rainbow Area	Ridgetown	Lambton Kent Area
Meaford	Bluewater Area	Ridgeway	Niagara Area
Metcalfe	Ottawa	Rockland	Upper Canada Area
Midland	Simcoe County Area	Russell	Upper Canada Area
Milton	Halton Area	Sarnia	Lambton Kent Area
Mississauga	Mississauga	Sault Ste. Marie	Algoma Area
Mitchell	Avon Maitland Area	Sharbot Lake	Hastings-Limestone Area
Moosonee	Ontario North East Area	Shelburne	Upper Grand Area
Mount Forest	Upper Grand Area	Simcoe	Grand Erie Area
Napanee	Hastings-Limestone Area	Sioux Lookout	Kenora Area
Nepean	Ottawa	Smiths Falls	Upper Canada Area
New Liskeard	Ontario North East Area	Smithville	Niagara Area
Newcastle	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	South Porcupine	Ontario North East Area
Newmarket	York Area	South River	Near North Area
Niagara Falls	Niagara Area	St Catharines	St Catharines
Niagara-on-the-Lake	Niagara Area	St Marys	Avon Maitland Area
North Bay	Near North Area	St Thomas	Thames Valley Area
Norwich	Thames Valley Area	Stayner	Simcoe County Area
Norwood	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Stittsville	Ottawa
Oakville	Halton Area	Stoney Creek	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Odessa	Hastings-Limestone Area	Stouffville	York Area
Orangeville	Upper Grand Area	Stratford	Avon Maitland Area
Orillia	Simcoe County Area	Strathroy	Thames Valley Area
Orléans	Ottawa	Sturgeon Falls	Near North Area
Oshawa	Durham Area	Sudbury	Rainbow Area
Ottawa	Ottawa	Sutton West	York Area
Owen Sound	Bluewater Area	Sydenham	Hastings-Limestone Area
Pain Court	Lambton Kent Area	Tecumseh	Greater Essex Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Terrace Bay	Superior-Greenstone Area	Wallaceburg	Lambton Kent Area
Thornhill	York Area	Waterdown	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Thorold	Niagara Area	Waterford	Grand Erie Area
Thunder Bay	Lakehead Area	Waterloo	Waterloo Area
Tilbury	Lambton Kent Area	Wawa	Algoma Area
Timmins	Ontario North East Area	Welland	Niagara Area
Toronto	Toronto	West Lorne	Thames Valley Area
Tottenham	Simcoe County Area	Whitby	Durham Area
Trenton	Hastings-Limestone Area	Wiarton	Bluewater Area
Unionville	York Area	Williamstown	Upper Canada Area
Uxbridge	Durham Area	Windsor	Greater Essex Area
Val Caron	Rainbow Area	Wingham	Avon Maitland Area
Vankleek Hill	Upper Canada Area	Woodbridge	York Area
Walkerton	Bluewater Area	Woodstock	Thames Valley Area

Index of geographical areas

Geographical area	Page
Algoma Area	52
Avon Maitland Area	33
Barrie	52
Bluewater Area	53
Brantford	33
Durham Area	18
Grand Erie Area	34
Greater Essex Area	34
Halton Area	19
Hamilton-Wentworth Area	36
Hastings-Limestone Area	45
Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	54
Kenora Area	55
Kingston	46
Kitchener	37
Lakehead Area	55
Lambton Kent Area	37
London	38

Geographical area	Page
Mississauga	21
Near North Area	56
Niagara Area	39
Ontario North East Area	56
Ottawa	46
Peel Area	22
Rainbow Area	57
Renfrew County Area	49
Simcoe County Area	58
St Catharines	41
Superior-Greenstone Area	59
Thames Valley Area	41
Toronto	24
Trillium Lakelands Area	60
Upper Canada Area	49
Upper Grand Area	42
Waterloo Area	43
York Area	30

Greater Toronto area

DURHAM AREA

Ajax [Public] Ajax		OSSLT count: 405							
ESL (%): 5.4		Special needs (%): 21.0				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500:-2.2		Rank: 633/727 479/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.4	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.7	84.5	78.7	83.9	81.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.0	72.4	62.9	52.6	51.1	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	31.1	32.7	30.8	39.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 2.5	F 16.5	F 8.1	F 2.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	2.7	1.6	0.6	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.4	5.3	5.8	4.1	—			

Cartwright [Public] Blackstock		OSSLT count: 23							
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.0				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,900:-2.4		Rank: 641/727 265/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.2	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	100.0	96.8	95.5	100.0	100.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	18.6	8.8	3.2	30.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	E	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	7.1	9.0	9.1	4.0	—			

Father Leo J Austin [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 357							
ESL (%): 1.4		Special needs (%): 17.6				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,000: 0.0		Rank: 225/727 365/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.7	1.9	2.3	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.5	87.9	83.2	91.2	88.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.5	45.5	51.5	48.9	40.7	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	33.3	31.3	18.7	18.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 2.0	F 18.2	F 9.5	F 9.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.9	2.3	0.7	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.6	5.5	7.2	7.0	—			

All Saints [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 438							
ESL (%): 1.6		Special needs (%): 17.1				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,400:-1.0		Rank: 445/727 343/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.3	87.3	89.8	89.1	87.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.4	69.7	66.0	60.5	61.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	27.6	21.5	24.8	25.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 16.9	F 6.7	F 9.4	F 6.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.6	1.4	0.8	1.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.1	7.2	6.2	5.7	—			

Donald A. Wilson [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 296							
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 17.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,000:-0.4		Rank: 351/727 194/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.9	93.0	84.7	90.4	89.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	89.3	65.2	78.3	77.1	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	20.8	25.9	16.7	22.0	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 5.4	F 6.4	F 3.5	F 5.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.7	6.4	7.5	6.3	—			

G L Roberts [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 277							
ESL (%): 1.4		Special needs (%): 27.8				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,700:-0.4		Rank: 595/727 606/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.0	68.7	66.0	64.0	74.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	55.3	57.4	37.5	60.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	41.6	44.1	41.8	48.0	41.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.3	F 18.4	F 28.2	F 8.0	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.8	4.2	2.3	0.0	3.2	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.7	4.2	3.8	4.6	—			

Anderson [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 217							
ESL (%): 2.3		Special needs (%): 18.4				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,000:-0.3		Rank: 309/727 246/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.2	77.0	86.4	82.7	81.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	91.1	50.0	65.8	46.9	35.5	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	36.4	27.0	26.6	28.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 20.2	F 3.2	F 8.2	F 8.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	3.9	0.0	0.9	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.0	6.4	6.0	5.3	—			

Dunbarton [Public] Pickering		OSSLT count: 421							
ESL (%): 1.7		Special needs (%): 32.3				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,000:-2.5		Rank: 530/727 426/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.6	87.4	88.4	83.1	83.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.6	41.8	52.5	65.6	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	31.1	25.8</td						

Monsignor John Pereyma [Catholic] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 213				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 24.4	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,700: -1.6	Rank: 648/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,700: -1.6	Rank: 588/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.1	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	79.1	81.9	82.7	84.7	75.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	54.8	57.6	61.9	66.7	56.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	36.2	43.5	32.8	34.6	40.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.6	E	M 0.2	M 0.5	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 10.1	F 5.2	F 2.0	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.0	3.2	0.7	1.3	3.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	4.7	5.2	5.1	3.9	—

Pine Ridge [Public] Pickering		OSSLT count: 505				
ESL (%): 7.5	Special needs (%): 22.2	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -1.5	Rank: 587/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -1.5	Rank: 570/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.1	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	81.4	80.5	79.9	75.4	78.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	49.5	46.0	50.6	52.8	40.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	36.8	35.3	35.6	34.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 13.5	F 1.5	F 8.3	F 7.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	3.8	0.2	0.5	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.9	5.4	4.9	4.7	—

Uxbridge [Public] Uxbridge		OSSLT count: 418				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 18.2	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,000: 0.1	Rank: 225/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,000: 0.1	Rank: 177/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	94.8	90.9	94.4	89.7	91.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.1	68.4	58.3	76.2	87.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.2	22.1	24.1	16.8	17.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.8	F 6.1	F 3.4	F 12.1	F 12.5	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	2.5	0.3	0.5	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.2	6.8	7.2	7.0	—

Monsignor Paul Dwyer [Catholic] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 331				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 19.0	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: -1.8	Rank: 612/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: -1.8	Rank: 493/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.1	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	89.8	85.1	83.9	85.8	82.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	57.9	36.6	62.5	66.0	40.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.9	35.4	31.9	25.9	35.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	F 10.1	F 5.2	F 0.4	F 2.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	5.6	4.5	1.4	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.4	5.6	6.5	4.4	—

Port Perry [Public] Port Perry		OSSLT count: 344				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 19.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,900: 1.0	Rank: 181/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,900: 1.0	Rank: 281/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	87.3	87.8	85.0	80.4	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	67.7	58.1	71.0	60.0	61.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	29.3	25.8	24.3	16.9	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 4.7	F 7.1	F 6.1	F 9.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.7	1.0	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.5	6.8	6.7	7.2	▲

Abby Park [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 342				
ESL (%): 3.2	Special needs (%): 9.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$155,700: -0.5	Rank: 34/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$155,700: -0.5	Rank: 16/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	94.7	95.9	95.1	95.9	93.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	76.2	100.0	90.3	90.6	75.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	12.5	10.1	9.7	9.8	9.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 3.7	F 7.6	F 3.7	F 7.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.9	9.0	8.4	8.2	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ajax		OSSLT count: 501				
ESL (%): 4.4	Special needs (%): 20.2	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500: -1.3	Rank: 557/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500: -1.3	Rank: 418/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	83.7	85.2	84.7	86.3	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	50.9	58.6	60.7	66.7	66.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	34.1	25.8	26.3	27.5	32.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 0.3	F 19.3	F 0.1	F 9.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.1	2.6	1.8	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	7.2	6.3	6.3	5.0	—

R S McLaughlin [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 266				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 13.2	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: 0.2	Rank: 507/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: 0.2	Rank: 450/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.1	1.6	1.8	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.0	90.5	85.1	89.5	86.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	69.7	56.0	53.6	40.0	75.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	27.7	35.1	30.7	24.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 8.3	F 6.2	F 2.8	F 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.8	2.9	0.4	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.7	5.0	5.5	6.2	—

Aldershot [Public] Burlington		OSSLT count: 109				
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 13.8	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: -0.1	Rank: 181/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: -0.1	Rank: 51/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	96.2	95.0	77.0	93.2	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	11.7	9.0	20.5	11.7	15.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.1	F 4.0	M 12.5	F 10.0	M 10.6	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	1.7	1.6	3.4	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	9.2	7.3	8.1	7.2	—

Oshawa Central [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 74	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 18.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years</th

Burlington Central [Public] Burlington										OSSLT count: 177			
ESL (%): 10.7					Special needs (%): 18.1								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: 1.5					Rank: 63/727 148/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	89.5	87.6	92.8	93.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	95.8	93.8	92.0	94.2	95.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	64.3	59.0	67.9	70.0	72.4	▲	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	66.7	72.7	81.8	75.8	76.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	18.7	25.2	22.9	15.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	15.3	12.3	12.6	10.2	8.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.5	F 10.1	F 2.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 5.4	F 6.6	F 0.8	F 1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	4.2	0.6	0.7	0.7	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.3	1.3	0.3	1.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	7.0	6.7	7.9	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.6	▲

Iroquois Ridge [Public] Oakville										OSSLT count: 391			
ESL (%): 1.5					Special needs (%): 9.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$125,700: 0.9					Rank: 16/727 22/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	89.5	87.6	92.8	93.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	95.8	93.8	92.0	94.2	95.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	64.3	59.0	67.9	70.0	72.4	▲	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	66.7	72.7	81.8	75.8	76.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	18.7	25.2	22.9	15.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	15.3	12.3	12.6	10.2	8.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.5	F 10.1	F 2.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 5.4	F 6.6	F 0.8	F 1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	4.2	0.6	0.7	0.7	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.3	1.3	0.3	1.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	7.0	6.7	7.9	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.6	▲

Oakville Trafalgar [Public] Oakville										OSSLT count: 326			
ESL (%): 0.3					Special needs (%): 9.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$232,000: -2.1					Rank: 4/727 10/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	89.5	87.6	92.8	93.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	97.1	97.5	96.6	97.7	97.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	64.3	59.0	67.9	70.0	72.4	▲	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	88.9	n/a	n/a	91.7	87.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	18.7	25.2	22.9	15.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	12.8	10.4	11.8	6.1	3.7	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.5	F 10.1	F 2.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 5.4	F 6.6	F 1.3	F 1.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	4.2	0.6	0.7	0.7	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	7.0	6.7	7.9	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.6	8.5	8.4	8.6	—

Christ the King [Catholic] Georgetown										OSSLT count: 418			
ESL (%): 1.4					Special needs (%): 18.7								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,600: 0.0					Rank: 79/727 90/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	89.7	88.0	92.1	95.1	94.1	▲	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	95.4	92.1	94.3	93.1	92.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	68.4	53.8	81.3	67.6	51.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	77.8	n/a	70.0	n/a	43.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.4	25.8	19.5	15.8	15.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	18.6	15.4	15.4	17.3	18.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.9	M 1.0	F 4.0	F 4.2	F 6.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.1	F 3.3	F 3.7	F 4.2	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	6.9	7.6	8.0	7.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.8	8.6	7.6	6.9	—

Lester B Pearson [Public] Burlington										OSSLT count: 199			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 13.6								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,400: 0.0					Rank: 253/727 148/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	2.9	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	96.5	96.4	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	92.2	89.8	91.8	88.2	89.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	88.9	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	69.7	64.5	69.7	63.0	65.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	13.6	14.6	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	15.0	22.5	17.1	21.8	19.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.0	F 0.6	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 4.8	F 8.0	F 9.8	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.7	0.0	1.4	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.1	7.8	n/a	Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.3	7.8	7.8	7.0	—

St Ignatius of Loyola [Catholic] Oakville										OSSLT count: 320			
ESL (%): 7.8					Special needs (%): 12.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$146,400: -0.8					Rank: 105/727 90/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	89.7	91.3	77.2	77.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	89.7	93.5	96.9	93.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	93.1	87.9	91.3	72.7	77.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	67.2	78.1	79.9	83.2	81.3	—

MISSISSAUGA

Applewood Heights [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 301		
ESL (%)	Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,500:	Special needs (%)		15.6
	0.4	2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.1
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	85.4	82.2	87.8
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.2	51.4	67.3	58.5
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	28.7	34.3	25.7
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F	O	I
Gender gap OSSLT	F	S	F	R
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.2	1.6	2.1
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	5.2	6.5
				6.1

Glenforest [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 439				
ESL (%): 15.7		Special needs (%): 8.7		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,300: 2.0				Rank:	105/727	39/693
Academic performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.8	92.0	92.4	88.5	91.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.8	66.7	57.9	62.0	56.5	—
Test below standard (%)	18.2	13.1	18.8	18.1	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	M	M	M	E
Gender gap OSSLT	F2.4	F0.9	F7.4	F0.4	F5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	1.5	0.5	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	9.3	8.0	7.6	7.6	—

Lorne Park [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 329				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$164,600: -1.7		2009-10	2010	Last 5 Years	Rank:	161/727
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.7	2.5	2.2	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.3	95.2	97.2	96.7	92.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	100.0	75.0	85.2	95.2	80.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.7	10.4	10.4	10.7	12.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 2.4	F 5.0	M 0.5	F 7.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.9	8.7	8.3	7.3	—

Ascension of Our Lord [Catholic] Mississauga				OSSLT count: 244		
ESL (%): 19.7				Special needs (%): 18.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,100: 0.1				2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Rank:				530/727	636/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	66.4	65.9	72.7	78.1	77.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	33.3	34.1	52.4	42.4	60.0	—
Test below standard (%)	55.4	53.1	46.3	36.8	31.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 14.1	F 7.7	F 0.8	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	4.7	3.6	0.6	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	3.5	3.6	4.5	5.2	▲

Gordon Graydon Memorial [Public] Mississauga	OSSSLT count: 374
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 38.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: 1.9	2009-10 Last 5 Years
	Rank: 49/727 105/693
Academic Performance	2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0 3.2 3.1 3.1 3.2 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4 2.5 2.7 2.6 1.7 —
OSSSLT passed (%)—FTE	80.9 78.6 96.8 89.4 95.2 ▲
OSSSLT passed (%)—PE	32.1 30.0 31.9 25.0 20.5 —
Tests below standard (%)	23.2 21.4 16.5 15.7 12.8 ▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.2 E M 0.2
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 7.2 F 6.7 F 3.7 F 10.9 M 0.7
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9 1.9 2.0 1.2 0.4 —
Overall rating out of 10	6.8 7.4 8.4 7.6 8.0 ▲

Loyola (Catholic) Mississauga		OSSLT count: 323				
ESL (%): 8.7		Special needs (%): 9.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700: -0.3		2009-10		5 Years		
		Rank: 309/727		194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.0	90.0	88.2	89.0	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.0	61.1	64.9	70.5	65.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.4	20.1	23.1	24.9	22.3	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 10.7	F 4.8	F 3.5	F 2.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written/missing (%)	0.3	1.0	2.3	1.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.4	7.0	6.5	6.5	▼

Cawthra Park [Public] Mississauga				OSSLT count: 340		
ESL (%): 0.0				Special needs (%): 8.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,200: 1.4				2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Rank:	63	727	51	693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	1.9	2.0	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.9	95.7	96.7	96.0	95.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	78.3	71.4	94.1	75.0	70.8	—
Test below standard (%)	13.3	16.5	12.5	13.9	12.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 5.1	F 4.6	F 0.7	F 3.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	2.1	0.3	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	7.8	8.4	7.7	7.9	—

Iona [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 339				
ESL (%):	11.2	Special needs (%):		14.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,800: 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.6	85.0	87.4	86.7	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.5	45.0	n/a	66.7	58.3	n/a
Test below standard (%)	21.3	27.9	21.6	23.7	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 3.9	F 5.2	F 9.7	F 6.7	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.6	7.0	6.5	7.0	—

Meadowvale [Public]	Mississauga	OSLLT count: 446				
ESL (%): 2.2		Special needs (%): 13.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,800: 0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 294/727	281/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.6	▲
OSLLT passed (%) -FTE	87.9	88.9	89.7	90.2	86.6	—
OSLLT passed (%) -PE	63.9	63.8	61.8	49.3	59.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	25.9	24.9	22.6	23.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSLLT	F 4.3	F 1.2	F 7.8	F 3.9	F 1.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	2.4	2.1	1.4	0.8	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.8	6.6	6.7	6.6	—

Clarkson [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 310				
ESL (%)	13.5	Special needs (%)		16.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,800: -0.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.7	83.6	79.8	87.1	83.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.3	52.2	47.2	47.7	59.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	30.9	32.4	27.3	23.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F0.1	F0.2	F0.1	M0.1	M0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F6.7	F11.1	F2.4	F4.5	F8.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	4.7	2.9	1.4	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	▲

John Cabot [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 283				
ESL (%)	13.4	Special needs (%)		18.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: 0.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 294/727		301/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.1	86.4	86.6	89.8	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.9	45.7	57.9	63.9	45.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	30.0	23.7	27.3	22.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 9.4	F 8.0	F 5.9	F 7.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	3.3	0.4	1.2	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	6.9	6.4	6.6	—

Mississauga [Public]		Mississauga					OSLLT count: 534
ESL (%)	12.0				Special needs (%)		11.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,300:-0.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years	
					Rank:	464/727	301/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	—	
OSLLT passed (%) -FTE	85.2	88.3	84.8	88.4	78.0		
OSLLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	53.8	54.9	58.8	52.3	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	24.9	23.4	22.4	27.0		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1		
Gender gap OSLLT	F 4.0	F 6.9	F 5.3	F 2.7	F 11.8		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.9	0.3	0.7	0.8		
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.0	6.7	6.7	5.6		

Erindale [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 375				
ESL (%)		Special needs (%)				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,600: -0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Aacd)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.0	86.0	88.1	89.3	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.1	68.9	69.1	66.7	42.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	25.4	22.6	22.3	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F0.1	F0.1	M0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F0.9	F7.3	F5.4	F3.8	F5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.4	0.9	1.3	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.9	7.2	6.8	6.5	—

John Fraser [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 368				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,500: 1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	49/727	22/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.6	3.2	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	94.3	92.0	90.8	95.8	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	64.2	79.6	71.1	64.1	62.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.5	14.5	9.8	13.8	13.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 2.0	F 3.3	F 7.2	F 6.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.7	9.2	8.4	8.0	—

Our Lady of Mount Carmel [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 536						
ESL (%): 9.3	Special needs (%): 14.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,800: 0.7	2009-10			Last 5 Years		
	Rank: 181/727			130/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLL passed (%) -FTE	89.3	91.4	91.6	90.4	89.0	—
OSSLL passed (%) -PE	58.6	72.7	52.4	65.4	62.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.2	21.1	17.7	17.4	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.8	F 6.5	F 4.8	F 14.2	F 4.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.2	0.7	0.9	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.5	7.9	7.3	7.2	—

Father Michael Goetz [Catholic] Mississauga	OSLST count: 602					
ESL (%): 20.9	Special needs (%): 16.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 1.2	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
	Rank: 309/727					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.3	—
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	82.8	84.3	84.3	84.4	84.9	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	48.0	59.1	63.8	76.1	51.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.0	28.8	28.7	26.5	24.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 7.9	F 3.3	F 7.8	F 7.5	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.7	2.8	4.6	3.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.5	—

Lincoln M Alexander [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 598				
ESL (%)	14.2	Special needs (%)		23.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,700: -1.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 655/727		606/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	75.8	69.3	64.6	64.8	63.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	50.3	43.5	35.4	36.9	31.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	44.8	38.7	41.7	43.6	46.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.9	F 12.7	F 12.5	F 9.3	E	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	15.8	6.8	7.0	8.5	5.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	5.6	4.6	3.8	3.8	—

Philip Pocock [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 363				
ESL (%)	17.9	Special needs (%)		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 0.8		2009-10	2010	2011	2012	2013
		Rank:	294/7272		246/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.0	87.3	89.8	88.2	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.2	63.8	56.7	63.9	56.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.3	22.7	20.3	20.6	22.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 8.1	F 5.9	F 5.6	F 16.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	2.0	2.7	2.5	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	7.3	7.4	7.2	6.6	

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Port Credit [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 380							
ESL (%): 14.2		Special needs (%): 17.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,300: 1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	79/727	2009-10	Rank:	130/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	161/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.8	89.5	88.8	88.2	91.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	51.1	54.1	64.3	55.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	21.1	22.9	23.2	18.6	15.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 5.0	F 4.7	F 5.0	F 1.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.8	—			

St Marcellinus [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 371							
ESL (%): 4.6		Special needs (%): 14.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 0.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	161/727	2009-10	Rank:	60/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	161/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.5	88.7	88.0	88.3	88.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.7	61.1	70.7	72.2	n/a	—			
Tests below standard (%)	14.6	20.9	18.4	15.8	17.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 11.9	F 2.5	F 8.4	F 3.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.3	0.0	▲			
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	7.7	8.3	7.8	7.3	—			

The Woodlands [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 400							
ESL (%): 15.0		Special needs (%): 6.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700: 1.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	197/727	2009-10	Rank:	105/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	197/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.2	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.0	88.5	87.1	92.3	85.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	76.2	44.3	57.5	71.4	42.6	—			
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	21.2	21.8	18.0	18.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	M 4.5	F 2.0	M 0.1	F 2.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.8	0.3	1.3	4.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.7	8.0	7.9	7.1	—			

Rick Hansen [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 567							
ESL (%): 7.2		Special needs (%): 8.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,500: 1.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	145/727	2009-10	Rank:	148/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	145/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.4	89.9	86.9	90.8	88.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.6	62.7	59.5	66.3	67.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	20.6	20.8	21.1	19.4	17.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 1.7	F 5.5	F 5.8	F 0.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.0	1.1	1.3	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.1	7.4	—			

St Martin [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 267							
ESL (%): 24.0		Special needs (%): 16.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,500: 1.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	145/727	2009-10	Rank:	223/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	145/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.2	▼			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	79.1	84.9	92.5	85.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.3	36.5	58.6	63.6	46.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	21.9	31.6	22.9	19.8	21.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.7	F 19.1	F 17.2	M 4.8	M 4.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.5	0.9	1.9	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	5.9	7.3	7.4	7.1	—			

Thomas L Kennedy [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 343							
ESL (%): 31.8		Special needs (%): 9.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,400: -0.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	618/727	2009-10	Rank:	596/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	618/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.4	77.0	80.7	83.4	71.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.2	43.8	39.7	56.6	36.8	—			
Tests below standard (%)	45.8	41.3	42.1	36.1	40.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 16.2	F 13.6	F 6.2	F 9.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.6	3.9	6.5	3.3	1.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.5	4.5	5.4	4.3	—			

St Aloysius Gonzaga [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 470							
ESL (%): 7.4		Special needs (%): 12.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,100: 1.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
Rank:	34/727	2009-10	Rank:	16/693	Last 5 Years	Rank:	34/727	2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.6						

Chinguacousy [Public] Brampton										OSSLT count: 377	
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)		15.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,300: -1.4		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	623/727			570/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.4	84.0	84.0	80.4	78.0	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.5	59.5	60.6	65.2	49.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	36.5	32.4	34.2	38.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 7.0	F 8.1	F 10.5	F 11.0	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	4.7	2.8	4.9	3.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.3	5.5	4.6	4.2	—					

Mayfield [Public] Caledon										OSSLT count: 437	
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)		10.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,500: 1.4		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	49/727			30/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.2	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.6	95.4	96.3	95.9	95.4	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.0	88.0	57.7	76.9	88.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	14.0	13.8	15.3	11.9	12.9	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	E	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 4.7	F 4.0	F 4.0	F 8.8	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.6	8.3	8.1	8.0	▼					

St. Edmund Campion [Catholic] Brampton										OSSLT count: 635	
ESL (%)	7.9			Special needs (%)		18.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,000: 0.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	265/727			479/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.7	77.6	81.1	88.1	84.0	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.5	48.5	46.1	47.3	43.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	36.6	37.7	32.8	21.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 9.4	F 11.5	F 2.0	F 2.5	▲					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	0.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	4.7	5.5	6.8	—					

Fletcher's Meadow [Public] Brampton										OSSLT count: 741	
ESL (%)	2.7			Special needs (%)		12.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,800: -0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	478/727			546/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.4	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.8	77.2	79.2	77.0	78.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.2	38.9	53.2	62.1	50.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	41.9	37.9	35.3	29.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 5.2	F 11.8	F 7.2	F 9.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.4	5.3	0.5	1.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	4.8	5.0	5.2	5.5	—					

North Park [Public] Brampton										OSSLT count: 363	
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)		10.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,000: 1.0		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	253/727			343/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.8	87.1	89.4	86.1	87.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.0	54.0	53.2	52.9	51.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	29.6	28.0	24.4	20.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	M 3.3	F 4.2	F 3.4	F 5.4	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	3.1	5.0	0.9	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.5	5.9	6.3	6.9	—					

St Augustine [Catholic] Brampton										OSSLT count: 488	
ESL (%)	10.0			Special needs (%)		16.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: -0.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	530/727			465/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.4	86.3	82.1	88.5	77.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	38.7	60.0	54.4	48.7	51.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	31.5	37.1	33.3	32.0	32.9	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 8.1	F 10.3	M 1.0	F 4.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	3.4	2.5	0.8	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.0	5.2	—					

Harold M. Brathwaite [Public] Brampton										OSSLT count: 491	
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)		15.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: 1.5		2009-10	Last 5 Years								
		Rank:	105/727			51/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	99.1	99.5	100.0	99.4	93.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	7.8	14.4	10.3	26.1	14.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	1.7	0.9	0.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	9.4	8.5	8.8 </								

TORONTO

Agincourt [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 535										
ESL (%)	14.4 <th>Special needs (%)</th> <td>9.7<th>2009-10</th><th>Last 5 Years</th><th>2009-10</th><th>Last 5 Years</th><th>2009-10</th><th>Last 5 Years</th><th>2009-10</th><th>Trend</th></td>	Special needs (%)	9.7 <th>2009-10</th> <th>Last 5 Years</th> <th>2009-10</th> <th>Last 5 Years</th> <th>2009-10</th> <th>Last 5 Years</th> <th>2009-10</th> <th>Trend</th>	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,500:-2.6		Rank:	63/727	39/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.9
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.5	91.2	88.2	89.7	90.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.6	92.9	93.3	94.9	90.9
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.4	47.1	48.8	53.4	42.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.0	42.3	39.5	30.0	51.5
Tests below standard (%)	15.8	18.8	19.0	16.4	13.7	—	Tests below standard (%)	53.9	53.1	56.9	37.0	50.5
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F	M	O	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	O	M	O
Gender gap OSSLT	F	7.7	M	1.2	F	1.9	F	3.0	F	3.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	2.4	1.4	1.7	2.9	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	18.8	9.1	9.0	8.3	5.1
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.4	8.3	7.9	7.9	▼	Overall rating out of 10	2.5	3.0	2.1	5.4	3.1

Albert Campbell [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 696										
ESL (%)	32.2	Special needs (%)	19.1	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100:-0.6		Rank:	432/727	343/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.5	87.3	82.3	87.0	74.5	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	55.2	48.1	36.2	42.6	38.0
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.2	48.1	36.2	42.6	38.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.5	36.5	54.3	69.1	55.3
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	27.2	33.1	28.6	28.2	—	Tests below standard (%)	46.2	43.5	36.9	28.9	34.2
Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	E	F	0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	M	O	I
Gender gap OSSLT	F	5.2	F	3.2	M	1.4	M	1.1	F	6.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.5	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.9
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.2	5.9	6.4	5.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	2.5	3.0	2.1	5.4	3.1

Archbishop Romero [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 302										
ESL (%)	27.8	Special needs (%)	35.8	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,700:-0.5		Rank:	605/727	623/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	▼	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.4
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	57.6	64.7	58.9	70.9	61.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	43.8	43.1	39.2	48.8	51.9
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.8	43.1	39.2	48.8	51.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.9	49.9	49.0	41.0	42.7
Tests below standard (%)	78.4	76.6	81.4	86.2	82.7	▼	Tests below standard (%)	M	0.4	M	0.1	M
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.4	M	0.1	M	0.2	Gender gap (level)-Math	F	8.2	F	19.1	M
Gender gap OSSLT	M	3.6	M	4.7	M	2.4	Gender gap OSSLT	F	12.9	F	19.4	F
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	2.0	5.0	3.9	3.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	4.6	7.4	6.2	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.5	▲	Overall rating out of 10	4.4	5.3	3.5	4.1	5.7

Bendale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 412										
ESL (%)	0.2	Special needs (%)	57.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,600:-5.0		Rank:	717/727	691/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	1.3	2.1	2.2	2.4
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	22.9	24.7	18.1	21.5	24.0	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	17.4	27.2	23.0	17.7	19.2
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.4	76.6	81.4	86.2	82.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.4	76.3	75.9	76.8	77.9
Tests below standard (%)	34.3	44.8	38.5	36.4	35.9	—	Tests below standard (%)	21.0	20.0	22.1	20.5	26.3
Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	E	M	O	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M	3.6	M	4.7	M	2.4	Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.1	23.5	23.8	42.3	32.6	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	3.8	4.5	2.5	2.2
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.7	7.5	7.3	5.4

Birchmount Park [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 360										
ESL (%)	9.4	Special needs (%)	23.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400:-0.9		Rank:	587/727	578/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.6
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	1.8	1.5	2.2	1.9
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.4	80.3	75.9	76.8	77.5	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.5	47.7	55.6	56.9	50.7
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.5	47.7	55.6	56.9	50.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	34.3	44.8	38.5	36.4	35.9
Tests below standard (%)	34.3	44.8	38.5	36.4	35.9	—	Tests below standard (%)	F	0.1	E	M	O
Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	E	M	O	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.1	M
Gender gap OSSLT	F	12.3	F	1.4	F	3.9	Gender gap OSSLT	F	12.9	F	19.4	F
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	5.2	2.6	4.7	1.8	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.5	10.8	6.9	2.9	7.0
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	—	Overall rating out of 10	2.6	1.5	1.2	1.6	2.1

Bishop Allen [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 421										
ESL (%)	4.8	Special needs (%)	16.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,200:-0.3		Rank:	225/727	105/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.4	2.0	1.8	2.4
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.6	92.9	93.3	94.9	90.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.0	37.0	69.2	73.1	72.7
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	87.0	37.0	69.2	73.1	72.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	17.5	17.7	18.7	16.8	17.1
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	17.7	18.7	16.8	17.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	M	0.1	M	0.1	M
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.1	M	0.1	M	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	O	M	O
Gender gap OSSLT	M	0.9	F	1.2	F	5.4	Gender gap OSSLT	F	1.2	F	5.4	F
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	2.6	0.8	0.3	1.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.9	9.6	9.5	8.7	8.8

Bishop Marrocco/Thomas Merton [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 326									
ESL (%)	19.9	Special needs (%)	27.0	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,300:-1.9		Rank:	690/727	662/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2		

Danforth [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 441						
		Special needs (%)		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
ESL (%)	4.1	38.3		618/727		665/693		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,500: -1.2		Rank: 618/727						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.7	—	—	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.7	—	—	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	65.7	72.4	70.2	68.0	74.2	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	34.7	42.1	40.2	39.4	45.3	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	59.3	53.5	47.3	45.7	39.0	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 7.9	M 5.4	M 4.4	F 9.8	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	24.1	31.8	25.1	17.5	13.9	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.1	2.3	3.3	3.6	4.3	—	—	▲

Downsvew [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 263				
		Special needs (%)		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
ESL (%)	19.0	27.4		Rank:	715/727	687/901
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,500: -4.4						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	1.3	1.9	2.3	1.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	46.8	46.1	53.9	49.0	50.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	21.6	24.1	28.8	42.4	26.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	73.2	73.6	67.0	62.1	64.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.3	E	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.1	F 1.8	M 10.2	F 2.2	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	15.8	10.2	9.0	7.5	4.1	▲
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.7	0.4	—

Etienne-Brûlé [Public] Toronto		OSLT count: 98				
ESL (%)	3.1	Special needs (%)		98		
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,200; 1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.4	1.3	2.3	2.4	—
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	81.1	83.5	81.3	89.2	90.1	▲
OSLST passed (%) -PE	64.7	n/a	93.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	19.1	26.2	21.5	23.1	—
Gender gap (level) -Math	M 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSLST	F 15.6	F 8.6	F 25.0	M 12.2	M 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.0	6.7	7.2	7.5	—

Dante Alighieri [Catholic] Toronto	OSSLT count: 434					
ESL (%)	Special needs (%)					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: -0.7	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:	605/727	546/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	71.9	72.2	72.7	73.0	76.5	↑
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	53.5	42.0	37.2	44.6	37.5	▼
Test below standard (%)	34.9	36.1	34.9	39.4	38.6	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	G 4.9	F 6.3	F 16.1	F 10.3	F 19.6	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.7	0.0	1.3	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.4	5.2	4.9	4.5	▼

Dr Norman Bethune [Public] Toronto				OSSLT count: 439		
ESL (%) : 32.6				Special needs (%) : 11.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,300: 1.5				2009-10		Last 5 Years
				Rank:	265/727	165/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	2.9	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.4	78.7	83.0	90.6	84.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.1	33.3	29.9	35.2	27.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.8	25.1	17.8	21.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 4.6	F 2.9	F 6.6	F 11.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.7	1.2	0.9	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.4	7.4	7.5	6.8	—

Etobicoke [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 393				
ESL (%)	14.5	Special needs (%)		21.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$117,700: -1.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.3	86.2	84.5	83.4	84.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.1	56.9	60.0	62.7	46.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	31.0	28.3	25.4	24.7	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 2.0	F 8.2	F 3.1	F 12.4	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	3.8	3.2	4.3	6.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.4	▲

David and Mary Thomson [Public] Toronto				OSSLT count: 441		
ESL (%)				Special needs (%)		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,200:				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
-1.2				Rank:	662/727	629/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	73.0	72.4	74.8	74.5	69.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.4	51.1	38.3	47.9	35.1	—
Test below standard (%)	47.9	45.9	50.6	47.5	44.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	F 1.3	F 4.8	F 4.2	F 9.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	6.7	6.9	5.8	6.4	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.6	3.3	4.1	3.7	—

Earl Haig [Public] Toronto		OSSSLT count: 659				
ESL (%) : 27.5		Special needs (%) : 9.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800: 1.5		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	126/727		39/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.2	2.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%) - FTE	93.7	89.1	92.1	92.2	86.5	→
OSSSLT passed (%) - PE	77.1	56.5	57.6	60.5	48.9	▼
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	16.1	13.6	13.0	15.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 7.0	F 9.3	F 2.7	F 1.0	F 6.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.2	8.8	8.4	7.5	

Etobicoke School of the Arts [Public] Toronto		OSSIT count: 244		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,300: 1.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years	
		Rank:	16/727	22/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	1.8	2.4	2.8
OSSIT passed (%) -FTE	96.8	98.1	95.6	98.6
OSSIT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	12.7	13.3	12.2	7.0
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E
Gender gap OSSIT	M 2.3	F 0.1	F 7.0	F 0.3
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.4	1.7	0.4
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.4	8.2	8.8
			8.6	▲

Delhi Secondary Alternative [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 34				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: 2.3		2009-10	2010	2011	2012	Last 5 Years
		Rank:	49/727	n/a	n/a	n/a
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	n/a	2.5	2.8	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	100.0	100.0	93.8	100.0	100.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Test below standard (%)	16.3	0.0	18.9	17.0	8.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.1	n/a	8.7	3.0	14.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	n/a	6.4	7.2	8.0	n/a

East York [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 538				
ESL (%)	11.5	Special needs (%)		16.5		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: -1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.5	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	72.3	75.0	70.6	73.2	70.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	50.0	52.1	41.1	42.6	32.7	▼
Tests below standard (%)	39.3	44.8	51.0	44.4	42.7	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	F0.1	F0.1	M0.3	M0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F5.2	F5.0	F0.9	F1.4	F5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.2	7.5	8.6	9.7	8.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.1	2.5	3.6	3.8	—

Father Henry Carr [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 433				
ESL (%)	36.5	Special needs (%)		14.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,400: -2.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 703/727		680/693		
Academic% ¹	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Prof)	2.4	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	64.7	61.5	58.3	59.7	65.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	27.1	22.9	26.1	34.7	20.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	55.3	62.8	59.5	57.8	56.0	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	F 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.2	F 23.0	F 10.7	M 1.2	F 8.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.6	1.7	1.5	2.4	2.3	—

Don Bosco [Catholic] Toronto		OSLST count: 295					
ESL (%): 9.5		Special needs (%): 29.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,300: -2.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:		695/727					
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.4	1.8	2.3	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.9	1.7	1.8	2.3	1.6	—
OSLST passed (%)—FTE		71.0	70.2	62.6	54.8	62.3	▼
OSLST passed (%)—PE		29.0	35.5	32.4	39.5	38.6	—
Test below standard (%)		46.6	52.3	52.3	46.2	52.0	▼
Gender gap (Level)—Math		M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.3	—
Gender gap OSLST		F 14.3	F 15.1	F 17.1	F 27.6	F 14.6	—
Gr 9 Tests not written (%)		5.7	4.4	9.0	3.9	9.4	—
Overall rating out of 10		3.6	2.8	2.4	3.2	2.9	—

Eastern Commerce [Public] Toronto				OSSLT count: 205			
ESL (%) : 20.5				Special needs (%) : 35.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$35,900: -4.6				2009-10		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:	716/727	686/693	
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		1.6	1.2	2.0	2.0	1.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.8	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		53.1	59.7	51.4	52.6	55.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		35.1	20.2	32.1	29.8	23.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)		62.7	73.4	61.6	60.9	68.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math		n/a	F 0.1	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT		F 25.5	F 31.8	F 19.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		16.8	13.3	18.7	31.5	19.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10		1.0	1.0	0.6	0.2	—	

Father John Redmond [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 262				
ESL (%)	6.9	Special needs (%)		22.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,800: 1.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 181/727		301/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.7	85.0	90.7	93.0	89.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	56.8	51.9	71.9	71.9	72.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	31.4	39.6	17.7	20.3	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 10.2	F 7.2	F 0.5	F 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	4.7	7.9	7.3	7.2	—

Don Mills [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 356						
ESL (%)	22.2	Special needs (%)			8.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,800: 2.3		2009-10			Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.3	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.4	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.4	90.8	91.6	89.8	88.0	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.5	59.2	60.7	62.7	62.0	—	—	—
Test below standard (%)	18.6	22.4	20.7	22.2	16.6	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.9	F 5.0	F 7.0	F 10.4	M 0.2	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	0.0	1.3	1.6	3.9	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.9	7.7	6.8	7.9	—	—	—

Emery [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 432							
ESL (%): 13.7		Special needs (%): 20.4			2009-10			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$35,100: -2.1									
Rank:		701/727			656/693				
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.2	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)		1.4	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE		65.9	71.4	73.0	75.4	68.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE		43.4	38.6	38.6	42.5	38.4	▼		
Tests below standard (%)		51.3	43.9	50.8	49.2	50.2	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math		E	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT		F 9.5	M 0.7	F 4.5	M 4.3	F 9.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		7.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10		3.2	4.2	3.1	3.2	2.6	—		

Forest Hill [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 290				
ESL (%)	23.4	Special needs (%)		6.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$168,500: -1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 105/727		90/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acd)	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.6	1.6	1.9	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.1	90.7	93.9	94.7	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	79.7	82.5	67.3	77.5	60.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	15.6	18.8	15.2	14.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F.O.1	M.O.2	M.O.2	F.O.1	F.O.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F.5.7	F.2.5	F.8.0	M.5.3	F.9.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.3	1.5	0.5	2.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.2	7.5	7.9	7.6	—

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Francis Libermann [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 287								
ESL (%): 12.5		Special needs (%): 17.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 309/727	650/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800: 1.3		Rank: 309/727						650/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.7	79.8	85.3	83.0	88.5	▲				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.4	40.4	46.7	50.9	46.0	—				
Tests below standard (%)	29.4	40.0	32.2	33.7	24.4	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 13.1	F 1.3	F 5.7	F 8.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.0	0.9	▲				
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	4.8	5.5	5.5	6.5	—				

James Cardinal McGuigan [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 254								
ESL (%): 44.9		Special needs (%): 15.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 578/727	656/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,600: -0.1		Rank: 578/727						656/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.7	2.6	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.1	1.3	2.6	2.4	▲				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	58.9	55.3	66.7	73.6	66.9	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	40.8	38.6	45.7	63.3	45.5	—				
Tests below standard (%)	58.9	58.4	55.0	32.7	38.9	▲				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.7	F 7.4	F 18.7	F 4.7	F 3.7	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.2	13.4	0.0	1.5	1.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	1.9	1.7	2.2	5.9	4.8	▲				

Lawrence Park [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 281								
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 12.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 63/727	30/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$258,200: -4.2		Rank: 63/727						30/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	n/a	n/a	2.1	2.2	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.2	99.6	100.0	98.4	98.4	▲				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.0	100.0	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	8.0	5.9	10.9	10.3	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 0.9	E	M 0.1	M 1.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	9.0	9.3	8.1	7.9	—				

George Harvey [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 253								
ESL (%): 24.5		Special needs (%): 22.5					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 694/727	676/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,500: -2.0		Rank: 694/727						676/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.4	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.6	▼				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	60.8	57.5	64.1	63.3	70.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	38.8	46.9	45.8	44.1	63.2	—				
Tests below standard (%)	54.3	53.2	53.8	60.2	52.6	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.7	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.6	M 0.5	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	M 2.6	M 1.8	M 9.9	M 4.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	35.2	56.4	32.3	5.4	6.1	—				
Overall rating out of 10	1.8	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	—				

Jarvis [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 352								
ESL (%): 29.0		Special needs (%): 10.5					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 531/300: 0.1	493/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$31,300: 0.1		Rank: 531/300: 0.1						493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.2	87.2	85.0	86.2	80.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.6	53.5	58.1	62.5	54.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	31.2	28.4	28.5	35.0	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.8	F 3.9	M 9.3	F 2.4	M 1.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	8.1	1.5	2.4	0.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	6.0	5.9	4.7	—				

Leaside [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 201								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 49/727	39/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$168,800: -1.2		Rank: 49/727						39/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	98.1	95.2	97.9	96.4	95.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	88.9	n/a	81.3	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	15.0	17.9	7.5	6.2	7.9	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.4	F 8.3	F 0.8	F 1.6	F 6.4	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	1.4	1.0	0.5	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.2	9.1	8.6	8.0	—				

Georges Vanier [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 476								
ESL (%): 43.5		Special needs (%): 15.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10					Rank: 612/727	n/a		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,600: -0.8		Rank: 612/727						n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.9	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.2	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.0	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	42.2	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.6	n/a	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.4	n/a				

Kipling [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 283</b
---------------------------------	--	-------------------------------

Malvern [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 255									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.1							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$129,500: 0.2		Rank: 41/727 105/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.9	3.0	▲			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.7	95.6	95.8	94.5	96.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	76.5	n/a	88.9	66.7	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	20.1	13.6	11.3	10.3	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 5.0	F 4.8	F 7.2	F 4.4	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.1	1.1	2.4	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10		6.5	7.1	8.3	8.2	8.1	▲		

Monarch Park [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 337									
ESL (%): 38.9		Special needs (%): 14.2							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,000: -0.1		Rank: 497/727 666/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.0	▲			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	0.9	1.6	1.7	2.0	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	37.9	43.5	55.8	71.8	84.1	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	33.8	23.6	36.8	34.5	25.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	69.3	68.3	48.5	36.9	34.6	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 11.4	F 10.9	F 10.5	F 14.6	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	36.4	21.4	9.2	8.1	8.6	▲			
Overall rating out of 10		0.0	1.1	3.7	5.0	5.4	▲		

North Albion [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 504									
ESL (%): 19.0		Special needs (%): 25.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,000: -1.3		Rank: 672/727 666/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	59.5	66.5	55.9	66.9	64.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	39.5	43.2	37.4	38.2	31.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	52.9	49.8	52.8	50.4	46.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 8.5	F 12.5	F 4.9	F 4.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	4.9	6.2	8.1	5.7	▼			
Overall rating out of 10		2.7	3.5	2.8	2.7	3.5	—		

Marc Garneau [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 738									
ESL (%): 34.6		Special needs (%): 10.7							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,700: 0.8		Rank: 497/727 493/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.6	85.8	77.9	73.4	79.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.3	39.6	39.3	44.8	44.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	38.9	39.2	38.9	34.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 7.5	F 8.7	M 0.5	F 7.4	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	19.3	17.9	5.9	5.2	3.1	▲			
Overall rating out of 10		6.8	5.3	5.1	4.9	5.4	—		

Monseigneur-de-Charbonnel [Catholic] Toronto OSSLT count: 67									
ESL (%): 20.9		Special needs (%): 9.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,300: 1.8		Rank: 181/727 281/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.2	81.3	88.7	84.4	88.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	34.5	27.1	14.4	24.8	19.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	M 5.7	F 8.8	F 27.1	M 3.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10		5.0	6.8	8.6	5.9	7.2	—		

North Toronto [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 289									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 7.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$169,600: -0.6		Rank: 16/727 10/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.4	96.4	96.6	95.6	98.1	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.4	n/a	90.5	93.3	80.0	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	8.8	9.5	8.3	7.0	6.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 2.3	F 1.5	M 3.8	F 2.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	1.5	0.4	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10		8.9	8.8	9.0	8.7	8.6	—		

Marlinton [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 340									
ESL (%): 6.5		Special needs (%): 10.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: 0.0		Rank: 330/727 194/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.5	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.7	92.7	93.0	93.6	93.1	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	45.8	83.3	87.1	70.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	23.0	20.8	11.0	11.5	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 5.6	F 3.1	M 0.1	M 2.6	▲			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.4	4.0	0.4	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10		6.8	7.5	7.3	8.4	8.1	▲		

Nelson A Boylen [Public] Toronto

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Parkdale [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 287													
ESL (%): 27.2		Special needs (%): 22.6											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$34,700: 0.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 566/727					Rank: 596/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	1.9	n/a	2.0	1.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.6	75.9	74.0	77.6	80.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	32.7	35.7	40.3	53.1	57.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	32.7	35.7	40.3	53.1	57.6	▲	Tests below standard (%)	45.6	45.8	31.8	35.0	32.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 8.4	F 17.9	M 4.4	F 5.7	F 18.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 8.4	F 17.9	M 4.4	F 5.7	F 18.5	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	5.9	2.6	5.1	9.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	5.9	2.6	5.1	9.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	3.8	4.1	5.4	4.7	4.9	—

Runnymede [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 152													
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 17.8											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,300: -1.8		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 677/727					Rank: 650/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.7	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.2	73.5	73.5	78.5	68.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.7	50.0	42.3	44.7	47.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.7	50.0	42.3	44.7	47.0	▼	Tests below standard (%)	41.6	48.5	49.3	36.7	44.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 1.0	F 8.8	M 5.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 1.0	F 8.8	M 5.2	M 0.2	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	7.6	5.3	8.6	0.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	7.6	5.3	8.6	0.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.3	3.0	2.6	4.4	3.4	—

Sir Robert L Borden [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 294													
ESL (%): 16.7		Special needs (%): 63.6											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,400: -5.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 717/727					Rank: 689/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.3	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	29.3	20.1	23.7	29.1	27.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	24.8	17.6	21.3	23.7	24.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.1	50.0	26.1	50.0	43.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.1	50.0	26.1	50.0	43.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	71.7	82.0	79.6	77.1	78.3	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.6	F 1.0	M 4.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.6	F 1.0	M 4.8	n/a	n/a	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.2	21.7	23.2	22.9	20.8	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.2	21.7	23.2	22.9	20.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—

Pope John Paul II [Catholic] Toronto OSSLT count: 417													
ESL (%): 3.8		Special needs (%): 15.1											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,500: -0.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 513/727					Rank: 546/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.9	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.6	80.8	84.0	85.5	81.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.2	53.6	60.0	52.5	44.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.2	53.6	60.0	52.5	44.6	—	Tests below standard (%)	34.6	33.5	36.5	30.8	30.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.5	F 6.9	F 5.6	F 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.5	F 6.9	F 5.6	F 0.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.8	0.5	1.9	0.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.8	0.5	1.9	0.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.8	5.5	4.7	5.4	5.3	—

Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 194													
ESL (%): 5.7		Special needs (%): 16.5											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,800: -2.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 695/727					Rank: 640/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.9	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.2	84.3	67.2	80.0	70.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.9	63.2	71.4	45.2	43.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.9	63.2	71.4	45.2	43.2	—	Tests below standard (%)	43.4	46.3	46.9	41.1	46.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.6	M 0.1	M 0.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 7.5	M 0.1	F 8.2	F 1.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 7.5	M 0.1	F 8.2	F 1.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.0	1.5	6.6	6.0	4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.0	1.5	6.6	6.0	4.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	3.9	4.3	3.0	4.3	2.9	—

Sir Sandford Fleming [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 193													
ESL (%): 28.0		Special needs (%): 22.3											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,000: -1.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 633/727					Rank: 684/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.2	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.5	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.2	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.5	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	0.6	0.9	1.7	1.5	2.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	46.3	40.7	48.5	65.9	67.9	▲	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.0	25.0	26.1	50.0	43.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.0	25.0	26.1	50.0	43.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	67.5	72.4	68.5	51.7	44.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.6	M 0.1	M 0.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 14.8	F 6.5	F 17.9	F 7.7	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 14.8	F 6.5	F 17.9	F 7.7	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	25.2	12.5	4.5	13.5	9.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	25.2	12.5	4.5	13.5	9.4	—	Overall rating out of 10	0.2	0.0	0.4	2.6	4.1	▲

Richview [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 234													
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 7.7											
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$150,400: -0.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
Rank: 49/727					Rank: 22/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.2	97.0	98.2	96.1	94.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.3	66.7	87.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.3	66.7	87.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	14.0	10.3	11.0	13.8	10.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.0	F 3.7	F 1.6	F 4.8	F 4.8	—
Gender gap OSSLT</													

St Mary's [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 305					
ESL (%)	33.1	Special needs (%)			21.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,800: -1.6		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 683/727			666/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.5	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.4	69.4	66.3	64.3	67.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.4	52.9	32.4	38.1	27.6	▼	
Test below standard (%)	52.3	51.5	56.1	54.7	49.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 0.9	F 5.6	F 10.7	F 7.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	17.5	16.1	8.0	5.1	11.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	4.0	2.3	2.2	3.3		

Vaughan Road [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 211				
ESL (%): 10.4		Special needs (%): 8.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,600: -2.0		2009-10		5 Years		
Rank:	595/727	479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.5	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.6	84.7	90.0	88.4	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.2	46.8	43.1	50.9	48.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.8	30.5	32.3	33.8	35.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 12.4	M 2.5	F 14.2	M 0.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.1	9.1	8.9	8.4	14.4	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.9	5.8	5.3	4.6	▼

Weston [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 467				
ESL (%)	11.3	Special needs (%):		18.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: -1.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:		648/727	640/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.9	69.5	77.2	81.6	71.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.6	27.2	30.5	47.7	41.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	42.2	48.2	42.4	39.3	39.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.1	F 19.2	F 12.3	F 0.1	F 15.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.2	10.5	3.8	4.1	3.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	2.9	3.2	4.5	3.9	

St Michael's Choir (Sr) [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 19				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 3.9		2009-10	2010	Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	1/727	n/a	n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	3.3	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	100.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Test below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	14.6	2.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	9.8

Victoria Park [Public] Toronto		OSLST count: 475				
ESL (%)	11.4	Special needs (%)		15.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,200: 1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 253/727		379/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.2	1.5	1.1	1.7	—
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	82.8	86.4	87.1	83.7	87.3	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	50.0	40.7	34.7	45.6	51.6	—
Test below standard (%)	31.3	30.1	31.9	24.6	21.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.1	O E	E	—
Gender gap OSLST	F 10.7	F 7.0	F 7.1	F 0.9	F 8.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	4.3	4.1	1.6	2.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.9	5.8	6.5	6.9	▲

Westview Centennial [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 588				
ESL (%)	19.7	Special needs (%)		27.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,400: -2.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	701/727	682/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	45.5	53.6	46.7	62.4	48.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	28.8	30.0	16.9	42.1	38.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	66.6	61.5	64.4	54.8	55.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.5	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.8	F 7.7	F 14.6	F 1.8	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	25.3	33.0	12.6	7.8	17.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.1	2.0	0.8	2.3	2.6	—

St Patrick [Catholic] Toronto		OSSSLT count: 192				
ESL (%): 42.7		Special needs (%): 25.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,000: -2.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 695/727				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.9	▲
OSSSLT passed (%)—FTE	62.0	61.3	56.6	71.2	59.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%)—PE	51.5	45.3	43.1	56.7	41.5	—
Test below standard (%)	53.7	57.8	53.6	47.9	51.5	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 4.3	F 23.6	F 15.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.6	17.0	8.8	9.6	10.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.6	2.0	3.7	2.9	—	

W A Porter [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 322				
ESL (%): 4.0		Special needs (%): 9.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,600: 2.3		2009-10		5 Years		
		Rank: 126/727		130/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.0	2.7	2.8	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	89.5	92.1	93.0	89.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	82.1	57.9	59.6	55.8	56.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.1	23.9	16.7	13.5	15.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.7	M 10.2	M 1.9	M 4.0	F 0.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.3	1.9	1.0	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.6	7.7	7.8	7.5	—

Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts [Public]		Toronto	OSSLT count: 340			
ESL (%): 7.1		Special needs (%): 14.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: 0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 411/727	426/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.0	84.2	89.3	83.7	87.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.7	52.9	58.3	46.8	49.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	35.9	28.6	30.5	29.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 6.6	F 9.2	F 9.6	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.6	3.8	6.0	4.3	3.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.8	6.1	5.8	6.0	▲

Stephen Leacock [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 359				
ESL (%): 41.2		Special needs (%): 14.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,800: -0.7		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 633/727				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.2	81.2	76.8	83.5	68.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	53.9	42.3	41.0	39.1	33.3	▼
Test below standard (%)	36.8	39.9	43.0	37.2	42.6	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F0.3	M0.2	M0.6	F0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F4.0	F7.9	F1.4	M3.8	F5.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	19.1	10.0	3.0	2.0	5.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.3	4.2	5.9	4.1	—

West Hill [Public] Toronto	OSSLT count: 461					
ESL (%): 5.2	Special needs (%): 21.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,200: -1.7	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd.)	1.3	0.9	1.7	1.7	2.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.0	81.5	82.5	80.3	68.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.6	50.6	45.1	39.7	38.3	▼
Tests below standard (%)	41.5	45.3	40.5	43.0	42.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 12.7	M 4.7	F 12.0	F 7.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.6	3.3	2.5	4.2	6.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	3.6	4.6	4.2	3.5	—

Whitefield [Private] Toronto		OSSLT count: 22				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	126/727	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.5	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.5	n/a

Thistletown [Public] Toronto		OSLST count: 311				
ESL (%)	12.2	Special needs (%)			37.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,400:-0.5		2009-10			Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.5	2.7	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.8	1.9	▲
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	60.0	69.9	68.4	66.4	74.3	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	54.8	34.7	44.3	45.5	42.6	—
Test below standard (%)	59.5	55.3	53.2	48.1	42.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.5	F 14.0	F 6.3	F 2.4	F 4.0	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.1	5.7	5.3	4.0	5.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	1.6	2.5	2.9	3.6	4.6	▲

West Humber [Public] Toronto	OSSLT count: 353					
ESL (%): 13.9	Special Education (%): 30.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,400: -1.0	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:	623/727 656/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.8	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd.)	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.4	75.4	77.8	73.6	68.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	49.5	38.4	41.6	47.7	35.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	47.4	54.5	46.5	50.8	39.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E 0.2	M 0.4	E 0.4	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	M 2.5	M 1.1	M 3.8	F 14.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	6.7	7.6	3.4	5.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	2.8	3.3	2.5	4.2	—

William Lyon Mackenzie [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 386				
ESL (%)	22.3	Special needs (%)		10.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,300: 1.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	20/727	60/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.7	92.0	90.2	93.2	93.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	74.5	76.7	75.7	72.2	76.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.2	20.6	15.4	17.8	11.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.4	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	M 0.5	F 3.4	F 1.8	F 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.1	8.2	7.4	8.5	—

Urso Franklin [Public] Toronto		OSLIT count: 120				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,300: 1.4				2009-10	Last 5 Years	
		Rank:		14/77	22/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSLIT passed (%)—FTE	100.0	98.1	97.9	96.9	99.2	—
OSLIT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	8.8	11.6	13.2	10.0	4.6	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSLIT	E	E	M 3.9	F 3.3	F 1.7	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.7	0.8	1.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.6	8.0	8.2	8.7	—

Western [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 392				
ESL (%): 16.3		Special needs (%): 35.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,900: -1.8		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
Rank:		655/727 636/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.9	65.7	64.2	70.2	72.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.7	42.2	41.2	41.3	38.2	▼
Tests below standard (%)	45.4	47.1	49.5	45.9	46.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F7.3	M 4.8	F9.8	F2.1	F3.7	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.3	16.5	8.7	13.3	15.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.0	3.2	3.5	3.8	—

Winston Churchill [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 311				
ESL (%)	19.9	Special needs (%)		17.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,200: -1.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	662/727	559/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.3	79.7	70.5	74.5	63.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.6	56.6	46.9	46.7	34.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	38.1	33.8	41.4	43.0	46.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	O	M	O	F
Gender gap OSSLT	F	2.1	M	0.2	F	13.7
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.7	9.3	0.0	3.7	5.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.1	5.4	4.2	3.7	▼

Woburn [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 454									
ESL (%): 9.0		Special needs (%): 5.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,100: 0.5		Rank: 464/727 326/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.1	85.8	83.3	83.3	82.6	▼			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.3	57.8	55.6	56.0	41.1	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	24.4	26.4	26.6	28.5	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	M 1.7	M 6.6	F 10.5	F 4.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.1	0.8	1.9	1.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.1	6.6	6.0	5.6	▼			

Br André [Catholic] Markham OSSLT count: 407									
ESL (%): 0.7		Special needs (%): 11.5							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: 1.5		Rank: 63/727 90/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.2	92.9	90.1	93.1	90.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.3	40.6	45.7	71.1	64.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	21.5	17.5	16.5	13.1	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 1.7	F 8.1	E	F 2.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.8	0.5	1.4	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.9	—			

Father Bressani [Catholic] Woodbridge OSSLT count: 288									
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 18.1							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,100: -1.5		Rank: 478/727 343/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.8	84.5	90.9	91.4	85.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.3	64.7	60.6	77.8	48.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	31.0	20.2	24.8	29.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 11.3	F 1.4	F 8.2	F 7.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.3	0.4	0.8	0.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.9	7.4	6.3	5.5	—			

York Memorial [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 348									
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 14.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,600: -1.6		Rank: 677/727 626/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.0	80.4	81.1	82.1	80.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.9	57.4	44.9	63.8	48.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	42.7	40.7	36.2	39.3	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E M 0.1	E	E M 0.3	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 2.5	F 20.3	F 6.9	F 10.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	4.6	2.1	7.6	6.7	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	3.9	3.3	4.4	3.4	—			

YORK AREA

Alexander MacKenzie [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 352									
ESL (%): 27.6		Special needs (%): 19.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,000: 0.7		Rank: 197/727 265/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.3	85.0	81.0	86.9	85.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.9	50.0	35.9	58.5	71.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	23.8	30.4	24.3	22.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	E ▲	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 1.8	F 2.6	F 2.5	F 7.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.5	7.9	3.0	2.4	1.6	▲			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.2	6.4	6.7	7.1	—			

Bur Oak [Public] Markham OSSLT count: 339									
ESL (%): 14.2		Special needs (%): 11.5							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,000: 2.4		Rank: 26/727 n/a							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.1	3.3	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	92.4	89.8	87.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	60.0	62.5	n/a	—			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	10.6	10.7	11.1	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 5.7	F 2.4	F 7.4	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.5	0.0	0.0	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	9.2	8.6	8.3	n/a			

Father Michael McGivney [Catholic] Markham OSSLT count: 345									
ESL (%): 8.4		Special needs (%): 11.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years							
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: 1.1		Rank: 265/727 326/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	1.4	2.1	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.9	82.2	85.7	89.8	87.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.6	41.9	67.3	60.0	50.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	25.0	26.0	24.0	19.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.2	E F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 10.7	F 1.9	F 0.5	F 5.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	2.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.8	▲			

Dr John Denison [Public] Newmarket OSSLT count: 342									
ESL (%): 2.9		Special needs (%): 24.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based									

King City [Public] King City		OSSLT count: 303				
ESL (%): 5.6		Special needs (%): 21.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$124,100: -0.2		2009-10	2010 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	91.4	87.7	89.2	91.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	44.8	47.8	50.0	74.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.2	21.1	24.0	18.7	15.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 1.7	F 9.9	F 4.4	F 4.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.7	6.3	7.3	7.5	—

Milliken Mills (Public) Unionville		OSSLT count: 583				
ESL (%): 32.8		Special needs (%): 11.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,800: 1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 253/727		246/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.5	84.8	84.6	83.9	84.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.1	45.5	39.2	32.5	26.7	▼
Test below standard (%)	25.1	21.1	27.9	24.7	22.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F	O	M	O
Gender gap OSSLT	F	I	S	F	I	S
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.3	19.1	1.1	2.3	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.7	6.7	6.7	6.9	—

Richmond Hill [Public] Richmond Hill		OSSLT count: 479				
ESL (%)	21.9	Special needs (%)		12.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,600: 1.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 41/727		18/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	88.9	91.3	93.7	90.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.7	50.0	60.0	79.1	71.1	▲
Tests below standard (%)	14.8	15.7	12.2	9.3	13.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	M 3.5	F 8.4	M 0.6	F 2.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	1.7	2.3	2.4	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.3	8.6	8.8	8.1	—

Langstaff [Public] Richmond Hill		OSSLT count: 402				
ESL (%)	13.7	Special needs (%)		10.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,400: 1.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid.)	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.1	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	92.5	95.7	96.2	95.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.3	56.8	70.6	71.0	53.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.5	14.3	11.3	15.1	11.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F	O	E	M	0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	M	O.2	F	7.7	F	4.0
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	2.6	0.6	0.6	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.5	8.6	7.9	7.9	—

Newmarket [Public]	Newmarket	OSSLT count: 359				
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 17.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$118,500: 0.1	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
	Rank: 105/727	90/693				
Academic	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTSE	92.3	93.5	92.7	92.7	93.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.8	60.0	58.8	74.3	68.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	20.6	19.6	15.9	13.5	13.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 2.6	F 0.7	F 1.6	F 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.6	—

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Newmarket		OSSLT count: 380				
ESL (%)	3.2	Special needs (%)		15.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,900: 0.8		Rank:	2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.9	89.7	92.5	92.5	90.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.3	69.7	78.6	59.5	71.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.1	18.1	15.9	15.1	13.1	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 1.7	F 9.7	F 3.9	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.0	1.8	0.0	0.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	▲

Maple [Public] Maple		OSSLT count: 380				
ESL (%)	10.5	Special needs (%)		18.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	Rank:	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	351/727	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.5	80.4	83.6	88.8	79.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.8	47.8	38.3	50.7	39.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.5	29.2	27.6	23.0	24.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	O	M	E	E
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 4.7	F 10.2	F 9.2	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	3.8	1.4	1.1	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.5	6.7	7.0	6.3	—

Our Lady of the Lake [Catholic] Keswick		OSSSLT count: 162				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,400: 0.0		Rank:		2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.2	1.9	▼
OSSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.7	87.0	87.1	89.4	88.7	
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE	70.0	n/a	n/a	75.0	52.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	21.6	19.7	24.7	27.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSSLT	M 2.0	M 0.1	F 15.3	F 7.9	M 4.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.6	9.9	0.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.8	7.3	6.7	6.3	▼

Sir William Mulock [Public] Newmarket		OSSLT count: 354				
ESL (%)	10.2	Special needs (%)			16.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,700: 0.3		2009-10			Last 5 Years	
		Rank:	161/727	130/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.8	92.5	89.7	91.6	86.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	81.8	50.0	55.2	62.5	73.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.3	20.5	19.1	24.6	19.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 7.6	M 3.2	F 7.0	F 2.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	5.1	1.5	0.7	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.6	8.0	6.5	7.3	—

Markham [Public] Markham		OSSLT count: 377				
ESL (%): 5.3		Special needs (%): 17.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,600: 1.5		2009-10			Last 5 Years	
		Rank:	49/727	60/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.2	91.5	90.6	93.7	94.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.7	49.0	33.3	58.8	65.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.5	17.0	19.9	11.9	12.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 0.2	F 5.9	F 3.3	F 5.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.5	7.5	8.5	8.0	—

Pierre Elliott Trudeau [Public] Markham		OSSSL count: 415				
ESL (%)		Special needs (%): 5.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: 3.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 3/727 3/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Aplid)	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.3	—
OSSSL passed (%) -FT	92.8	94.7	96.0	96.4	97.1	▲
OSSSL passed (%) -PE	35.5	95.2	65.2	97.0	72.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.6	6.9	7.6	6.2	6.5	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E 0.01	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 4.7	F 3.1	F 4.0	F 2.6	M 1.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	9.8	9.7	9.2	9.2	—

St Augustine [Catholic] Markham		OSSSL count: 389				
ESL %: 0.0		Special needs (%): 9.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,100: 1.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
Rank:	26/727	7/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.2	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.6	▼
OSSSL passed (%)-FTE	97.1	96.4	97.3	95.2	92.0	—
OSSSL passed (%)-PE	92.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	8.7	9.7	10.2	8.6	9.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSSL	M 1.6	M 1.6	E	F 2.8	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.4	9.1	8.9	8.6	8.3	▼

Markville [Public]		Markham		OSSLT count: 419				
				Special needs (%)		8.8		
ESL (%)		31.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,100: 1.4				Rank: 105/727		73/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.6	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.7	89.1	88.1	92.6	88.5	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.0	43.3	65.7	63.6	66.7	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	17.3	14.6	16.0	14.1	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 4.2	F 4.3	F 2.6	F 10.5	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.2	1.5	0.7	0.0	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.7	8.3	7.9	7.6	—	—	—

Renaissance [Catholic] Aurora		OSLST count: 79					
ESL (%)	2.5	Special needs (%)					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: -0.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 279/727					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.2	2.7	3.0	2.8	—	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	n/a	n/a	1.2	n/a	1.9	—	n/a
OSSLST passed (%) -FTE	86.8	83.6	90.2	82.2	83.5	—	n/a
OSSLST passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.3	38.7	32.2	10.3	23.8	—	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	—	n/a
Gender gap OSSLST	F 9.7	F 22.7	M 6.2	F 9.7	F 4.7	—	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.8	0.0	1.6	0.9	—	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	3.9	7.1	7.7	6.7	—	n/a

St Elizabeth [Catholic] Thornhill		OSSSL count: 534				
ESL (%): 9.9		Special needs (%): 14.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,200: 0.5		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 145/727				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.4	—
OSSSL passed (%)-FTE	90.5	92.1	90.3	92.0	88.7	—
OSSSL passed (%)-PE	75.0	75.0	62.9	67.7	55.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	17.1	13.2	18.0	12.3	16.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 4.7	F 0.8	F 7.4	F 2.6	F 14.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.6	7.9	8.4	7.4	—

Middlefield [Public] Markham	OSSST count: 436					
ESL (%): 25.9	Special needs (%): 10.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,000: 2.2	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
	Rank: 79/727 39/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.1	2.4	2.9	2.8	2.5	—
OSSST passed (%)—FT	86.6	86.9	83.6	95.8	86.3	—
OSSST passed (%)—PE	44.2	60.9	52.7	90.5	62.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.4	17.3	16.9	11.1	15.6	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSST	F 1.4	F 5.4	F 12.9	F 3.1	F 10.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	5.3	0.0	1.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.2	8.0	8.8	7.8	—

Richmond Green [Public] Richmond Hill		OSSSL count: 326				
ESL (%): 12.6		Special needs (%): 16.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,800: 1.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/lvl)	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.6	2.6	—
OSSSL passed (%) -FT	90.6	90.8	91.0	92.2	94.1	▲
OSSSL passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	67.6	78.9	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.0	17.0	16.3	11.3	10.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSSL	M 3.1	M 3.8	F 3.0	F 2.7	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.0	8.2	8.4	8.3	—

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic]		Woodbridge		OSSSLT count: 420		
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 17.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,200: 200.5		2009-10			Last 5 Years	
		Rank:		265/727	301/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ap/d)	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.7	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.7	88.2	82.8	86.2	84.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	38.9	54.0	57.4	40.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	24.4	26.6	28.1	21.3	—
Gender gap (Level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 3.3	F 8.8	F 7.8	M 2.0	F 2.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.9	0.3	1.1	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.1	6.8	—

St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Maple		OSSLT count: 527											
ESL (%): 10.4		Special needs (%): 16.1					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,100: -0.2		Rank: 371/727					426/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.2		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.2	78.4	79.9	83.7	83.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.3	60.8	63.8	56.0		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	31.2	39.0	36.0	29.1	28.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	32.4	23.7	20.5	21.4		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 15.2	F 0.7	F 5.5	F 5.5	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 3.5	F 8.1	F 11.4		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.7	3.0	0.5	1.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0		
Overall rating out of 10		6.4	5.1	5.5	6.1	6.2							
Stouffville District [Public] Stouffville		OSSLT count: 257											
ESL (%): 1.9		Special needs (%): 21.0					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$122,500: -1.1		Rank: 309/727					281/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.1	90.1	88.0	87.9	86.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	36.4	52.9	64.5		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.7	52.1	53.3	65.4	54.1	▲	Tests below standard (%)	32.4	23.7	20.5	22.6		
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	22.6	17.2	19.5	19.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.9	F 0.3	F 1.2		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 3.5	F 8.1	F 11.4	F 11.4	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.4	0.6	1.6		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.4	—	Overall rating out of 10		5.7	7.3	7.1		
Overall rating out of 10		6.8	6.5	6.6	6.5	—							
Vaughan [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 244											
ESL (%): 39.8		Special needs (%): 9.8					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,200: 0.5		Rank: 181/727					165/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	2.2	2.1		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	90.3	90.3	89.0	86.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.7	52.1	53.3	65.4		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.7	52.1	53.3	65.4	54.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	28.4	22.6	17.2	19.1		
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	22.6	17.2	19.5	19.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.9	F 0.3	F 1.2		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 3.5	F 8.1	F 11.4	F 11.4	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.4	0.6	1.6		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.4	—	Overall rating out of 10		5.8	7.8	8.3		
Overall rating out of 10		7.4	7.2	—									
St Maximilian Kolbe [Catholic] Aurora		OSSLT count: 221											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.7					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a		Rank: 145/727					n/a						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92.7	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17.2	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 7.6	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.3	n/a	Overall rating out of 10		n/a	n/a	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.4	n/a						
Sutton [Public] Sutton West		OSSLT count: 237											
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 43.0					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -3.7		Rank: 690/727					640/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.5	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.1		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.7	72.8	75.9	66.2	62.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.1	52.4	45.7	50.0		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.7	59.4	46.7	52.3	80.6	—	Tests below standard (%)	43.5	42.9	36.4	45.0		
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	18.2	19.5	15.7	10.0	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.1	F 22.0	F 1.6	F 27.3		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.2	F 22.0	F 1.6	F 22.2	F 27.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.6		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	3.0	1.3	1.8	0.4	—	Overall rating out of 10		3.4	4.2	5.0		
Overall rating out of 10		2.9	3.1	—									
Westmount [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 350											
ESL (%): 6.9		Special needs (%): 24.6					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$179,100: -1.2		Rank: 26/727					73/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.4		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.9	90.0	89.1	93.5	92.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.7	59.4	46.7	52.3		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	51.7	59.4	46.7	52.3	80.6	—	Tests below standard (%)	16.0	18.2	19.5	15.7		
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	26.2	25.6	24.9	29.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 13.1	F 13.9	F 11.0		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 10.4	F 1.8	F 7.8	F 3.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	2.5	3.3	0.9		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.5	0.4	1.9	0.3	—	Overall rating out of 10		8.0	7.9	7.5		
Overall rating out of 10		7.7	8.3	—									
St Robert [Catholic] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 411											
ESL (%): 14.4		Special needs (%): 7.1					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,500: 2.2		Rank: 20/727					7/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.9	92.7	95.3	97.2	92.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	87.9	91.9	89.5	92.9		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	96.0	94.8	94.0	91.8	91.6	▼	Tests below standard (%)	19.2	22.1	15.0	13.1		
Tests below standard (%)	11.5	8.4	9.1	9.8	9.8	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	F 0.1		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	M 1.1	F 1.0	F 0.2		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	M 4.1	F 5.0	F 3.6	F 6.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	3.0	1.3	1.8		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.3	—	Overall rating out of 10		9.0	8.7	8.5		
Overall rating out of 10		8.5	8.5	—									
St Therese of Lisieux [Catholic] Richmond Hill		OSSLT count: 357											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.5					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,300: 1.5		Rank: 26/727					10/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend							
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.2	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.6	2.4	2.2		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.0	94.8	94.0	91.8	91.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	84.5	8				

Southwestern Ontario

AVON MAITLAND AREA

Central Huron [Public] Clinton		OSSLT count: 175							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		32.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: 0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 419/727		301/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	84.3	80.5	79.7	81.6	80.3	▼			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	76.6	76.6	57.1	61.5	61.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	29.3	23.7	27.2	26.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 6.7	M 1.8	F 2.3	F 6.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.7	3.9	0.7	0.8	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.0	7.3	6.2	5.9	—			

South Huron [Public] Exeter		OSSLT count: 185							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		23.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: 0.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 294/727		326/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	86.3	82.3	79.9	87.5	83.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	63.6	53.1	61.5	50.0	57.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	28.3	29.1	25.1	29.9	23.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 2.5	F 8.8	F 11.3	F 4.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	2.2	1.3	0.6	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.0	6.6	—			

Stratford Northwestern [Public] Stratford		OSSLT count: 267							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		21.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,800: 1.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 197/727		105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	82.4	88.3	91.3	86.8	80.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	70.6	63.2	n/a	54.5	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	16.9	22.0	20.3	20.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.4	F 12.5	F 0.6	F 5.7	F 6.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.2	8.0	7.3	7.1	—			

BRANTFORD

Assumption [Catholic] Brantford		OSSLT count: 449							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		13.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 0.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 279/727		301/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	83.3	86.3	80.7	82.5	86.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	40.7	n/a	76.5	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	18.4	16.4	18.8	14.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 8.1	F 11.3	F 6.7	F 10.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.9	8.0	7.0	7.3	—			

Brantford [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 279							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		9.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: -0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 513/727		426/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	79.7	82.0	81.3	81.2	80.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	68.3	55.6	57.1	59.6	55.6	—			
Tests below standard (%)	30.1	31.5	27.7	31.6	32.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.0	F 15.5	F 16.8	F 17.0	F 2.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	3.1	1.4	3.4	3.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.1	6.4	5.5	5.3	—			

North Park [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 390							
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		15.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: 1.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 197/727		265/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	85.2	81.5	76.8	81.7	83.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	67.4	54.9	51.9	38.0	59.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	29.7	30.1	25.4	20.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 5.3	M 0.4	F 13.9	F 4.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.7	1.1	1.5	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.5	6.6	6.5	7.1	—			

Pauline Johnson [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 357							
ESL (%)	1.7	Special needs (%)		17.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,600: -1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		Rank: 641/727		588/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.4	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	74.5	67.4	77.3	76.0	73.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	40.0	41.3	50.0	59.1	51.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	37.1	43.4	38.6	36.7	43.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.3	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 13.0	F 12.6	M 2.9	F 6.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	2.4	4.3	2.5	4.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	3.9	4.7	5.2	4.0	—			

St John's (Catholic) Brantford		OSSLT count: 428					
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 15.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,200: 0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
		Rank:	294/727			326/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.8	87.1	88.9	86.4	85.2	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.5	56.3	58.1	48.7	57.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	28.9	28.0	31.4	23.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 5.1	F 6.9	F 7.0	F 13.6	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	2.6	1.3	1.7	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	5.7	6.6	6.6	—	

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Simcoe		OSSLT count: 314				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,500: 1.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 197/727		165/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	89.0	89.2	88.3	88.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.0	54.3	62.1	60.0	63.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	21.4	24.6	21.1	21.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.3	F 8.5	M 1.5	F 2.0	F 2.5	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.7	1.5	1.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.1	

Waterford [Public] Waterford		OSLST count: 105				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		37.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: -0.5		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.1	—
OSLST passed (%) - FTE	77.1	71.3	72.2	74.3	80.8	—
OSLST passed (%) - PE	72.0	60.7	42.9	42.1	52.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	40.5	39.5	38.9	31.1	35.8	—
Gender gap (Level) - Math	E	M0.1	F0.3	M0.2	M0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.2	F 33.1	F 8.3	F 10.5	F 7.3	▼
Gr 9 test not written (%)	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	4.6	4.6	5.3	5.2	▲

GREATER ESSEX AREA

Tollgate Tech [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 159				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		61.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,600: -5.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	717/727	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.1	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39.1	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.6	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

McKinnon Park [Public] Caledonia		OSSLT count: 260				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,000: -1.1		2009-10	2010-11	Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	530/727	426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.4	81.1	83.6	81.5	79.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.3	45.8	61.3	56.7	46.4	—
Test below standard (%)	29.3	28.5	29.3	32.0	32.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 6.7	F 13.4	F 15.9	F 14.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	2.2	2.4	1.0	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	5.9	5.2	5.2	▼

Assumption [Catholic] Windsor	OSSLT count: 206					
ESL, (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 18.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,100: -1.2	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:	623/727	588/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.7	76.5	78.9	80.3	75.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	39.4	44.8	45.7	54.5	33.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	41.3	39.1	39.5	31.4	37.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F0.1	F0.1	M0.1	F0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F7.0	F7.8	F19.7	F18.6	F8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.2	3.4	3.9	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	5.1	4.3	5.6	4.2	—

GRAND ERIE AREA

Cayuga [Public] Cayuga		OSLST count: 195				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 578/727				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.2	1.7	—
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	86.7	83.7	87.8	73.2	80.9	—
OSLST passed (%)-PE	64.9	77.3	56.8	42.9	72.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.1	28.0	26.9	37.2	35.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.3	F 10.9	F 4.1	F 21.0	F 14.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.7	2.9	1.5	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.3	7.0	4.5	4.8	—

Paris [Public] Paris	OSSLT count: 290					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,600: -0.3	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.8	87.8	83.6	86.7	84.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.2	64.2	60.4	52.6	54.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.4	26.5	28.9	26.1	28.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 5.6	F 7.8	F 2.7	F 9.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.6	2.7	0.5	3.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.1	6.3	6.4	5.7	▼

Delhi [Public] Delhi		OSSLT count: 136					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,600: 0.0							
		Rank:	478/727		343/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level	Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level	Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.5	—
OSSSLT passed (%) - FTE		84.7	89.0	85.7	81.3	75.0	▼
OSSSLT passed (%) - PE		71.4	45.5	n/a	64.7	33.3	n/a
Test below standard (%)		30.7	35.1	21.6	32.8	31.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	E	E	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	M 0.2	F 10.0	F 8.6	F 11.1	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		16.5	1.3	5.9	0.0	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10		6.0	6.6	7.8	6.1	5.5	—

Port Dover [Public] Port Dover	OSLST count: 91					
ESL (%): 12.1	Special needs (%): 25.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: -1.0	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	547/727	479/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.8	1.7	1.9	2.5	—
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	78.1	92.7	80.2	84.2	67.9	—
OSLST passed (%)-PE	42.9	62.5	n/a	56.5	47.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	30.8	24.2	34.0	37.6	32.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.2	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSLST	F 20.2	F 3.6	M 3.4	F 8.9	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	2.8	0.0	7.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	7.0	5.2	5.0	5.1	—

Cardinal Carter [Catholic] Leamington				OSSLT count: 250			
ESL%: 1.6				Special needs%: (39.6)			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800: -0.3				2009-10	Last 5 Years		
				Rank:	445/727	515/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.0	72.1	84.7	84.9	83.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	40.0	22.7	61.9	26.2	34.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.1	36.7	33.5	35.7	28.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.9	E	F 0.3	F 8.3	F 14.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.0	0.5	1.6	0.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.2	5.7	5.1	5.7	—	

Dunville [Public] Dunville		OSSLT count: 178					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: -2.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
		Rank:	698/727		618/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.6	71.7	75.0	75.2	65.0	▼	
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.7	55.2	53.5	64.3	67.9	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	46.0	43.2	39.2	47.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 15.2	F 22.2	F 18.0	F 22.7	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.7	7.5	8.5	2.5	5.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	3.7	3.8	4.6	2.8	—	

Simcoe [Public] Simcoe		OSSLT count: 237				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: -0.1		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Ad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	78.7	82.0	77.7	80.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.8	59.0	60.9	45.2	47.6	▼
Tests below standard (%)	29.4	34.4	26.4	30.3	29.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 8.6	F 6.1	F 12.2	F 13.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	3.2	5.9	1.8	2.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.7	6.8	5.7	5.7	—

Catholic Central [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 306				
ESL (%):	32.0	Special needs (%):		17.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: -1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 633/727		636/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.6	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	67.8	65.2	64.5	73.9	73.0	—
38.4 (%) - PE	38.4	30.3	43.6	38.7	41.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	43.3	48.0	47.5	48.2	44.7	▼
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.1	F 6.6	M 4.8	F 11.8	F 11.8	—
GR 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	0.6	1.6	1.8	5.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.6	4.1	—

Hagersville [Public] Hagersville		OSSLT count: 191				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 38.7				
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,100: -2.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	662/727	640/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	73.0	65.9	70.2	63.3	67.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	46.9	31.7	27.3	44.2	33.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	41.6	49.7	45.3	48.1	46.1	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	E n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 23.8	F 20.2	n/a	F 28.1	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	4.3	11.2	2.7	2.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.7	

Valley Heights [Public] Langton		OSSLT count: 174				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 14.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,000: -0.6		2009-10	2010	Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acd)		2.7	2.6	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.2	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.3
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE		77.3	68.9	76.2	63.3	70.7
OSSLT passed (%) - PE		42.4	33.3	41.7	35.3	36.8
Tests below standard (%)		37.8	41.9	40.0	39.8	36.3
Gender gap (level)-Math	M	0.2	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.1	F 0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	F	9.5	F 20.6	F 15.7	F 19.8	F 19.9
GR 7 tests not written (%)		1.9	2.1	1.3	0.9	4.1
Overall rating out of 10		5.3	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.8

E.J.Lajeunesse [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 131					
ESL (%): 8.4		Special needs (%): 47.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,000: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 years			
		Rank:	351/727	253/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.1	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.8	3.0	▲	
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	65.9	79.8	58.9	73.1	91.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	40.0	45.8	40.0	52.8	62.5	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	36.0	47.0	31.1	30.6	—	
Gender gap (Level) - Math	M 0.2	n/a	E 0.02	M 0.3	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 19.6	F 16.6	F 20.8	F 7.9	—	
Gr 9 test results not tested (%)	2.8	7.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.0	3.9	5.4	6.3	—	

Essex [Public] Essex		OSSLT count: 254									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 8.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,600:-0.2		Rank: 330/727		148/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.8	91.5	84.7	87.5	83.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.2	71.9	70.6	66.7	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	18.1	20.7	19.5	20.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 7.4	F 1.3	F 5.8	F 23.3	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.0	2.5	0.9	2.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.1	7.9	7.5	6.4	—					

John L Forster [Public] Windsor		OSSLT count: 256									
ESL (%): 43.0		Special needs (%): 6.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$39,800:-2.8		Rank: 705/727		604/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.5	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.3	75.0	72.1	68.3	58.2	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.0	63.6	41.2	45.0	26.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	36.9	35.6	41.9	45.1	53.2	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.5	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 3.2	M 4.2	F 13.7	F 11.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	30.2	21.0	8.4	7.3	4.2	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	5.4	3.8	2.1	▼					

St Anne [Catholic] Tecumseh		OSSLT count: 425									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.9									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,100: 0.6		Rank: 105/727		105/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.8	83.4	80.8	81.3	89.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.9	72.7	65.7	65.5	59.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	28.1	31.8	25.8	22.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 4.2	F 4.1	F 6.4	M 1.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.6	4.0	2.6	0.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.6	—					

F J Brennan [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 202									
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 18.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 1.5		Rank: 161/727		301/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.8	83.4	80.8	81.3	89.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.9	72.7	65.7	65.5	59.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	28.1	31.8	25.8	22.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 4.2	F 4.1	F 6.4	M 1.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.6	4.0	2.6	0.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	6.9	—					

Kingsville [Public] Kingsville		OSSLT count: 122									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 4.1									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 2.2		Rank: 34/727		148/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.3	92.1	81.3	88.3	95.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	52.9	56.3	63.0	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	25.2	30.5	17.4	11.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.9	F 3.3	F 6.7	M 2.4	F 3.4	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	0.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.0	6.4	7.2	8.2	—					

St Joseph's [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 315									
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 24.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.7		Rank: 309/727		301/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.1	80.6	78.6	87.9	79.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.0	n/a	41.2	65.0	59.3	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	25.4	29.3	25.0	22.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.2	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 6.3	F 4.4	F 9.6	M 2.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	3.3	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	6.1	6.6	6.5	—					

Harrow [Public] Harrow		OSSLT count: 91									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,200: 0.4		Rank: 253/727		223/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					

Western [Public] Amherstburg		OSSLT count: 205											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 100.0											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: -6.2		Rank: 717/727 689/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.2	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.1	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	39.3	27.9	21.6	27.1	20.0	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.7	67.4	72.2	79.7	76.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	23.7	20.2	24.3	21.3	34.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.3	41.7	50.0	51.9	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	64.7	71.6	71.3	73.5	68.1	▼	Tests below standard (%)	50.9	53.9	37.4	30.8	27.9	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.5	F 0.9	M 1.6	n/a	n/a	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.8	F 11.3	F 8.9	M 5.3	F 3.7	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	10.5	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	15.0	1.4	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	2.8	2.6	5.3	6.1	6.4	▲

HAMILTON-WENTWORTH AREA

Ancaster [Public] Ancaster		OSSLT count: 249											
ESL (%): 2.8		Special needs (%): 18.9											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$120,100: -0.6		Rank: 225/727 177/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.1	90.6	92.1	91.9	88.5	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	63.1	58.0	76.7	67.6	63.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.6	58.1	57.6	n/a	41.2	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.6	40.6	54.4	43.5	43.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	24.9	21.5	15.2	18.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	49.7	51.4	51.2	45.9	45.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.4	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 7.4	F 11.6	F 5.2	F 4.5	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.5	F 13.7	F 16.2	F 11.7	F 16.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.4	0.9	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	12.4	10.2	2.9	3.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	7.2	7.5	7.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	3.0	2.3	3.3	3.8	3.5	—

Barton [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 323											
ESL (%): 18.9		Special needs (%): 11.1											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: -3.2		Rank: 703/727 606/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	1.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	1.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.5	71.7	73.2	78.0	65.5	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.1	69.6	74.9	82.1	65.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.1	40.0	27.1	49.3	47.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.9	37.5	46.2	34.9	30.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	40.9	39.9	40.5	35.3	51.3	—	Tests below standard (%)	41.1	42.8	37.7	39.3	41.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	F 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.8	M 5.8	F 4.7	F 2.7	F 15.4	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 14.6	F 10.6	F 4.8	F 2.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	6.6	4.7	9.7	8.6	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	4.8	3.6	2.5	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.9	5.3	5.1	2.3	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.8	4.2	4.8	4.9	3.9	—

Bishop Ryan [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 338											
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 33.4											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: 1.3		Rank: 197/727 194/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.1	84.7	84.4	85.9	90.5	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.7	89.7	88.2	94.4	92.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.7	30.3	57.1	60.4	39.5	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.6	27.9	23.9	25.0	20.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	19.7	23.0	20.2	18.8	13.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E F 0.1	E F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E M 0.1	E F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.8	F 10.8	F 6.6	F 3.3	F 10.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 5.9	F 13.9	F 7.6	F 3.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	2.5	1.4	0.7	0.7	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.0	2.7	1.4	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.5	7.4	6.9	7.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.0	6.8	7.0	7.5	—

Bishop Tonnon [Catholic] Ancaster		OSSLT count: 395											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 29.6											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$111,900: -0.2		Rank: 197/727 148/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.0	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.3	87.7	88.0	91.4	89.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.2	73.3	70.2	80.0	75.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	52.4	47.9	66.7	55.6	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.8	51.7	43.3	76.7	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	17.6	24.8	17.7	17.0	—	Tests below standard (%)	34.6	37.8	45.9	31.8	35.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 4.5	F 10.0	F 8.5	F 10.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 24.3	F 11.4	F 6.1	F 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	0.6	1.4	1.7	1.6	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	11.6	4.4	1.8	6.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.1	6.7	7.4	7.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.1	3.8	6.1	5.4	—

Cardinal Newman [Catholic] Stoney Creek		OSSLT count: 390											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 42.1											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: 1.6		Rank: 92/727 689/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.0	80.6	87.6	92.0	91.5	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	72.4	79.7	72.2	70.5	83.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.6	41.5	57.8	55.7	46.2</								

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Hamilton										OSSLT count: 399	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 25.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,300:-1.5					Rank: 672/727 662/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.6	75.8	66.5	67.5	61.7	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	57.9	43.2	38.8	40.2	42.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	46.6	52.4	52.8	52.4	45.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.7	F 9.7	F 19.3	F 9.5	F 5.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	7.0	9.1	8.1	6.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.5	—					

Westmount [Public] Hamilton										OSSLT count: 371	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 4.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,300:-1.5					Rank: 145/727 105/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.0	95.3	96.3	93.4	93.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	68.8	55.6	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	20.2	15.1	17.2	14.4	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	M 2.6	F 3.9	F 10.0	F 6.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	2.2	5.9	3.6	1.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.8	7.8	7.4	7.4	—					

Kitchener Waterloo [Public] Kitchener										OSSLT count: 403	
ESL (%): 1.2					Special needs (%): 45.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,500: 0.3					Rank: 371/727 426/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	63.4	83.3	82.9	75.9	74.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.8	43.9	48.1	41.3	59.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	36.6	29.2	29.1	34.6	24.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 4.7	F 8.7	F 15.3	F 2.4	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.3	6.3	6.5	9.4	4.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.9	6.4	4.8	6.2	—					

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Hamilton										OSSLT count: 559	
ESL (%): 3.8					Special needs (%): 20.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,200: 1.2					Rank: 225/727 365/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.2	76.6	81.6	81.0	85.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.3	45.3	35.8	46.1	57.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	33.6	33.1	28.3	22.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 10.0	F 5.4	M 0.1	F 8.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	2.9	2.4	0.2	0.5	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.8	5.8	6.2	7.0	—					

KITCHENER										OSSLT count: 408	
ESL (%): 5.1					Special needs (%): 11.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800: 0.5					Rank: 309/727 246/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.1	84.0	87.9	89.9	87.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.4	73.4	67.6	74.3	65.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	25.3	21.6	19.3	21.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 9.0	F 11.0	F 5.7	F 2.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	4.6	1.0	2.7	1.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.9	7.4	7.2	6.5	—					

Resurrection [Catholic] Kitchener										OSSLT count: 490	
ESL (%): 1.0					Special needs (%): 27.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: 0.0					Rank: 351/727 223/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.0	85.6	83.7	82.5	86.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.4	51.4	45.7	45.8	41.5	▼					
Tests below standard (%)	22.1	27.9	30.3	27.4	29.7	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 4.6	F 5.5	F 3.2	F 11.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.5	3.3	3.4	2.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.6	6.5	6.3	5.7	▼					

St Thomas More [Catholic] Hamilton										OSSLT count: 526	
ESL (%): 6.3					Special needs (%): 27.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,800: 0.3					Rank: 371/727 326/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)</td											

John McGregor [Public] Chatham		OSSLT count: 303					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 45.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,500: -1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
		Rank:	623/727		618/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	1.8	2.2	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.9	75.3	65.9	64.7	65.3	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.6	45.8	27.8	22.2	39.5		
Tests below standard (%)	33.0	39.9	46.2	46.1	43.3	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	▲	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.3	F 7.3	F 10.3	F 19.8	M 1.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	3.2	2.4	0.0	2.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.9	3.9	2.8	4.2	—	

Ridgewood [Public] Ridgewood		OSSLT count: 76				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: -0.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	497/727	493/693				
Academic	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.3	90.5	83.8	71.8	77.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	54.5	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Test below standard (%)	26.7	28.8	35.1	37.2	25.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E 0.4	M 0.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	M 12.1	F 11.9	F 4.2	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	3.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.6	4.7	4.3	5.4	—

Tilbury [Public] Tilbury		OSSLT count: 118				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 411/727			596/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.7	73.1	71.7	74.3	81.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	60.0	68.2	53.6	64.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	37.7	46.3	38.3	40.4	29.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 15.4	F 19.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.2	0.0	2.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.8	4.4	4.1	6.0	—

Lambton Central [Public] Petrolia		OSSLT count: 315					
ESL %: 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,900: 1.2				2009-10	Last 5 Years		
		Rank:		225/727	177/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE		92.0	88.3	85.7	84.9	89.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE		73.5	64.5	68.3	52.1	69.8	—
Tests below standard (%)		19.1	24.9	22.5	24.3	22.5	—
Gender gap (level)—Math		F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT		F 11.0	F 12.7	F 11.2	F 7.9	F 7.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		0.7	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10		7.8	6.9	7.4	6.7	7.0	

Saint-François-Xavier [Catholic] Sarnia		OSLT count: 29				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 24.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,300: -2.9		2009-10	2010	Last 5 Years		n/a
Rank:	688/727					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0	1.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	58.8	79.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.4	42.9	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.8	3.2	n/a

Ursuline (The Pines) [Catholic] Chatham		OSSSL count: 423				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%)		20.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: 0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:		351/1727	343/693	
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	—
OSSSL passed (%) -FTE	86.4	82.3	83.9	79.9	86.1	
OSSSL passed (%) -PE	54.0	65.6	70.4	82.1	79.5	▲
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	27.7	29.1	25.6	25.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M	O.1	E	M	O.1
Gender gap OSSSL	F 9.2	F 1.5	F 12.9	F 10.2	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.9	0.7	0.3	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.3	—

Lambton Kent [Public] Dresden		OSSLT count: 99				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%)		7/23		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,700: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	478/727	532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.0	2.8	
Av. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	87.6	76.7	78.3	82.1	79.4	
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	50.0	26.3	77.8	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	37.5	41.5	34.6	23.9	30.5	
Gender (age) - Math	M 0.5	F 0.0	F 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.2	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 9.7	F 18.8	F 10.0	F 2.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	0.0	4.5	2.0	0.0	
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.5	5.1	6.7	5.5	

Sarnia [Public] Sarnia	OSSLT count: 239				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: -1.7	2009-10 Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.9
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.9	82.9	81.0	78.8	78.0
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.3	63.6	50.0	43.4	52.3
Tests below standard (%)	36.3	33.3	36.6	39.2	41.9
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 5.6	F 6.8	F 7.9	F 7.1
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	8.8	3.8	7.8	6.5
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.0	5.4	4.7	4.3

Wallaceburg [Public] Wallaceburg		OSSIT count: 234				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,500: 0.5		2009-10	2010	2011	2012	Last 5 Years
		Rank:	392/727	493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.5	—
OSSIT passed (%)-FTE	70.8	70.0	76.2	66.9	79.2	—
OSSIT passed (%)-PE	52.9	45.3	38.1	44.4	38.6	▼
Tests below standard (%)	33.7	37.0	35.5	34.2	30.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSIT	M 0.5	M 1.2	F 26.1	F 2.3	F 9.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	3.8	4.2	2.1	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.6	5.0	5.2	6.1	—

North Lambton [Public] Forest		OSSLT count: 155				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		18.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	478/727	365	693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.4	2.6	1.9	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.3	83.8	84.9	87.9	84.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.1	71.4	68.4	58.3	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	31.5	24.4	25.9	28.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 0.4	F 8.9	F 9.7	F 9.4	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	0.0	2.0	4.3	4.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.3	6.8	6.2	5.5	—

St Christopher [Catholic] Sarnia		OSSLT count: 229				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 225/727 105/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.5	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.5	95.4	94.3	90.1	93.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	93.3	n/a	n/a	76.5	89.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	12.5	18.1	20.8	18.8	18.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 7.4	F 3.8	F 8.9	F 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.5	1.6	0.0	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	7.9	7.3	7.1	7.0	▼

AB Lucas [Public] London		OSSEI count: 338				
ESL (%): 5.9		Special needs (%): 12.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,000: 0.8		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 63/727 39/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	—
OSSEI passed (%)-FTE	89.1	91.3	92.3	93.9	91.8	▲
OSSEI passed (%)-PE	n/a	81.4	75.0	71.8	72.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.5	15.0	14.6	12.8	13.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSEI	F 9.5	M 2.4	F 7.5	F 3.9	F 1.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.4	0.4	1.6	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.6	8.4	8.2	7.9	—

Northern [Public] Sarnia		OSSLT count: 290				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		10%: 100		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: 0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.4	93.9	91.8	94.0	94.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	71.9	73.0	73.3	84.2	61.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.1	17.0	17.8	12.8	16.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F O	M O	M O	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 2.2	F 9.4	F 1.1	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.7	0.4	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.3	7.6	8.1	7.6	—

St Clair [Public] Sarnia		OSSSL count: 225				
ESL (%): 8.4		Special needs (%): 9.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,900: 0.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years				
		Rank: 432/727				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Aad)	2.2	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	1.7	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.2	—
OSSSL passed (%)—FTE	85.8	85.0	78.7	76.7	79.9	▼
OSSSL passed (%)—PE	50.0	28.6	44.4	54.0	69.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.9	30.4	32.9	32.8	30.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 12.0	F 16.7	F 1.6	F 15.7	F 21.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	8.9	6.3	3.3	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	6.2	5.9	5.2	5.8	—

Catholic Central [Catholic] London		OSLLT count: 343				
ESL (%)	20.1	Special needs (%)		7.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,800: 0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 330/727		343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.9	—
OSLLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	80.9	88.7	90.8	88.3	—
OSLLT passed (%)-PE	76.1	44.2	64.8	62.0	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	31.3	28.6	24.7	24.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSLLT	F 8.7	F 8.4	F 3.2	M 2.0	F 1.5	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.5	1.3	0.4	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.0	6.3	6.5	6.4	—

Pain Court [Catholic] Pain Court		OSLT count: 49				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,000: 1.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.4	n/a	2.4	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.1	84.5	75.4	75.4	78.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	22.9	18.4	20.6	16.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 24.9	F 34.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.1	7.1	6.5	7.1	—

St Patrick's [Catholic] Sarnia		OSSSLT count: 253				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 40.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: 0.8		2009-10	2010	Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	265/727		365/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.5	—
OSSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.4	84.1	75.6	80.3	83.4	—
OSSSLT passed (%)—PE	59.4	42.5	32.1	49.0	73.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	36.5	30.9	28.8	27.9	21.9	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSSLT	F 4.6	F 6.8	F 5.7	F 8.2	F 12.1	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.0	1.1	0.0	0.6	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	6.4	6.5	6.3	6.8	▲

Clarke Road [Public] London		OSSLT count: 435				
ESL (%): 0.2		Special needs (%): 19.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: -1.5		2009-10	2010	2011	2012	5 Years
Rank:	641/727	626/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.9	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.1	73.9	74.5	73.7	71.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.7	52.9	57.6	45.3	51.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	42.8	45.4	46.5	43.7	40.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 12.2	F 18.7	F 10.8	F 13.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.0	5.4	3.7	2.4	2.9	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.0	3.2	4.2	4.0	—

Gabriel-Dumont [Public] London									
OSSLT count: 25									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300:-1.4									
Rank: 605/727 n/a									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.4	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.8	77.8	83.3	81.0	75.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	6.3	37.8	28.6	31.6	33.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 30.0	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	4.0	5.5	5.0	4.5	n/a			

Montcalm [Public] London									
OSSLT count: 301									
ESL (%): 20.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,900:-1.5									
Rank: 669/727 559/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.6	77.6	72.1	69.0	62.0	—	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.0	42.3	38.9	47.1	32.4	—	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	38.2	38.4	41.2	45.6	45.4	—	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	M 5.3	F 3.1	M 3.3	F 7.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	3.7	10.7	9.2	5.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.2	5.1	4.4	3.6	—	▼		

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] London									
OSSLT count: 343									
ESL (%): 1.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,600:-0.3									
Rank: 513/727 493/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	—	—	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.3	87.8	77.8	84.4	87.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.9	49.0	47.6	46.8	50.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	33.7	36.4	31.0	30.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 3.4	F 13.7	F 8.0	F 17.1	—	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	3.4	3.3	2.2	6.2	—	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.6	4.6	5.5	5.3	—			

H B Beal [Public] London									
OSSLT count: 578									
ESL (%): 23.7									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,000:-1.4									
Rank: 623/727 578/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.2	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	69.6	63.1	74.8	76.8	74.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	49.3	49.4	48.6	53.0	46.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	43.2	45.9	40.1	34.3	40.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.6	F 13.8	F 6.8	E	F 12.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.7	15.4	12.9	17.2	12.0	—	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.2	5.2	5.9	4.2	—			

Mother Teresa [Catholic] London									
OSSLT count: 475									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,300: 0.3									
Rank: 625/727 90/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	—	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	92.2	93.9	91.5	93.4	91.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.0	76.7	68.8	78.9	45.8	—			
Tests below standard (%)	16.9	17.1	16.6	18.3	17.2	—	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E F 0.1	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 2.1	F 6.0	F 2.5	F 6.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.8	0.9	1.3	0.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.2	8.0	7.2	7.0	—	▼		

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] London									
OSSLT count: 331									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,400: 0.9									
Rank: 49/727 73/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.8	68.9	69.1	64.1	68.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.5	48.3	43.5	50.6	37.2	—			
Tests below standard (%)	39.2	37.7	37.6	36.4	41.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E M 0.2	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.5	F 16.3	F 10.6	F 4.2	F 1.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	8.7	8.4	9.6	7.4	—	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.2	7.7	7.8	8.0	—			

London Central [Public] London									
OSSLT count: 220									

</

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Centennial [Public] Welland									
OSSLT count: 253									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,700: -0.2									
Rank: 478/727 479/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.1	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.5	85.4	88.8	81.5	82.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	45.0	60.0	65.2	54.3	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	33.6	32.1	31.1	28.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 0.5	F 11.9	F 4.9	F 10.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	3.9	5.7	5.2	5.0	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.4	5.0	5.4	5.5	—			

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Welland									
OSSLT count: 83									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: -0.4									
Rank: 513/727 450/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.3	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.8	1.4	2.1	1.8	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.1	95.8	78.8	85.0	86.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	n/a	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	34.8	36.9	35.6	35.8	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E 0.4	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.8	F 3.3	M 6.0	F 10.0	F 3.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	5.7	4.9	5.3	5.3	—			

Saint Michael [Catholic] Niagara Falls									
OSSLT count: 212									
ESL (%): 5.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,800: 0.7									
Rank: 371/727 365/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.1	79.3	81.9	87.6	83.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	41.9	45.5	n/a	51.9	n/a	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	30.8	30.6	28.7	26.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.7	F 3.8	F 4.7	F 4.9	M 3.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.2	5.8	5.8	6.2	—			

Confédération [Public] Welland									
OSSLT count: 43									
ESL (%): 2.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,900: -2.2									
Rank: 683/727 463/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	n/a	2.6	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.5	57.5	51.0	n/a	55.6	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	52.0	50.0	37.5	n/a	38.1	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	42.9	47.8	n/a	48.4	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 31.8	n/a	F 14.6	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	4.2	3.3	n/a	3.3	n/a			

Lakeshore [Catholic] Port Colborne									
OSSLT count: 341									
ESL (%): 0.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800: 0.7									
Rank: 392/727 463/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.0	78.8	86.5	82.8	88.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.9	37.9	50.7	50.0	51.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	35.7	32.3	34.2	26.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 8.1	F 9.3	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.5	1.6	0.0	1.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.4	5.6	5.1	5.3	—			

Saint Paul [Catholic] Niagara Falls									
OSSLT count: 297									
ESL (%): 1.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based									
2009-10 Last 5 Years									
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,600: 1.9									
Rank: 92/727 60/693									
Academic Performance									
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.8	89.6	86.2	87.9	88.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.1	45.8	38.1	47.4	58.8	—			
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	19.6	24.5	18.5	16.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 10.0	F 12.4	F 10.3	F 6.1	F 14.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.7	1.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.0	7.4	7.5	7.7	—			

<tbl

ST CATHARINES

Denis Morris [Catholic] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 430									
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 24.4	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: -0.4	Rank: 513/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	▼	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	81.7	72.3	85.0	82.6	81.3	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	64.0	52.1	41.8	51.2	60.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.3	36.1	30.8	31.6	29.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F	0.1	M	0.2	M	0.2	M	0.1	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.0	F 19.9	F 0.7	F 10.7	F 7.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.6	0.6	▲	—	—	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.0	6.2	5.2	5.3	—	—	—	—	—	—

Eden [Public] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 199									
ESL (%): 6.0	Special needs (%): 8.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,500: 0.7	Rank: 197/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.1	1.6	2.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	97.8	92.5	95.4	93.2	92.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	82.4	n/a	80.0	n/a	70.6	n/a	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	17.2	14.1	18.2	16.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.7	F 2.5	F 5.0	F 1.4	F 12.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	8.3	7.0	7.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

Governor Simcoe [Public] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 213									
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 9.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: -0.5	Rank: 478/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.5	90.7	89.8	87.1	84.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	90.9	66.7	71.4	62.1	n/a	n/a	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	24.7	28.6	29.7	29.1	▼	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	M 5.1	F 7.6	F 10.7	M 5.6	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	8.1	11.1	2.4	1.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	5.8	5.6	5.5	▼	—	—	—	—	—

Holy Cross [Catholic] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 221									
ESL (%): 4.5	Special needs (%): 16.3	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: 0.7	Rank: 330/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	82.5	81.8	90.1	95.5	91.1	▲	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	48.1	50.0	69.0	47.8	60.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.7	32.1	23.2	20.6	23.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.1	F 6.6	F 0.1	F 5.2	F 9.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.1	1.9	1.6	0.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.8	7.3	7.1	6.4	—	—	—	—	—	—

Kernahan Park [Public] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 181									
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 73.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,800: -5.3	Rank: 717/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	1.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	14.7	22.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	18.8	29.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.3	75.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.7	21.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a	—	—	—	—	—

Laura Secord [Public] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 181									
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 6.6	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: 0.5	Rank: 392/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.7	▼	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.0	▼	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.5	86.3	91.6	84.3	87.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	61.1	62.5	62.5	72.4	80.0	▲	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.3	26.7	20.8	25.6	26.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.5	F 4.4	F 10.1	F 4.3	F 17.2	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.6	1.6	3.0	2.9	▼	—	—	—	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.7	6.9	6.4	6.1	—	—	—	—	—	—

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] St Catharines

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] St Catharines		OSSLT count: 268									
ESL (%): 16.0	Special needs (%): 6.7	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Trend
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 1.2	Rank: 145/727	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.4	—	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	94.9	92.6	96.0	95.1	98.2	▲	—	—	—	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	93.8	85.0	66.7	85.7	n/a	—	—	—	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.1	22.9									

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Lord Dorchester [Public] Dorchester		OSSLT count: 159								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.8								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,200:-0.6		Rank: 309/727 301/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.7	93.4	88.2	89.4	87.8	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—				
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	27.1	23.7	25.3	18.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	E	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.0	M 5.5	F 5.4	F 12.0	F 14.0	▼				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.3	3.0	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	6.5	—				

St Mary's [Catholic] Woodstock		OSSLT count: 250								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.6								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,200:-0.5		Rank: 309/727 223/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.6	2.2	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.6	89.3	84.5	89.6	85.9	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	44.4	63.2	57.1	57.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	26.2	18.8	25.8	23.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 2.9	F 3.3	F 0.5	F 8.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	1.5	0.8	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.8	8.1	6.6	6.5	—				

Centre Dufferin [Public] Shelburne		OSSLT count: 294								
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 17.7								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,400: 1.2		Rank: 265/727 281/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.3	86.9	81.4	85.8	84.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	67.9	60.0	53.8	45.5	47.1	▼				
Tests below standard (%)	27.8	23.1	28.2	26.6	22.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.5	F 3.6	M 1.5	F 5.6	F 9.9	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	1.8	5.3	2.1	2.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.2	6.9	6.4	6.8	—				

Medway [Public] Arva		OSSLT count: 208								
ESL (%): 2.4		Special needs (%): 13.0								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: 1.5		Rank: 105/727 81/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	94.3	92.0	91.3	94.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—				
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	17.7	16.6	15.4	16.3	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 8.6	M 1.2	F 2.1	F 3.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.9	7.9	7.4	7.6	—				

Strathtroy District [Public] Stratroy		OSSLT count: 366								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 29.0								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,800: 0.6		Rank: 309/727 148/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	83.9	83.4	84.6	82.5	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	53.1	62.5	60.0	61.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	22.3	20.5	22.3	24.1	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 7.5	F 8.6	F 9.2	F 8.3	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	5.6	1.5	1.6	3.3	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.5	—				

Centre Wellington [Public] Fergus		OSSLT count: 400								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: 0.3		Rank: 330/727 246/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.2	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.5	84.7	92.0	86.4	86.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.9	65.5	76.1	62.7	57.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	22.7	22.8	23.0	24.3	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 6.1	F 6.0	F 9.1	F 7.4	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	5.2	1.6	2.6	3.9	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.5	7.2	6.7	6.4	—				

Parkside [Public] St Thomas		OSSLT count: 302								
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 0.1		Rank: 371/727 223/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	78.3	80.8	80.0	80.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.7	73.0	71.4	60.0	49.0	▼				
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	28.1	24.0	26.1	27.1	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 13.4	F 10.3	F 26.6	F 15.4	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.7	2.3	0.9	1.6	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	6.8	7.4	6.4						

WATERLOO AREA

Norwell [Public] Palmerston										OSSLT count: 190	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,200: 1.9	Rank: 126/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	▲					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	81.9	80.0	85.9	89.3	87.6	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	39.1	48.4	48.6	34.5	75.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	34.6	32.2	27.2	23.5	16.8	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.1	F 7.8	F 7.8	F 9.9	F 2.3	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	1.1	1.9	0.6	0.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.9	6.5	6.6	7.5	▲					

Bluevale [Public] Waterloo										OSSLT count: 371	
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 16.2					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,800: 1.1	Rank: 49/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.4	89.7	93.0	89.7	91.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	57.6	80.0	76.9	73.3	77.8	—					
Tests below standard (%)	18.3	19.3	13.9	14.7	13.4	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	M 0.3	F 2.6	M 1.7	M 0.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	3.9	5.1	2.0	2.2	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.8	8.8	7.9	8.0	—					

Père-René-de-Galinée [Catholic] Cambridge										OSSLT count: 82	
ESL (%): 13.4	Special needs (%): 45.1					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,000: 2.5	Rank: 9/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.4	2.6	3.0	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.7	3.0	n/a	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.0	92.3	95.6	94.9	91.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	31.9	18.8	6.6	4.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	F 0.3	M 0.5	F 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	n/a	F 10.5	F 1.9	F 10.0	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	5.2	8.4	9.5	9.0	—					

Orangeville [Public] Orangeville										OSSLT count: 430	
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 23.0					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,900: 0.9	Rank: 181/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	87.1	90.1	83.0	82.0	87.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	52.2	67.5	53.1	53.3	64.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	18.0	25.6	23.8	21.5	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 14.1	F 9.4	F 11.1	F 2.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.6	2.7	0.7	0.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.8	6.7	7.2	—					

Elmira [Public] Elmira										OSSLT count: 276	
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 16.3					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$134,100: 0.2	Rank: 34/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.0	90.0	92.8	92.3	89.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	81.4	90.2	81.6	88.6	91.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	15.9	14.5	14.3	16.2	12.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 0.4	F 7.8	F 13	F 8.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	3.7	0.9	0.7	0.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.8	8.5	7.9	8.2	—					

Preston [Public] Cambridge										OSSLT count: 310	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,200: 0.5	Rank: 225/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	89.3	86.8	88.2	91.5	85.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.3	66.7	56.8	56.4	77.8	—					
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	26.4	24.3	21.2	20.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.8	F 7.4	F 2.1	F 2.9	F 10.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	4.8	6.4	2.5	3.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.0	7.2	7.3	7.0	—					

St James [Catholic] Guelph										OSSLT count: 248	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 19.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 0.3	Rank: 330/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.8	85.3	88.6	89.9	85.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	59.1	64.5	48.7	55.6	60.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.3	26.3	24.6	22.1	23.4	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.2	M 0.3	E 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 14.1	F 4.8	F 12.2	F 8.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	7.5	4.5	2.9	2.3	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.8	5.3	6.0	6.2	—					

Galt [Public] Cambridge										OSSLT count: 344	
ESL (%): 17.4	Special needs (%): 11.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: -0.5	Rank: 547/727					2009-10	Last 5 Years				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	1.8</td								

Waterloo [Public] Waterloo		OSSLT count: 390				
ESL (%): 21.5		Special needs (%): 10.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,900: 0.4		Rank: 253/727		105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.1	87.3	91.3	93.2	89.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.8	72.3	60.0	61.3	61.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	23.7	16.7	16.9	17.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 10.7	F 5.1	F 1.7	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	7.2	4.7	2.8	2.4	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.9	8.6	7.7	6.9	—

Waterloo-Oxford [Public] Baden		OSSLT count: 391				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 1.0		Rank: 145/727		105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.2	2.7	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.9	90.4	89.0	89.1	91.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	78.1	76.5	69.0	56.0	47.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	15.6	24.6	19.5	16.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 2.1	F 8.4	F 9.6	M 2.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.6	6.9	7.1	7.4	—

Eastern Ontario

HASTINGS-LIMESTONE AREA

Bayside [Public] Belleville										OSSLT count: 241			
										Special needs (%): 23.2			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: 2.0										Rank: 79/727	165/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.0	2.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.2	89.0	85.5	80.5	90.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	51.4	44.6	44.7	51.9	52.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	51.6	47.4	50.0	42.9	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	25.2	28.1	23.7	16.9	—	Tests below standard (%)	29.8	31.4	28.3	38.5	21.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.6	F 7.6	F 4.2	F 3.5	M 0.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 26.3	F 7.6	F 6.1	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.5	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.9	3.0	2.9	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.2	6.8	6.9	7.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.9	6.5	4.7	7.1	—

Moira [Public] Belleville										OSSLT count: 191			
										Special needs (%): 22.5			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: 1.4										Rank: 197/727	397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.4	3.0	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.0	2.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	87.1	78.9	81.2	73.0	85.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	51.6	47.4	50.0	42.9	56.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	51.6	47.4	50.0	42.9	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	31.4	28.3	38.5	21.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	29.8	31.4	28.3	38.5	21.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 26.3	F 7.6	F 6.1	F 8.5	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 26.3	F 7.6	F 6.1	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.5	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.9	3.0	2.9	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.9	6.5	4.7	7.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.9	6.5	4.7	7.1	—

Prince Edward [Public] Picton										OSSLT count: 276			
										Special needs (%): 35.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,400: 1.8										Rank: 265/727	343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	82.7	81.1	79.6	77.0	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	62.2	45.8	48.3	38.9	42.4	▼	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	62.2	45.8	48.3	38.9	42.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	32.3	30.2	31.5	23.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	24.9	32.3	30.2	31.5	23.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 13.9	F 27.5	M 1.5	F 9.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 13.9	F 27.5	M 1.5	F 9.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.8	2.2	0.6	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.8	2.2	0.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	6.3	5.6	6.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	6.3	5.6	6.8	—

Centennial [Public] Belleville										OSSLT count: 331			
										Special needs (%): 35.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,500: 1.9										Rank: 145/727	223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	83.3	82.6	87.4	79.5	92.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	55.1	48.4	44.1	39.7	57.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	55.1	48.4	44.1	39.7	57.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	23.4	26.1	28.2	20.2	—	Tests below standard (%)	35.0	35.1	34.3	41.2	31.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.6	F 7.1	F 8.1	F 4.4	M 2.9	▲	Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 20.5	F 7.7	F 13.7	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.8	3.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	5.4	2.6	2.2	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.6	6.9	5.9	7.4	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.3	5.6	4.0	5.2	—

Napanee [Public] Napanee										OSSLT count: 463			
										Special needs (%): 34.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: -0.6										Rank: 560/727	532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	89.9	78.7	78.4	70.6	64.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	60.0	38.2	48.1	42.6	33.9	▼	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	76.7	70.0	62.9	57.1	73.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	28.6	32.7	37.8	42.9	▼	Tests below standard (%)	20.4	31.3	22.6	20.6	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	▼	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 10.9	F 15.5	F 26.1	F 13.8	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 1.8	F 5.1	F 0.3	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	2.2	1.1	1.1	0.0	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.0	5.7	6.4	5.9	—	Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.7	n/a	—

North Addington [Public] Cloyne										OSSLT count: 30		
										Special needs (%): 30.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10	Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$32,200: -0.9										Rank: 662/727	n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	3.7	0.0	1.0
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.0	6.1	n/a</th								

Sydenham [Public] Sydenham		OSSLT count: 271							
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 32.1				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,300: 0.9		Rank: 279/727 281/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.4	78.0	82.8	83.3	79.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.4	39.3	55.1	56.4	65.0	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	27.4	26.2	22.7	20.6	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 11.7	F 16.7	F 3.7	M 0.8	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	6.7	6.9	6.7	—			

La Salle [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 242							
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 36.8				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,500: -1.0		Rank: 478/727 532/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.7	87.1	82.2	70.7	82.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	48.5	66.7	n/a	35.0	63.4	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	28.9	29.6	43.9	28.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.6	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 2.7	F 9.3	F 8.7	F 16.1	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	1.6	0.7	2.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.8	5.1	3.2	5.5	—			

All Saints [Catholic] Kanata		OSSLT count: 299							
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.1				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,900: 1.1		Rank: 41/727 81/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	▲			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.3	91.4	93.1	92.7	93.9	▲			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.0	53.3	73.7	73.7	62.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	20.9	16.4	10.8	11.4	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 2.9	F 5.4	F 2.3	M 4.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.5	7.9	8.3	8.1	▲			

Trenton [Public] Trenton		OSSLT count: 242							
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: -1.4		Rank: 623/727 515/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.3	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.5	79.7	75.1	70.9	79.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	54.2	36.1	50.0	51.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	32.9	38.9	38.7	39.9	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	F 0.3	M 0.5	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 3.5	F 12.4	M 2.3	F 10.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	6.5	5.1	7.0	7.5	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.4	5.0	4.6	4.2	▼			

KINGSTON		OSSLT count: 229							
ESL (%): 8.3		Special needs (%): 29.7				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,600: -0.3		Rank: 392/727 463/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.9	82.1	77.7	83.5	86.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	82.4	74.2	59.1	85.7	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	33.2	33.6	29.4	28.3	23.0	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	F 10.2	F 16.1	F 9.5	F 10.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	1.9	0.9	0.7	0.0	▲			
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.7	5.7	6.1	6.1	▲			

Loyalist [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 193							
ESL (%): 17.6		Special needs (%): 35.8				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: -1.0		Rank: 587/727 426/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.8	83.3	80.0	84.2	82.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	37.5	55.0	n/a	48.5	53.3	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	26.5	26.6	23.4	31.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.5	F 13.7	F 19.2	F 1.3	F 9.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.0	0.8	5.4	1.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.4	5.3	6.6	4.7	—			

Frontenac [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 283							
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 17.3				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,300: 1.1		Rank: 105/727 105/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.0	2.7	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.4	92.0	89.7	93.0	91.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.5	44.7	79.2	61.9	88.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.2	19.7	22.4	15.1	15.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	▼			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 4.9	F 14.1	F 3.1	F 2.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.3	2.0	0.0	0.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.6	6.8	—			

Holy Cross [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 310							
ESL (%): 4.5		Special needs (%): 27.7				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,000: 0.4		Rank: 265/727 223/693				2009-10 Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			

Colonel By [Public] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 314									
ESL (%)	5.1	Special needs (%)		10.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,400: 2.0		Rank: 4/727		2009-10		7/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.2	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.0	n/a	1.7	n/a	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	98.2	98.0	98.9	98.7	96.9	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	77.8	100.0	85.7	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	8.8	15.1	5.4	8.1	3.8	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 1.6	F 0.9	F 1.4	M 3.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	8.0	9.6	8.7	9.1	—					

Garneau [Catholic] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 186									
ESL (%)	1.1	Special needs (%)		21.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,600: 0.9		Rank: 79/727		2009-10		18/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.7	90.0	91.7	93.2	89.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	83.3	n/a	50.0	50.0	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	18.1	19.0	15.7	18.1	17.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 4.7	F 6.9	F 2.6	F 12.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.1	1.1	1.8	0.7	1.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.5	9.4	8.1	7.8	—					

Immaculata [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 237									
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		16.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,500: 0.7		Rank: 279/727		2009-10		246/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	2.5	2.4	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	92.1	92.5	88.9	91.0	86.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	59.1	83.3	70.0	87.9	65.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	21.3	15.4	14.6	21.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 1.3	F 6.7	F 8.2	F 10.1	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	0.9	0.0	0.7	—						
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.4	9.6	8.7	7.3	—					

De La Salle [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 200									
ESL (%)	12.0	Special needs (%)		13.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,200: 0.9		Rank: 161/727		2009-10		60/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	n/a	n/a	1.5	2.2	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	94.2	92.7	88.6	96.7	92.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	86.7	88.5	85.0	68.4	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	16.4	14.6	24.4	20.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 0.5	F 14.9	F 0.3	F 2.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.2	4.5	1.2	0.5	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.4	8.7	7.4	7.3	—					

Gisèle-Lalonde [Public] Orléans		OSSLT count: 232									
ESL (%)	1.3	Special needs (%)		21.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,100: 0.4		Rank: 181/727		2009-10		51/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	2.6	2.8	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	92.1	92.5	88.9	91.0	86.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	59.1	83.0	70.0	87.9	65.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	21.3	15.4	14.6	21.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 1.3	F 6.7	F 8.2	F 10.1	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	0.9	0.0	0.7	—						
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	8.5	8.6	8.4	7.2	—					

John McCrae [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 281									
ESL (%)	2.1	Special needs (%)		18.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,200: 1.0		Rank: 23/727		2009-10		50/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	83.6	69.5	70.7	84.0	83.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	72.7	47.6	61.8	63.0	47.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	32.0	46.4	37.3	29.0	31.4	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.3	M 14.4	F 5.0	F 7.8	F 5.4	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	9.5	2.4	4.0	0.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.4	8.0	8.4	8.4	—					

Earl of March [Public] Kanata		OSSLT count: 271									
ESL (%)	2.2	Special needs (%)		16.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,100: 1.8		Rank: 10/727		2009-10		15/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.2	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	93.5	96.3	91.5	97.3	95.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	87.5	90.9	83.3	84.2	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	11.3	14.0	12.3	10.7	4.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT</td											

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

Mother Teresa [Catholic] Nepean		OSSLT count: 313											
ESL (%): 6.4		Special needs (%): 19.2					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,500: 1.2		Rank: 63/727					Rank: 130/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.2	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.3	91.9	89.8	91.7	89.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.3	90.4	92.8	91.1	93.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.3	37.5	n/a	n/a	76.0	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	74.2	52.8	71.4	65.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	19.7	23.2	18.5	14.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	26.8	24.2	16.6	17.0	14.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 3.1	F 2.2	M 0.1	F 3.8	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 2.8	F 9.3	F 2.9	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	1.3	1.6	0.4	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.6	7.1	7.1	7.9	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	7.8	7.5	7.8	▲

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Stittsville		OSSLT count: 326											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.9					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,500: 0.4		Rank: 79/727					Rank: 177/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.5	2.4	2.5	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.3	90.4	92.8	91.1	93.8	▲	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.2	90.2	87.5	83.7	80.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	74.2	52.8	71.4	65.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.2	61.7	64.0	63.6	85.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.8	24.2	16.6	17.0	14.0	▲	Tests below standard (%)	36.4	30.3	23.2	24.7	18.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 2.8	F 9.3	F 2.9	F 3.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.5	F 1.0	F 11.6	F 9.6	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.7	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	7.8	7.5	7.8	▲	Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	7.8	7.5	7.8	▲

St Joseph [Catholic] Nepean		OSSLT count: 262											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.8					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,800: 0.7						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,800: 0.7		Rank: 20/727					Rank: 81/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	1.8	2.6	2.4	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	69.0	89.4	92.3	93.8	93.8	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	89.0	90.2	89.4	92.3	93.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.9	60.0	55.6	57.6	75.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	67.9	60.0	55.6	57.6	75.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	21.6	25.5	13.4	14.3	9.6	▲	Tests below standard (%)	21.6	25.5	13.4	14.3	9.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.5	F 2.2	F 0.5	F 1.3	F 4.8	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.5	F 2.2	F 0.5	F 1.3	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.9	8.4	8.2	8.5	▲	Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.9	8.4	8.2	8.5	▲

Nepean [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 340											
ESL (%): 6.8		Special needs (%): 18.5					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,200: 1.2						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,200: 1.2		Rank: 26/727					Rank: 30/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.8	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.3	94.8	95.5	96.0	94.4	▲	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.2	90.2	87.5	83.7	80.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.8	68.0	73.5	77.8	89.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.2	61.7	64.0	63.6	85.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	15.2	14.3	11.0	9.7	▲	Tests below standard (%)	36.4	30.3	23.2	24.7	18.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.4	F 1.9	F 0.5	M 2.0	F 3.9	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.5	F 1.0	F 11.6	F 9.6	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.4	4.4	3.0	1.9	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.5	1.9	3.3	2.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.1	8.7	8.5	8.3	▲	Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.9	8.3	6.9	7.5	—

Samuel-Genest [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 255											
ESL (%): 8.2		Special needs (%): 32.9					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: 2.0						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: 2.0		Rank: 126/727					Rank: 194/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.7	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	1.6	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.8	92.2	91.6	92.8	90.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.1	87.6	88.6	90.2	90.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.0	52.2	n/a	65.9	75.0	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.0	60.0	51.7	76.2	57.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.6	16.1	15.7	15.8	12.6	▲	Tests below standard (%)	36.4	30.3	23.2	19.2	18.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 14.5	F 16.3	F 8.0	F 16.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 6.9	F 2.4	F 8.6	F 9.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	4.1	5.1	0.6	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.3	2.1	0.6	—	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.0	6.9	5.7	5.7	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.2	8.2	7.6	7.8	—

St Mark [Catholic] Manotick		OSSLT count: 224									
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.2					2009-10 Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years					on parents' avg. inc. of \$119,900: 0.2				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$119,900: 0.2		Rank: 161/727					Rank: 165/69				

St Pius X [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 291				
ESL (%)	8.9	Special needs (%)		15.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: 1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	85.8	88.8	88.2	85.9	85.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	58.6	56.7	53.3	75.0	67.4	—
Test below standard (%)	24.1	21.3	22.6	20.3	19.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 8.2	F 5.7	F 12.2	F 7.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.6	7.1	7.0	7.4	—

General Panel [Public] Petawawa		OSSLT count: 107				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		11.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: -1.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 595/727			493/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	77.7	80.2	76.6	86.4	81.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.0	27.0	32.0	21.9	29.5	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.5	F 12.0	F 11.9	M 4.4	F 18.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	5.9	3.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	6.6	5.1	7.0	4.6	—

St Joseph's [Catholic] Renfrew		OSSSLT count: 116				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs: 34.5		Years: 2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,800: 2.3		Rank: 79/727			301/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.9	2.7	3.0	▲
OSSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.4	73.3	92.8	76.5	86.8	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE	53.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	29.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	35.6	20.7	24.3	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSSLT	M 4.3	F 24.4	M 0.6	F 24.1	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.3	2.7	1.2	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	4.8	7.3	6.2	7.8	—

UPPER CANADA AREA

West Carleton [Public] Dunrobin		OSSLT count: 283				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,000: 2.3		2009-10	2010	2011	2012	Last 5 Years
		Rank:	4/727	10/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	94.4	93.1	92.9	92.8	96.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	70.6	62.5	82.6	55.6	95.5	—
Test below standard (%)	11.6	15.7	12.0	13.5	6.2	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 7.7	F 5.4	F 10.0	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.6	9.0	8.2	9.1	—

Jeanne-Lajoie [Catholic] Pembroke		OSLST count: 45				
ESL %: 0.0		Special needs (%)		24.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: 1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:		181/727 326/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	70.3	81.7	76.2	89.5	83.7	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Test below standard (%)	39.0	32.7	32.2	16.9	25.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSLST	F 8.3	M 5.2	E	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.2	5.7	7.6	7.2	—

Almonte [Public] Almonte		OSSSL count: 160				
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 30.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,900: -0.3				Rank:	392/727	246/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	3.0	2.6	2.0	1.7	1.5	▼
OSSSL passed (%)-FTE	92.9	94.5	91.4	81.2	88.6	—
OSSSL passed (%)-PE	n/a	80.0	68.4	n/a	54.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	17.6	25.0	29.7	23.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 4.0	F 4.5	F 3.2	F 11.2	F 15.0	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.1	6.8	5.4	6.1	▼

Woodroffe [Public] Ottawa

ESL (%)	33.2	Special needs (%)				17.7	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,500:	-0.8	2009-10				Last 5 Years	
		Rank:				566/727	578/693
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.9	79.6	80.3	87.2	82.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	52.7	50.0	57.1	66.1	52.3	—	
Test below standard (%)	42.9	40.4	38.6	29.4	36.2	—	
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 13.6	F 0.7	F 11.5	F 6.8	M 3.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.7	16.7	3.4	8.7	7.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.7	4.5	6.1	4.9	—	

MacKenzie [Public] Deep River		OSLST count: 80				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 197/727			223/693	
Academic	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld.)	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.4	2.3	—
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	80.0	94.1	95.2	85.2	88.8	—
OSLST passed (%)-PE	n/a	61.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Test below standard (%)	25.8	20.0	24.6	20.6	19.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	E	E	—
Gender gap OSLST	F 15.2	F 8.7	F 0.7	F 17.3	F 10.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.1	2.2	1.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.9	6.9	6.5	7.1	—

RENFREW COUNTY AREA

Arnrior (Public) Arnprior		OSSLT count: 221				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%)		23.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500: 1.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	105/727		148/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.8	89.1	88.5	86.6	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.9	46.7	65.5	65.4	53.7	—
Test below standard (%)	18.9	25.3	21.6	20.1	19.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 9.3	F 6.7	F 2.8	F 3.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	4.6	2.3	3.3	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.8	7.7	7.1	7.6	—

Madawaska Valley [Public]		Barry's Bay		OSSLT count: 125		
ESL (%)	: 1.6			Special needs (%) : 27.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: 1.3				2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.9	83.5	91.2	78.8	92.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.9	40.0	31.4	62.5	71.4	—
Test below standard (%)	26.6	25.3	31.8	26.8	19.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.9	F 1.5	F 10.3	F 15.5	F 11.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.0	0.0	2.2	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.0	6.0	6.9	—

Bishop Smith [Catholic] Pembroke

ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: 0.2	2009-10 Last 5 Years				
	Rank:	419/727	426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.8
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.3
OSSLT passed (%) - FIE	85.5	78.1	83.6	84.0	79.2
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.1	56.3	62.5	63.6	64.1
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	33.8	32.6	35.3	26.6
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 8.7	F 20.1	F 7.8	F 13.6
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.4	2.1	3.1	2.1
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	5.8	5.6	5.0	5.9

Opeongo [Public] Douglas		OSSLT count: 152				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 33.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 0.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.9	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	77.9	84.9	71.6	84.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.2	33.3	64.7	65.2	46.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	33.0	27.5	28.2	26.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.4	E M 0.3	E			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.2	F 23.4	F 6.7	F 1.4	F 12.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.0	6.8	5.8	6.2	—

Fellowes [Public] Pembroke

ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,500: 1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	309/727	365/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.3	83.6	80.5	83.8	83.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.4	46.7	26.7	52.6	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	29.7	31.4	23.7	24.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.1	E	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 13.4	F 11.0	F 6.4	F 6.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	2.5	3.4	2.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.2	5.9	6.6	6.5	

Renfrew [Public] Renfrew		OSLST count: 96				
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 31.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,400: 1.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 197/727		223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld.)	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.6	—
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	88.7	86.2	84.1	89.9	93.2	—
OSLST passed (%)-PE	67.7	n/a	68.4	68.4	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.6	26.2	25.3	19.9	20.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSLST	M 1.7	F 8.6	F 14.5	F 10.2	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.6	6.7	7.0	7.1	—

Carleton Place [Public] Carleton Place

ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.0					
Actual reading vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: 1.2	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
	Rank: 225/727 301/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.6	▲
OSSSL passed (%)-FTE	87.0	87.0	87.7	81.9	89.0	—
OSSSL passed (%)-PE	47.4	60.0	56.5	50.0	60.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	26.4	25.1	29.7	18.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 6.7	F 7.4	F 7.2	F 2.1	M 1.3	▲
Gender gap tests not written (%)	2.2	5.6	5.6	5.7	3.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.7	6.8	6.2	7.0	—

Charlottenburgh and Lancaster [Public] Wi

ESL (%): 0.0				Special needs (%): 31.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,100: 1.0				Rank:		2009-10	Last 5 Years
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)		2.5	2.6	2.9	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)		1.9	2.4	2.3	2.3	n/a	n/a
OSSSLT passed (%) -FTE		91.4	83.8	94.3	87.5	88.2	—
OSSSLT passed (%) -PE		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)		24.7	30.3	15.3	16.7	16.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F	0.1	F 0.3	M 0.3	n/a	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSSLT	F	0.9	M 9.8	F 4.0	n/a	F 2.9	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)		1.4	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10		6.5	6.0	8.0	7.4	6.5	—

de Casselman [Catholic] Casselman										OSSLT count: 240			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 39.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,900: -0.1					Rank: 371/727 105/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.8	90.6	90.8	89.2	85.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.5	95.9	91.7	81.2	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.0	52.9	50.0	55.6	32.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.1	n/a	43.8	54.5	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.2	23.5	24.6	34.1	▼	Tests below standard (%)	40.6	20.9	25.1	24.7	19.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 1.3	F 12.6	F 8.6	F 7.5	▼	Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 4.6	F 3.7	M 3.4	M 0.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.6	1.1	1.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10					8.1	8.2	8.2	7.1	6.2	6.2	▼		

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Cornwall										OSSLT count: 167			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 38.9								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 1.9					Rank: 161/727 246/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.8	90.6	90.8	89.2	85.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.5	95.9	91.7	81.2	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	69.0	52.9	50.0	55.6	32.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.1	n/a	43.8	54.5	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.2	23.5	24.6	34.1	▼	Tests below standard (%)	40.6	20.9	25.1	24.7	19.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 1.3	F 12.6	F 8.6	F 7.5	▼	Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 4.6	F 3.7	M 3.4	M 0.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.6	1.1	1.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10					8.1	8.2	8.2	7.1	6.2	6.2	▼		

North Dundas [Public] Chesterville										OSSLT count: 185			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 35.7								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,500: -2.1					Rank: 595/727 426/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.7	88.8	76.9	82.2	78.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	55.2	64.3	43.8	67.9	76.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.2	64.3	43.8	67.9	76.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.1	n/a	43.8	54.5	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	24.6	25.4	23.8	30.3	—	Tests below standard (%)	40.6	20.9	25.1	24.7	19.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.6	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	F 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.4	M 6.6	F 11.5	F 5.2	F 14.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 4.6	F 3.7	M 3.4	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	47.5	1.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.6	1.1	1.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10					4.5	7.4	6.2	6.7	4.6	4.6	—		

Embrun [Catholic] Embrun										OSSLT count: 103			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 15.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$n/a: n/a					Rank: 253/727 73/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.3	2.4	1.7	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	97.7	97.7	92.7	92.9	88.0	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.8	77.5	79.2	90.5	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	58.6	46.7	53.3	50.0	35.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.0	17.4	22.0	32.2	20.0	▼	Tests below standard (%)	29.2	33.2	30.7	18.3	25.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 1.3	M 0.7	F 1.9	F 6.6	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 21.6	F 15.6	F 10.9	F 16.7	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.9	2.4	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10					9.8	8.6	8.2	6.2	6.9	6.2	▼		

La Citadelle [Catholic] Cornwall										OSSLT count: 139			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 28.1								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,400: 1.4					Rank: 265/727 223/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.1	83.7	80.0	85.3	77.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.2	78.3	84.4	79.6	78.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	37.5	44.0	47.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.7	34.8	36.7	37.3	33.5	▼	Tests below standard (%)	36.8	32.8	34.0	32.6	22.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.4	M 0.3	n/a	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	F 0.3	n/a	n/a	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.6	F 10.2	F 18.2	F 18.7	F 11.9	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 2.5	F 15.1	n/a	F 49.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	2.0	0.0	1.1	1.5	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10					6.4	5.8	4.3	4.5	4.9	4.5	—		

North Grenville [Public] Kemptville										OSSLT count: 163			
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 28.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years								
on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,100: 1.0					Rank: 197/727 194/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.7	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.5	89.2	83.2	82.5	83.2								

Rockland [Public] Rockland		OSSLT count: 113										
ESL (%): 0.9		Special needs (%): 40.7					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 2.0		Rank: 79/727					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 2.0		Rank: 130/693					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.8
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.1	91.0	87.9	80.2	81.6	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.4	76.5	82.2	95.3	90.4
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	50.0	63.6	60.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	20.2	19.4	21.2	15.3	—	Tests below standard (%)	36.8	34.2	28.6	29.2	26.5
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	n/a	E	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 7.7	F 8.7	n/a	M 1.2	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.8	F 11.5	F 19.7	F 2.8	F 12.7
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	0.0	2.2	1.3	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.1	0.0	4.8	1.4
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	8.0	7.6	7.0	7.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.8	6.1	6.4	5.3
Overall rating out of 10		7.1	8.0	7.6	7.0	7.8	—	Overall rating out of 10				
Rothwell-Osnabruck [Public] Ingleside		OSSLT count: 29										
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.0					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: -0.3		Rank: 497/727					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: -0.3		Rank: 177/693					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	n/a	2.8	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.0
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.4	2.3	n/a	1.8	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.1
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.3	85.7	79.7	n/a	88.0	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.3	90.9	84.2	91.3	89.6
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.7	50.0	63.6	75.0	75.0
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	30.7	27.5	n/a	33.3	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	24.7	23.1	23.6	21.1	21.3
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	E
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.4	M 3.5	F 12.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 8.1	F 4.2	M 2.3	F 3.0
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.5	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.6	0.0	2.5	0.6
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.7	6.0	n/a	5.4	n/a	Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.2
Overall rating out of 10		6.4	6.7	6.0	n/a	5.4	n/a	Overall rating out of 10				
St Francis Xavier [Catholic] Hammond		OSSLT count: 100										
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.0					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400: -0.5		Rank: 513/727					Rank: 463/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.8
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.7	2.0	2.1	2.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.4	76.5	82.2	95.3	90.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.1	95.7	85.0	93.0	95.2
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	50.0	63.6	60.0	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	82.6	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	34.2	28.6	29.2	26.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	26.2	21.0	26.7	19.8	18.1
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.4	M 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.3
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.8	F 11.5	F 19.7	F 2.8	F 12.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 0.2	F 5.7	M 1.0	F 3.9
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.1	0.0	4.8	1.4	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.4	1.6	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.8	6.1	6.4	5.3	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.3	7.4	6.8
Overall rating out of 10		4.7	5.8	6.1	6.4	5.3	—	Overall rating out of 10				
St. Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Russell		OSSLT count: 128										
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.8					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,800: -0.1		Rank: 265/727					Rank: 194/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.7	2.0	2.1	2.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	94.1	95.7	85.0	93.0	95.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	82.6	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	21.0	26.7	19.8	18.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	26.2	21.0	26.7	19.8	18.1
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 0.2	F 5.7	M 1.0	F 1.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 0.2	F 5.7	M 1.0	F 1.1
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.4	1.6	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.4	1.6	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.3	7.4	6.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.3	7.4	6.8
Overall rating out of 10		7.2	7.8	6.3	6.8	6.8	—	Overall rating out of 10				
Tagwi [Public] Avonmore		OSSLT count: 137										
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 38.0					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700: 0.0		Rank: 432/727					Rank: 523/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	1.8
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.1	72.4	82.7	81.1	85.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	32.0	29.6	26.3	63.2	54.5
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	37.2	37.6	32.9	26.8	32.7
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	23.1	23.6	21.1	21.3	—	Tests below standard (%)	28.0	20.7	21.8	24.6	23.0
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.2	F 18.4	F 17.0	F 1.7	F 1.7	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.2	F 18.4	M 1.7	M 0.4	F 1.5
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.8	2.7	0.0	1.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.8	2.7	0.0	1.1
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.8	5.6	6.2	5.8	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.8	5.6	6.2	5.8
Overall rating out of 10		4.2	4.8	5.6	5.8	5.8	—	Overall rating out of 10				
Thousand Islands [Public] Brockville		OSSLT count: 303										
ESL (%): 2.0		Special needs (%): 39.6					2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.7		Rank: 309/727					Rank: 343/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.9	93.4	84.5	88.9	83.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.2	76.0	41.2	56.8	64.0
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	28.0	20.7	21.8	24.6	23.0
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	22.6	21.3	18.3	18.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.4	M 0.5	M 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 4.1	F 0.3	M 1.9	F 2.7	F 10.6
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 8.6	M 6.7	M 5.5	M 6.6	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 8.6	M 6.7	M 5.5	M 6.6
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.9	1.2
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.9	7.6	6.9	6.5	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.5	6.4	6.6	6.3
Overall rating out of 10		6.5	7.9	7.6	6.9	6.5	—	Overall rating out of 10				
St Lawrence [Public] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 111										

Northern and Central Ontario

ALGOMA AREA

Bawating [Public] Sault Ste. Marie										OSSLT count: 178			
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 14.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,000: 0.2										Rank: 432/727 623/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	68.0	64.1	68.6	62.9	78.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.6	86.5	69.6	46.7	88.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.3	45.9	42.6	38.7	59.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	22.6	24.2	53.0	59.1	39.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	50.4	47.5	45.1	40.8	34.7	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.5	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.6	M 7.0	F 13.5	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.4	F 19.3	F 6.8	F 3.6	n/a	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	1.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	2.5	3.5	4.4	4.4	5.8	▲

Michipicoten [Public] Wawa										OSSLT count: 34			
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 35.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,100: -0.8										Rank: 641/727 596/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	1.9	n/a	2.2	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	68.0	64.1	68.6	62.9	78.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.6	86.5	69.6	46.7	88.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	46.3	45.9	42.6	38.7	59.5	—	Tests below standard (%)	22.6	24.2	53.0	59.1	39.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	50.4	47.5	45.1	40.8	34.7	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.5	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.6	M 7.0	F 13.5	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.4	F 19.3	F 6.8	F 3.6	n/a	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	1.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	2.7	1.7	4.0	—

White Pines [Public] Sault Ste. Marie										OSSLT count: 213			
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 10.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,600: 0.8										Rank: 279/727 426/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.5	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.6	78.4	82.6	85.3	79.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	87.9	76.9	82.8	76.7	83.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	36.4	44.8	60.7	47.4	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	25.5	31.0	38.6	36.3	23.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.6	38.1	36.0	25.0	24.5	▲	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.6	M 7.0	F 13.5	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 25.8	F 3.0	F 12.5	F 0.8	F 18.6	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	2.6	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.9	0.8	1.7	0.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	2.7	1.7	4.0	—

Central Algoma [Public] Desbarats										OSSLT count: 125			
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 28.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 0.5										Rank: 411/727 515/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.5	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.6	78.4	82.6	85.3	79.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.3	79.1	80.6	73.8	79.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	36.4	44.8	60.7	47.4	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	19.8	28.0	24.0	22.3	30.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.6	38.1	36.0	25.0	24.5	▲	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 11.2	M 0.3	F 9.5	F 13.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 25.8	F 3.0	F 12.5	F 0.8	F 18.6	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	1.3	0.0	0.8	2.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	5.5	4.9	6.9	6.0	▲	Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.3	7.0	6.0	4.9	—

Sir James Dunn [Public] Sault Ste. Marie										OSSLT count: 168			
ESL (%): 0.0										Special needs (%): 19.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: -0.4										Rank: 566/727 343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	85.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	89.6	87.7	87.2	89.8	87.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	19.8	28.0	24.0	22.3	30.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	45.9	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 25.8	F 3.0	F 12.5	F 0.8	F 18.6	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	4.4	2.4	3.6	4.7	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a	Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.2	5.4	5.0	5.3	—

Barrie Central [Public] Barrie										OSSLT count: 334			
ESL (%): 1.2										Special needs (%): 21.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: 0.0										Rank: 445/727 301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.3	79.1	80.6	73.8	79.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	87.9	86.0	88.2	83.4	87.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.4	56.3	43.1	45.2	57.7	—	Tests below standard (%)	37.0	36.9	31.3	34.0	28.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.9	25.9	28.8	26.0	20.4	▲	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 21.5	F 17.4	F 15.3	F 13.5	F 13.1	▲	Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.5	F 17.4	F 15.3	F 13.5	F 13.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.5	F 17.4	F 15.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	3.9	3.5	0.0	1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	3.9	5.5	0.0	0.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.1	5.8	5.0	5.7	—

Elliot Lake [Public] Elliot Lake										OSSLT count: 135
ESL (%): 0.7										Special needs (%): 30.4
Actual rating vs predicted based										2009-10 Last 5 Years

Innisdale [Public] Barrie											OSSLT count: 566																
ESL (%): 1.4			Special needs (%): 20.5			Actual rating vs predicted based			2009-10			Last 5 Years			ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 13.5			Actual rating vs predicted based						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,900:- 1.9			Rank: 612/727			Rank: 523/693			2009-10			Last 5 Years			2009-10			Last 5 Years			Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,800: 4.0						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.7	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.0	2.3	3.0	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.2	81.5	81.7	81.2	79.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.2	94.3	90.9	89.9	96.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.9	71.6	72.9	73.4	85.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.9	71.6	72.9	73.4	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.7	44.2	58.7	38.7	63.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	68.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.6	n/a	41.7	n/a	53.3	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	47.6	n/a	41.7	n/a	53.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	33.1	30.6	33.3	35.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	17.1	16.3	24.5	17.3	8.7	—	Tests below standard (%)	30.6	30.8	36.1	17.7	23.3	—	Tests below standard (%)	30.6	30.8	36.1	17.7	23.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 8.1	F 12.8	F 10.9	F 14.3	▼	Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	E	M 1.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	M 17.6	F 1.4	F 7.6	M 8.0	n/a	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	M 17.6	F 1.4	F 7.6	M 8.0	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	3.0	3.6	5.8	6.2	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	2.6	4.4	5.8	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	3.8	1.5	0.0	1.9	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	3.8	1.5	0.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.8	5.9	5.2	4.4	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.6	9.0	7.7	8.3	9.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.6	9.0	7.7	8.3	9.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	8.6	6.4	5.7	7.4	7.1	—
Nouvelle-Alliance [Catholic] Barrie											OSSLT count: 84																
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 13.1			Actual rating vs predicted based			2009-10			Last 5 Years			ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 36.3			Actual rating vs predicted based						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,000:- 1.0			Rank: 557/727			Rank: 570/693			on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: 0.6			Rank: 371/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,000: 1.4			Rank: 197/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,000: 2.5						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.5	2.5	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.3	3.0	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	n/a	2.1	2.1	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.2	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.3	88.4	82.6	76.2	80.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.4	81.1	75.5	75.6	86.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.0	88.0	88.4	91.4	87.8	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.0	88.0	88.4	91.4	87.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.6	34.8	45.7	41.7	48.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.3	60.6	73.1	55.2	50.0	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	79.3	60.6	73.1	55.2	50.0	▼
Tests below standard (%)	36.7	38.4	41.2	35.0	30.6	—	Tests below standard (%)	24.4	31.1	37.2	29.6	25.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	24.1	27.4	21.4	19.3	19.0	—	Tests below standard (%)	24.1	27.4	21.4	19.3	19.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.6	F 14.6	M 7.2	F 11.8	F 40.7	▼	Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 7.2	F 20.8	F 2.1	F 10.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 7.2	F 20.8	F 2.1	F 10.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 7.2	F 20.8	F 2.1	F 10.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	3.4	5.6	8.0	3.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	4.7	4.1	4.6	5.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	4.5	5.8	6.2	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	4.5	5.8	6.2	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.6	7.2	7.1	7.1	—
St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Barrie											OSSLT count: 451																
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 24.4			Actual rating vs predicted based			2009-10			Last 5 Years			ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 31.2			Actual rating vs predicted based						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200:- 1.0			Rank: 557/727			Rank: 532/693			on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,000: 0.0			Rank: 351/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$114,600: 1.0			Rank: 330/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$114,600: 1.0						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.5	▼	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.9	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	2.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.4	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	▼	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.7	86.4	78.7	81.3	84.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	79.9	75.6	77.6	68.3	86.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.4	78.4	85.5	86.6	81.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	91.4	78.4	85.5	86.6	81.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.4	66.1	57.1	65.3	55.7	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.9	58.3	54.1	45.9	35.8	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.0	65.2	74.4	70.8	64.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	60.0	65.2	74.4	70.8	64.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	34.3	39.5	32.0	33.5	▼	Tests below standard (%)	30.8	37.7	38.7	39.4	28.2	—	Tests below standard (%)	23.1	22.7	20.3	23.1	26.0	—	Tests below standard (%)	23.1	22.7	20.3	23.1	26.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	E	M 0.3	E	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 4.5	F 6.6	M 0.5	F 6.0	▲	Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.4	F 12.3	F 3.9	F 18.1	F 15.6	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.9	F 2.3	F 20.6	F 8.1	F 8.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.9	F 2.3	F 20.6	F 8.1	F 8.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	3.8	3.8	1.2	2.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	0.6	0.7	2.7	0.0	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.4	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.2	6.7	6.1	5.4	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	7.8	7.3	6.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	7.8	7.3	6.6	—	Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	7.8	7.3	6.6	—
Kincardine [Public] Kincardine											OSSLT count: 175																
ESL (%): 0.6			Special needs (%): 28.6			Actual rating vs predicted based			2009-10			Last 5 Years			ESL (%): 0.6			Special needs (%): 28.3			Actual rating vs predicted based						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,700: 0.2			Rank: 294/727			Rank: 223/693			on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,000: -0.7			Rank: 578/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,000: 0.4			Rank: 419/727			on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,800: 0.4						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Ac																				

KAWARTHAPINE RIDGE AREA

Adam Scott [Public] Peterborough										OSSLT count: 193	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 29.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.2					Rank: 411/727 343/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.2	87.0	82.4	84.3	83.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.7	48.6	50.0	68.6	47.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	27.1	29.5	29.7	25.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 17.1	F 14.7	F 12.4	F 19.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	5.9	5.8	6.0	—					

Cobourg District CI West [Public] Cobourg										OSSLT count: 168	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 14.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,500: 1.1					Rank: 161/727 148/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.9	96.6	93.1	94.0	90.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	92.0	n/a	80.0	63.3	n/a	—					
Tests below standard (%)	15.5	20.7	17.0	27.1	16.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	F 2.8	F 6.7	F 0.5	F 6.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	3.7	0.6	1.2	1.2	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.7	7.9	6.1	7.3	—					

I E Weldon [Public] Lindsay										OSSLT count: 335	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 16.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,300: 1.8					Rank: 105/727 105/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9	▲					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.4	87.7	89.9	92.6	88.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	37.7	70.3	54.0	55.3	77.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	22.7	21.7	16.6	16.5	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 3.9	F 10.6	M 2.1	M 3.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	2.3	0.6	0.7	1.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.5	7.7	8.0	7.6	—					

Bowmanville [Public] Bowmanville										OSSLT count: 360	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 27.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,300: 1.1					Rank: 547/727 532/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.0	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.4	80.2	68.7	80.4	75.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	67.4	54.5	55.1	43.9	41.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	31.4	38.8	37.8	35.7	31.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.4	F 17.3	F 24.0	F 11.9	F 13.7	▲					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	1.0	2.6	2.2	2.5	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	4.8	5.0	5.1	—					

Courtice [Public] Courtice										OSSLT count: 272	
ESL (%): 0.4					Special needs (%): 30.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,700: 0.6					Rank: 351/727 418/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.2	87.9	84.8	83.8	80.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.6	50.0	59.1	48.7	37.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	35.8	30.2	29.3	30.9	26.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 3.6	F 18.6	F 11.3	F 11.2	▲					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.3	3.3	4.3	6.1	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.4	7.1	6.2	6.7	—					

Kenner [Public] Peterborough										OSSLT count: 255	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 39.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,900: 0.3					Rank: 464/727 626/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.1	1.9	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.0	69.3	63.7	71.1	69.4	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	42.9	29.7	38.5	35.2	34.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	43.1	46.2	48.1	48.5	30.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.3	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 9.7	F 22.0	F 13.8	F 13.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.7	10.8	3.4	3.7	2.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.2	2.7	3.1	5.6	—					

Clarke [Public] Newcastle										OSSLT count: 164	
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 30.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based					2009-10 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,800: 0.5					Rank: 309/727 463/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					

Port Hope [Public] Port Hope		OSSLT count: 179											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.1											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,600: -0.2		Rank: 445/727 493/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.8	71.8	78.0	80.4	72.4	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	50.0	46.0	24.3	39.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.5	36.2	32.5	32.2	28.4	▲	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 18.0	F 9.1	F 6.3	F 21.2	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.2	F 17.6	F 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.7	4.2	0.8	0.8	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.1	5.9	5.6	5.7	▲	Overall rating out of 10	4.7	6.8	8.1	3.6	7.3	—

KENORA AREA		OSSLT count: 32											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.8											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$27,400: 2.8		Rank: 161/727 397/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.3	2.9	2.5	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	2.9	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.4	91.7	90.7	73.5	87.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	27.5	10.6	34.0	20.8	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.2	F 17.6	F 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	E	M 3.0	n/a	n/a	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.7	8.3	6.8	6.4	6.1	—

St Mary's [Catholic] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 255											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.4											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,500: 1.4		Rank: 126/727 265/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.8	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.7	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.6	81.3	86.8	85.9	87.3	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	75.0	43.6	52.8	50.0	64.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	31.3	29.5	21.0	17.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.7	F 10.2	F 8.1	F 9.3	M 2.5	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 6.8	F 13.1	F 5.4	F 7.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	▼	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.1	6.3	7.0	7.5	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.2	5.1	3.9	3.8	▼

Beaver Brae [Public] Kenora		OSSLT count: 269											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.1											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: -2.0		Rank: 655/727 588/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.6	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.8	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.2	72.6	73.0	73.1	67.8	▼	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.3	45.5	48.1	37.1	46.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	38.9	35.2	43.4	42.0	▼	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 6.8	F 13.1	F 5.4	F 7.9	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.5	F 5.5	F 12.4	F 7.0	F 2.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	2.4	8.1	18.1	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.2	5.1	3.9	3.8	▼	Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.7	6.3	5.5	5.1	—

Rainy River [Public] Rainy River		OSSLT count: 52											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.2											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,100: 0.7		Rank: 392/727 281/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.0	100.0	89.2	82.9	83.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	24.3	11.9	27.4	18.6	17.6	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	E	M 3.0	n/a	n/a	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	E	M 3.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.8	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	8.3	6.8	6.4	6.1	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.7	8.3	6.8	6.4	6.1	—

St Peter's [Catholic] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 373											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 1.8		Rank: 92/727 81/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.6	83.2	79.0	87.4	83.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	59.5	46.2	69.2	58.6	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	19.1	16.3	18.0	20.0	▲	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 7.2	F 4.1	F 6.1	F 5.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.5	F 5.5	F 12.4	F 7.0	F 2.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	5.8	0.4	0.9	2.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	3.2	2.0	2.7	0.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.0	5.6	5.7	6.2	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.2	6.7	5.8	5.8	—

Fort Frances [Public] Fort Frances		OSSLT count: 270											
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.6											
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10 Last 5 Years											
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,700: -0.1		Rank: 432/727 379/693											
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	88.6	84.2	80.7	87.4	83.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.4	58.3	57.6	47.9</td		

NEAR NORTH AREA

Nipigon Red Rock [Public] Red Rock		OSSLT count: 53						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.8				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,800: -3.0		Rank: 655/727				606/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	75.8	69.4	84.0	74.0	73.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.0	37.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	41.1	35.7	38.5	45.6	40.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 2.4	F 22.8	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.9	4.4	3.3	3.6	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	5.9	4.9	3.3	3.8	—		

Algonquin [Catholic] North Bay		OSSLT count: 177						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.2				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,500: -0.3		Rank: 478/727				265/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.8	90.3	90.2	81.0	79.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	29.4	66.7	38.9	27.8	44.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	32.4	15.0	31.3	29.9	36.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.4	F 6.8	F 12.2	F 9.5	F 18.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	8.9	7.0	5.9	5.5	—		

Parry Sound [Public] Parry Sound		OSSLT count: 282						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: 0.4		Rank: 464/727				546/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	1.8	2.0	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.4	76.4	74.7	75.9	79.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.3	48.9	51.9	41.4	59.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	36.7	37.4	40.7	32.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.9	F 1.8	F 7.1	M 4.4	F 7.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.9	3.3	5.9	13.0	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.5	4.8	4.3	5.6	—		

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 363						
ESL (%): 3.6		Special needs (%): 22.0				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,700: -0.2		Rank: 464/727				397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.6	85.0	79.6	80.4	79.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	50.8	49.1	57.5	42.6	36.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	29.5	28.7	29.0	31.0	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E E	E —			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.1	F 3.9	F 4.0	F 16.5	F 14.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.0	1.8	0.5	0.5	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.5	6.4	5.6	5.6	—		

Almaguin Highlands [Public] South River		OSSLT count: 216						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,100: -0.9		Rank: 605/727				570/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.0	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	87.6	73.8	83.1	78.1	79.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.5	38.1	55.2	56.8	71.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.1	41.9	35.8	45.6	40.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.5	F 17.8	F 12.2	M 0.9	M 3.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.6	5.4	9.4	8.0	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	4.7	5.7	3.6	4.5	—		

St Joseph-Scollard Hall [Catholic] North Bay		OSSLT count: 307						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.6				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,200: 1.0		Rank: 253/727				265/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.0	79.5	85.3	75.3	87.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	65.0	53.8	75.9	62.5	64.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	24.7	24.4	32.8	23.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.9	F 15.2	F 9.2	F 5.3	F 2.2	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.5	3.0	1.7	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.2	7.1	5.8	6.9	—		

St Patrick [Catholic] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 358						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: 1.5		Rank: 126/727				165/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.2	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	85.3	80.0	83.4	87.8	85.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.7	91.7	57.6	82.5	42.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	29.9	33.2	25.2	25.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 6.3	F 10.5	M 1.8	F 9.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	1.3	1.1	0.8	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.7	6.4	—		

Chippewa [Public] North Bay		OSSLT count: 126						
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.9				2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: 0.6		Rank: 330/727				281/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	93.2	85.5	91.6	85.3	83.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	66.7	43.8	43.8	68.8	57.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	26.3	23.1	22.7	27.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 12.9	F 1.9	F 5.6	F 10.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	4.5	5.1	15.2	6.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.5	7.4	5.2	5.5	—		

Widdifield [Public] North Bay		**OSSLT count: 288**						

<tbl_r cells="

Englehart [Public] Englehart		OSSLT count: 59				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 39.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,800: -1.0	Rank: 566/727	493/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.4	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	95.2	70.5	80.0	79.5	69.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	68.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	47.0	32.7	21.6	30.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.6	F 21.8	F 27.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	3.2	5.3	7.6	4.9	—

Northern Lights [Public] Moosonee		OSSLT count: 42				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,000: n/a	Rank: 717/727	n/a				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62.8	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a

Timiskaming District [Public] New Liskeard		OSSLT count: 232				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 27.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,300: 0.9	Rank: 371/727	379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.0	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	86.2	76.2	85.6	82.1	81.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	36.1	53.1	56.8	55.6	70.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	26.4	29.2	37.0	27.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 6.0	M 0.9	M 2.4	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	2.6	6.2	0.6	2.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	6.7	5.1	6.2	—

Hearst [Catholic] Hearst		OSSLT count: 108				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,600: 3.3	Rank: 23/727	60/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.3	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.0	87.5	88.1	82.6	84.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	21.9	18.7	29.2	14.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 11.1	F 18.1	F 10.5	M 1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	7.9	8.4	6.3	8.4	—

Nouveau REGARD-Pavillon Jeunesse Nord [Catholic] Cochrane		OSSLT count: 33				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,000: -0.3	Rank: 309/727	379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	80.6	70.4	84.6	68.6	75.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.1	22.7	26.4	26.7	16.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.2	6.4	4.5	6.5	—

Timmins [Public] Timmins		OSSLT count: 280				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: -1.6	Rank: 623/727	588/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	64.2	78.0	75.9	81.4	79.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	37.9	49.3	38.2	49.2	36.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.2	38.7	38.9	39.5	39.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.1	F 9.4	F 12.1	F 5.0	F 8.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.1	8.9	13.6	8.2	8.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.5	4.6	4.7	4.2	—

Iroquois Falls [Public] Iroquois Falls		OSSLT count: 53				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,500: -0.5	Rank: 497/727	450/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	73.1	84.3	86.5	81.0	77.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	68.4	56.3	60.0	43.8	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	35.1	28.8	33.6	31.5	33.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.6	F 12.5	F 2.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.9	20.0	16.9	11.8	5.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.2	6.3	5.6	5.4	—

O'Gorman [Catholic] Timmins		OSSLT count: 165				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: -1.7	Rank: 641/727	653/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	74.4	78.8	81.3	74.8	76.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	48.0	47.2	46.9	46.2	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	46.4	50.2	46.1	49.4	41.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.5	F 0.5	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 10.8	F 14.8	F 17.6	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.5	3.5	8.0	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	3.8	3.0	2.4	4.0	—

Rainbow Area		Bishop Alexander Carter [Catholic] Hanmer		OSSLT count: 138		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 26.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.3	Rank: 371/727	397/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	83.3	82.9	90.2	80.2	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	57.9	60.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	28.7	33.9	36.2	25.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.9	F 9.8	M 1.9	M 0.3	F 14.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.2	2.7	0.9	2.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	5.9	5.7	4.9	6.2	—

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Kirkland Lake		OSSLT count: 20				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 30.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2009-10	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,800: -4.1	Rank: 710/727	n/a				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a				

Confederation [Public] Val Caron		OSSLT count: 178				
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 21.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,300: -1.0		2009-10	2010-11	Last 5 Years		
Rank:	566/727	596/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	59.4	65.9	74.6	72.9	70.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	45.5	55.4	36.7	55.3	47.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	44.2	44.0	39.0	38.4	34.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 15.4	F 9.3	F 16.0	F 20.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	5.6	7.9	3.1	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.1	4.9	4.9	4.9	▲

Lockyer [Public] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 212				
ESL (%)	2.4	Special needs (%)		12.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,400: 1.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 126/727		148/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	95.5	92.9	90.3	90.8	92.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	95.0	84.2	54.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	22.1	21.0	15.5	12.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	M 1.3	F 4.5	F 0.4	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	3.6	0.8	1.8	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.3	6.8	7.4	7.5	—

St Benedict [Catholic] Sudbury		OSLST count: 173				
ESL%: 0.6	Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,200: 1.1	Special needs %: 31.8			2009-10 Last 5 Years	
		Rank:	92/727	90/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	1.8	2.2	2.4	—
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	92.2	91.5	92.9	93.1	93.7	▲
OSLST passed (%) -PE	84.0	n/a	94.4	95.2	81.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.1	19.0	25.4	18.5	16.6	—
Gender gap (Level) -Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 13.5	F 2.5	F 2.0	M 1.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	1.7	1.2	1.9	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	7.5	7.3	7.6	7.7	—

Espanola [Public] Espanola		OSSLT count: 210				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	3.0	3.1	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.7	68.7	76.3	73.2	79.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	43.5	52.7	48.9	48.8	34.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.2	44.4	34.5	35.0	35.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 6.2	F 8.8	F 6.7	F 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	5.5	2.1	4.1	3.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	4.6	5.9	5.9	5.6	—

Lo-Ellen Park [Public] Sudbury		OSSSL count: 224				
ESL (%): 0.9		Special needs (%): 23.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,100: 0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 126/727		148/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.0	▼
OSSSL passed (%)-FTE	92.0	91.3	86.8	88.8	89.7	—
OSSSL passed (%)-PE	53.3	n/a	53.8	41.2	45.0	n/a
Test below standard (%)	18.0	20.3	17.2	18.1	15.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSSL	F 7.4	F 7.7	F 9.3	F 12.3	F 7.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.1	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	7.9	7.1	7.5	—

St Charles [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 292						
ESL: %: 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,500: -0.7		2009-10 Last 5 Years						
		Rank: 566/727 365/693						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.9	2.5	2.3	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.9	88.3	83.4	82.1	74.0	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	69.4	n/a	47.4	60.9	42.1	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	31.3	19.0	27.7	30.0	32.4	—		
Gender gap (Level)–Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.1	F 6.6	F 8.5	F 2.6	F 10.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	1.5	2.4	3.1	3.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	8.0	6.3	5.9	4.9	—		

Hamner [Public] Hamner		OSLST count: 39				
ESL (%): 0		Special needs (%): 38.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,200: -4.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	1.8	2.4	n/a	2.4	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.3	1.8	2.0	2.3	n/a
OSLST passed (%) -FTE	57.9	50.0	36.1	66.7	44.4	—
OSLST passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	11.8	66.7	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	42.1	69.0	68.4	43.5	50.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSLST	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	0.0	0.2	4.1	1.7	n/a

Macdonald-Cartier [Public] Sudbury				OSSLT count: 127		
ESL (%): 0.0				Special needs (%): 36.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,000: -2.0				2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Rank:	648/727	656/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level 9 Math (Acad.)	1.9	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.8	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.3	62.7	61.3	71.6	73.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	47.4	26.1	32.4	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	46.3	48.4	49.3	49.7	45.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	M	O.1	F.0.2	F.0.1
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.9	F 25.4	F 26.1	F 21.6	F 16.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	5.7	3.9	0.0	1.1	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.9	—

Sudbury [Public] Sudbury		OSLST count: 229					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.6			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800:-0.7		Rank: 587/7277			578/693		
Academic	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.1	—	
OSLST passed (%)-FTE	75.9	80.4	79.0	80.2	83.5	—	
OSLST passed (%)-PE	59.2	51.1	76.7	48.5	59.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	38.7	45.4	39.9	39.2	38.9	—	
Gender gap (Level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 28.7	M 7.8	M 4.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	13.6	4.4	6.4	4.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	4.1	5.2	5.1	4.7	—	

Lasalle [Public] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 264				
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 16.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: -0.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	74.4	79.5	76.6	84.8	78.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	61.3	60.0	54.5	50.9	47.2	▼
Tests below standard (%)	35.5	37.9	39.2	34.1	37.3	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F	O	M	O	F
Gender gap OSSLT	F	6.3	F	18.2	F	7.5
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	7.2	9.4	4.4	2.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.1	4.6	4.9	5.0	—

Manitoulin [Public] M'Chigeeng		OSSLT count: 108				
ESL %: 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,300: -0.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		623/727	546/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.3	3.0	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	76.6	62.3	76.2	86.4	74.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.2	40.7	42.0	41.9	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	33.2	47.6	33.2	32.7	37.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.1	F 28.5	F 16.7	F 9.7	F 23.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	5.4	1.7	8.8	4.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	3.2	6.0	5.6	4.2	—

Banting Memorial [Public] Alliston				OSSLT count: 544			
ESL (%)	0.2			Special needs (%)		29.2	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 1.1				2009-10		Last 5 Years	
Rank:	161/727						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.9	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld.)	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.0	82.2	81.8	80.3	87.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	64.8	62.7	56.9	68.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	30.2	30.9	24.7	20.6	18.8	▲	
Gender gap (Level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	F 0.1		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 14.3	F 7.8	F 3.1	F 5.7		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	5.8	3.8	4.0	5.4		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.1	7.3	7.4	7.3	▲	

I'Horizon [Catholic] Val Caron	OSSLT count: 100					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700: -2.3	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:	662/727	479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acd)	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.2	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	3.0	3.0	1.9	2.7	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	71.8	67.9	73.7	77.8	74.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	44.0	42.3	67.7	47.6	33.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	27.6	38.5	29.3	45.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.3	M 0.2	E	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 24.8	F 27.7	F 15.7	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.0	5.0	5.5	3.7	▼

Marymount [Catholic] Sudbury	OSSLT count: 58					
ESL %: 0.0	Special needs (%): 1.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: -0.3	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	419/727	18/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	96.6	100.0	98.6	97.7	98.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	9.0	11.9	3.8	7.8	19.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.9	9.8	9.2	5.9	—

Bradford [Public] Bradford		OSSLT count: 310				
ESL (%)	0.3	Special needs (%)		27.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,800: 1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank:	92/727	365	/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad.)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appl'd)	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	78.4	78.7	85.3	89.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.4	47.2	43.3	43.4	53.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	32.4	31.6	22.1	18.3	▲
Gender gap (Level)-Math	E M 0.2	E M 0.1	E M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.4	F 9.5	F 8.1	F 2.7	M 1.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	0.4	0.4	0.0	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.9	5.9	7.0	7.7	▲

Lively [Public] Lively	OSSLT count: 87					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 34.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -1.6	2009-10 Last 5 Years					
Rank:	530/727	546/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	70.2	77.6	74.0	76.7	78.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.7	n/a	n/a	40.9	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	29.3	37.9	36.6	26.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.7	F 6.0	F 18.5	M 0.5	F 18.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.4	4.0	4.9	5.2	—

Sacré-Cœur [Catholic] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 145				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)		28.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,800: -2.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apid)	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.0	82.8	79.5	77.8	70.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	57.9	47.1	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	35.4	22.5	38.2	32.2	43.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.4	F 9.1	F 0.9	F 19.2	F 17.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	7.5	5.8	5.5	3.6	—

Collingwood [Public] Collingwood		OSLLT count: 426				
ESL (%)	1.4	Special needs (%): 23.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc of \$68,100: 0.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.4	—
OSLLT passed (%) -FTE	86.8	81.4	82.7	80.3	80.5	▼
OSLLT passed (%) -PE	59.0	59.7	59.2	50.0	57.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	32.9	32.3	32.0	25.9	—
Gender gap (Level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSLLT	F 10.5	F 5.3	F 4.6	F 2.4	F 13.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.8	5.6	2.2	4.6	2.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.2	5.5	5.5	6.1	—

Elmvale [Public] Elmvale OSSLT count: 138													
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.8												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$5,160: n/a	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 497/727	515/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.6	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	73.2	80.9	81.6	78.0	83.7	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	57.1	48.5	60.0	73.9	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	57.1	48.5	60.0	73.9	n/a	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	40.2	29.4	36.7	26.6	30.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.3	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 9.1	F 18.3	F 19.7	F 9.2	F 17.0	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 18.3	F 19.7	F 9.2	F 17.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 18.4	F 12.0	F 10.6	F 5.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	2.5	2.3	0.0	0.7	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.5	2.7	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.1	4.5	6.2	5.4	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.7	6.5	6.2	7.7	—
Holy Trinity [Catholic] Bradford OSSLT count: 185													
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 24.3												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,500: -0.5	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 445/727	379/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.9	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.9	2.7	2.8	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	2.1	2.5	2.0	1.7	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	81.0	88.1	85.5	84.6	81.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.3	69.0	63.6	57.1	54.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.3	69.0	63.6	57.1	54.2	▼	Tests below standard (%)	31.6	29.0	23.9	30.1	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 9.1	M 0.9	F 9.8	F 21.9	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.5	F 13.2	F 15.2	F 7.0	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.0	0.8	2.7	0.6	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.4	6.6	8.1	2.6	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.3	7.2	5.7	5.7	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.1	5.1	5.8	6.4	—
Jean Vanier [Catholic] Collingwood OSSLT count: 199													
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.1												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: 1.5	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 145/727	194/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	1.9	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	81.3	85.8	84.0	86.3	81.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	75.0	69.2	76.9	47.6	80.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	75.0	69.2	76.9	47.6	80.8	—	Tests below standard (%)	30.7	24.1	20.5	17.7	15.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 11.1	F 7.2	F 19.7	M 5.0	F 11.6	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 9.1	M 0.9	F 9.8	F 21.9	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 13.2	F 15.2	F 7.0	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	1.9	3.9	4.8	1.7	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.7	7.2	0.0	5.0	2.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	7.4	7.0	7.7	7.4	▲	Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.4	6.6	5.2	5.7	—
Le Caron [Public] Penetanguishene OSSLT count: 65													
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 24.6												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: -2.7	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 683/727	647/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.4	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.4	▲	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	74.0	71.4	75.0	76.5	59.1	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	43.8	n/a	73.3	58.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	43.8	n/a	73.3	58.8	n/a	Tests below standard (%)	44.2	39.8	43.5	37.6	41.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 8.3	F 19.5	n/a	F 39.2	n/a	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 8.9	F 10.6	F 8.5	F 1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	▲	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	5.4	13.5	4.4	10.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.2	3.6	3.3	4.7	3.5	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.0	5.2	5.3	5.3	▲
Midland [Public] Midland OSSLT count: 335													
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.7												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: -1.8	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 672/727	606/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.1	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	1.9	1.9	1.7	2.0	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	77.4	74.4	78.7	67.0	75.6	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	41.3	22.6	40.0	36.4	34.0	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	41.3	22.6	40.0	36.4	34.0	—	Tests below standard (%)	38.9	41.9	39.0	44.0	43.5	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 6.9	F 8.9	F 10.6	F 8.5	F 1.8	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 10.0	F 8.8	F 11.4	F 12.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 8.9	F 10.6	F 8.5	F 1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	5.4	13.5	4.4	10.3	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	4.6	4.6	2.9	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.6	5.1	3.8	3.5	—	Overall rating out of 10	4.6	5.0	3.9	5.4	5.2	—
Nanty Shores [Public] Innisfil OSSLT count: 387													
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 27.4												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,500: -0.9	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 557/727	559/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	78.3	80.2	75.2	73.9	80.0	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	65.3	45.5	49.4	42.7	34.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	65.3	45.5	49.4	42.7	34.0	▼	Tests below standard (%)	33.6	39.9	37.9	38.2	33.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.0	F 10.0	F 8.8	F 11.4	F 12.3	—	Gender gap OSSLT	1.7	4.6	4.6	2.9	1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	4.6	4.6	2.9	1.8	—	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.7	3.4	0.0	2.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	4.8	5.1	4.7	5.0	—	Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.2	5.8	5.5	4.6	—
Orillia [Public] Orillia OSSLT count: 254													
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 19.7												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: 1.9	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 92/727	281/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	3.0	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	80.3	81.0	87.4	78.2	91.2	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE	60.8	54.5	48.6	33.3	53.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	60.8	54.5	48.6	33.3	53.1	—	Tests below standard (%)	27.6	25.4	29.0	26.9	18.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 11.2	F 18.4	F 12.0	F 10.6	F 5.0	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 18.4	F 12.0	F 10.6	F 5.0	—	Gender gap OSSLT	1.4	0.0	0.5	2.7	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.7	6.5	6.2	7.7	—	Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.7	5.9	5.1	5.2	—
Stayner [Public] Stayner OSSLT count: 138													
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 20.3												
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,600: -0.3	2009-10 Last 5 Years												
Rank: 530/727	493/693												
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	—	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apd)	1.8	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	85.5	79.2	87.9	82.9	80.9	—	OSSLT passed (%) - PE						

TRILLIUM LAKELANDS AREA

Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes [Public] Bracebridge		OSSLT count: 319				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: 0.3	2009-10 Rank: 392/727	Last 5 Years 365/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	81.8	84.2	80.7	82.9	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	36.1	69.1	37.9	55.8	47.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	27.3	31.4	28.9	26.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 13.0	F 2.3	F 10.6	F 12.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.5	2.2	0.5	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.0	6.3	5.8	6.1	—

Gravenhurst [Public] Gravenhurst		OSSLT count: 138				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 35.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,200: 1.8	2009-10 Rank: 181/727	Last 5 Years 379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.7	2.6	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	78.9	70.1	75.9	72.2	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	56.4	54.8	52.4	58.1	65.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	37.9	29.2	34.9	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.2	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 13.1	F 22.6	F 15.2	F 11.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	1.1	2.3	2.2	4.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.5	6.8	5.1	7.2	—

Huntsville [Public] Huntsville		OSSLT count: 328				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 23.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 0.7	2009-10 Rank: 309/727	Last 5 Years 343/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.6	80.4	80.6	84.5	80.7	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	54.8	45.5	47.4	72.5	68.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.1	32.5	28.0	23.1	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 6.8	F 2.0	F 10.8	F 4.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.9	2.4	1.7	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.4	6.6	6.9	6.5	▲

Fenelon Falls [Public] Fenelon Falls		OSSLT count: 261				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 18.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,000: 1.0	2009-10 Rank: 265/727	Last 5 Years 265/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.3	81.9	82.9	83.7	86.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	55.6	69.6	52.6	66.7	77.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.5	26.6	25.7	22.4	24.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.4	F 12.8	F 7.5	F 7.7	F 4.9	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.0	1.8	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	7.0	7.5	7.0	6.8	—

Haliburton Highland [Public] Haliburton		OSSLT count: 184				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,700: 2.2	2009-10 Rank: 145/727	Last 5 Years 194/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.6	2.8	2.3	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.2	79.2	75.0	89.0	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	45.5	39.4	61.9	82.9	66.7	▲
Tests below standard (%)	30.1	27.5	26.9	21.1	18.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 6.7	F 3.2	M 7.7	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	3.1	1.8	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.0	6.8	7.8	7.4	▲

St Dominic [Catholic] Bracebridge		OSSLT count: 81				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: 1.0	2009-10 Rank: 279/727	Last 5 Years 479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.5	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.1	1.3	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	82.8	78.7	68.6	77.9	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	86.7	56.0	63.2	53.3	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	36.5	34.5	31.6	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 13.7	F 10.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	2.3	1.1	0.0	7.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.2	4.8	5.2	6.7	—

Ranking the schools

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 717) according to their academic performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10* (shown on the right side of the table) for the school year 2009/2010. Each school's five-year average ranking and *Overall rating out of 10* are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school.

Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where fewer than five years of data were available, "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2009/2010, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO math test and at least 15 first-time-eligible or previ-

ously eligible writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools, including federally funded schools operated by the First Nations, are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the results of these tests are a necessary component of this Report Card, only those private schools that both administered the EQAO tests and allowed the publication of their results could be included. The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be considered a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

Rank			Overall rating		
2009/ Last 5		School name	2009/ Last 5		2010 years
2010	years	City	2010	years	
1	n/a	St Michael's Choir (Sr)	Toronto	9.8	n/a
2	1	St Michael	Kemptville	9.6	9.7
3	3	Pierre Elliott Trudeau	Markham	9.2	9.2
4	2	London Central	London	9.1	9.6
4	7	Colonel By	Gloucester	9.1	8.9
4	10	Oakville Trafalgar	Oakville	9.1	8.8
4	10	West Carleton	Dunrobin	9.1	8.8
4	18	Chesley	Chesley	9.1	8.5
9	60	Père-René-de-Galinée	Cambridge	9.0	8.0
10	3	Bayview	Richmond Hill	8.9	9.2
10	15	Earl of March	Kanata	8.9	8.7
12	3	Unionville	Unionville	8.8	9.2
12	6	Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts	Toronto	8.8	9.1
14	10	Lisgar	Ottawa	8.7	8.8
14	22	Ursula Franklin	Toronto	8.7	8.4
16	10	North Toronto	Toronto	8.6	8.8
16	22	Etobicoke School of the Arts	Toronto	8.6	8.4
16	22	Iroquois Ridge	Oakville	8.6	8.4
16	30	Guelph	Guelph	8.6	8.3

Rank			Overall rating		
2009/ Last 5		School name	2009/ Last 5		2010 years
2010	years	City	2010	years	
20	7	St Robert	Thornhill	8.5	8.9
20	60	William Lyon Mackenzie	Toronto	8.5	8.0
20	81	St Joseph	Nepean	8.5	7.8
23	30	John McCrae	Nepean	8.4	8.3
23	60	Hearst	Hearst	8.4	8.0
23	194	St Thomas of Villanova	LaSalle	8.4	7.1
26	7	St Augustine	Markham	8.3	8.9
26	10	St Therese of Lisieux	Richmond Hill	8.3	8.8
26	30	Nepean	Ottawa	8.3	8.3
26	39	Notre Dame	Toronto	8.3	8.2
26	39	Richmond Green	Richmond Hill	8.3	8.2
26	73	Westmount	Thornhill	8.3	7.9
26	81	Neil McNeil	Toronto	8.3	7.8
26	n/a	Bur Oak	Markham	8.3	n/a
34	16	Abbey Park	Oakville	8.2	8.6
34	16	St Aloysius Gonzaga	Mississauga	8.2	8.6
34	30	Elmira	Elmira	8.2	8.3
34	51	Sir John A Macdonald	Waterloo	8.2	8.1
34	73	Thornhill	Thornhill	8.2	7.9
34	105	St Peter	Orléans	8.2	7.6

Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years		Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years	
34	148	Kingsville	Kingsville	8.2	7.4	92	105	Holy Trinity	Kanata	7.7	7.6
41	18	Richmond Hill	Richmond Hill	8.1	8.5	92	148	Westdale	Hamilton	7.7	7.4
41	22	Stratford Central	Stratford	8.1	8.4	92	194	Streetsville	Mississauga	7.7	7.1
41	30	Belle River	Belle River	8.1	8.3	92	246	Blessed Trinity	Grimsby	7.7	6.9
41	51	Oakridge	London	8.1	8.1	92	281	Orillia	Orillia	7.7	6.7
41	81	All Saints	Kanata	8.1	7.8	92	301	St Paul	Trenton	7.7	6.6
41	105	Malvern	Toronto	8.1	7.6	92	365	Bradford	Bradford	7.7	6.3
41	105	Mary Ward	Toronto	8.1	7.6	105	39	Glenforest	Mississauga	7.6	8.2
41	223	Louis-Riel	Gloucester	8.1	7.0	105	51	Holy Name of Mary	Brampton	7.6	8.1
49	22	John Fraser	Mississauga	8.0	8.4	105	60	Northern	Sarnia	7.6	8.0
49	22	Richview	Toronto	8.0	8.4	105	73	Markville	Markham	7.6	7.9
49	30	Mayfield	Caledon	8.0	8.3	105	81	L'Escale	Rockland	7.6	7.8
49	39	Leaside	Toronto	8.0	8.2	105	81	Medway	Arva	7.6	7.8
49	39	Regiopolis/Notre-Dame	Kingston	8.0	8.2	105	90	Forest Hill	Toronto	7.6	7.7
49	51	Bluevale	Waterloo	8.0	8.1	105	90	Newmarket	Newmarket	7.6	7.7
49	60	Canterbury	Ottawa	8.0	8.0	105	90	St Ignatius of Loyola	Oakville	7.6	7.7
49	60	Markham	Markham	8.0	8.0	105	105	Frontenac	Kingston	7.6	7.6
49	60	Michael Power/St Joseph	Toronto	8.0	8.0	105	105	I E Weldon	Lindsay	7.6	7.6
49	73	St Thomas Aquinas	London	8.0	7.9	105	105	South Carleton	Richmond	7.6	7.6
49	105	Gordon Graydon Memorial	Mississauga	8.0	7.6	105	105	St Anne	Tecumseh	7.6	7.6
49	148	Thornlea	Thornhill	8.0	7.4	105	130	Merivale	Nepean	7.6	7.5
49	n/a	Bill Crothers	Unionville	8.0	n/a	105	148	Arnprior	Arnprior	7.6	7.4
49	n/a	Delphi Secondary Alternative	Toronto	8.0	n/a	105	165	General Amherst	Amherstburg	7.6	7.3
63	22	Centennial	Guelph	7.9	8.4	105	223	St Joseph's	Toronto	7.6	7.0
63	30	Lawrence Park	Toronto	7.9	8.3	105	223	St Thomas Aquinas	Oakville	7.6	7.0
63	39	A B Lucas	London	7.9	8.2	105	246	Rosedale Heights			
63	39	Agincourt	Toronto	7.9	8.2			School of the Arts	Toronto	7.6	6.9
63	39	Langstaff	Richmond Hill	7.9	8.2	105	301	Barrie North	Barrie	7.6	6.6
63	51	Cawthra Park	Mississauga	7.9	8.1	105	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Thornhill	7.6	n/a
63	60	Aurora	Aurora	7.9	8.0	126	39	Earl Haig	Toronto	7.5	8.2
63	60	Humberside	Toronto	7.9	8.0	126	60	Sandwich	LaSalle	7.5	8.0
63	60	Thomas A Blakelock	Oakville	7.9	8.0	126	73	Sinclair	Whitby	7.5	7.9
63	81	Sacred Heart	Newmarket	7.9	7.8	126	81	Leamington	Leamington	7.5	7.8
63	90	Br André	Markham	7.9	7.7	126	105	Loretto Abbey	Toronto	7.5	7.6
63	90	Don Mills	Toronto	7.9	7.7	126	105	St Theresa	Belleville	7.5	7.6
63	130	Mother Teresa	Nepean	7.9	7.5	126	130	Étienne-Brûlé	Toronto	7.5	7.5
63	148	Burlington Central	Burlington	7.9	7.4	126	130	W A Porter	Toronto	7.5	7.5
63	194	Franco-Cité	Ottawa	7.9	7.1	126	130	White Oaks	Oakville	7.5	7.5
63	n/a	Jean Vanier	Aurora	7.9	n/a	126	148	Lockery	Sudbury	7.5	7.4
79	18	Garneau	Gloucester	7.8	8.5	126	148	Lo-Ellen Park	Sudbury	7.5	7.4
79	22	Marie-Rivier	Kingston	7.8	8.4	126	165	St Ignatius	Thunder Bay	7.5	7.3
79	30	Brockville	Brockville	7.8	8.3	126	177	King City	King City	7.5	7.2
79	39	Middlefield	Markham	7.8	8.2	126	194	Highland	Dundas	7.5	7.1
79	73	Sir Robert Borden	Nepean	7.8	7.9	126	194	Samuel-Genest	Ottawa	7.5	7.1
79	90	Christ the King	Georgetown	7.8	7.7	126	265	St Mary's	Cobourg	7.5	6.8
79	130	Port Credit	Mississauga	7.8	7.5	126	326	Jean Vanier	Toronto	7.5	6.5
79	130	Rockland	Rockland	7.8	7.5	126	343	Norwell	Palmerston	7.5	6.4
79	165	Bayside	Belleville	7.8	7.3	126	n/a	Whitefield	Toronto	7.5	n/a
79	177	Sacred Heart	Stittsville	7.8	7.2	145	51	St Elizabeth	Thornhill	7.4	8.1
79	177	St Joseph's	Cornwall	7.8	7.2	145	105	Holy Trinity	Oakville	7.4	7.6
79	301	St Joseph's	Renfrew	7.8	6.6	145	105	Parkside	Dundas	7.4	7.6
79	n/a	Corpus Christi	Burlington	7.8	n/a	145	105	Waterdown	Waterdown	7.4	7.6
92	39	Nelson	Burlington	7.7	8.2	145	105	Waterloo-Oxford	Baden	7.4	7.6
92	39	North Middlesex	Parkhill	7.7	8.2	145	105	Westmount	Hamilton	7.4	7.6
92	81	St Peter's	Peterborough	7.7	7.8	145	130	Éléonore-Desloges	Orléans	7.4	7.5
92	90	Cardinal Newman	Stoney Creek	7.7	7.7	145	148	Rick Hansen	Mississauga	7.4	7.4
92	90	Saint Paul	Niagara Falls	7.7	7.7	145	177	St Pius X	Ottawa	7.4	7.2
92	90	St Benedict	Sudbury	7.7	7.7	145	194	Haliburton Highland	Haliburton	7.4	7.1

Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years		Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years	
145	194	Jean Vanier	Collingwood	7.4	7.1	197	194	St Mary's	Brockville	7.1	7.1
145	194	Sir Winston Churchill	St Catharines	7.4	7.1	197	223	Mackenzie	Deep River	7.1	7.0
145	223	Centennial	Belleville	7.4	7.0	197	223	Renfrew	Renfrew	7.1	7.0
145	301	Georgetown	Georgetown	7.4	6.6	197	223	Sacred Heart	Walkerton	7.1	7.0
145	397	Riverdale	Toronto	7.4	6.1	197	223	St Martin	Mississauga	7.1	7.0
145	n/a	St Maximilian Kolbe	Aurora	7.4	n/a	197	265	Alexander MacKenzie	Richmond Hill	7.1	6.8
161	30	Lorne Park	Mississauga	7.3	8.3	197	265	North Park	Brantford	7.1	6.8
161	60	De La Salle	Ottawa	7.3	8.0	197	301	Peninsula Shores District	Wiarton	7.1	6.6
161	60	St Marcellinus	Mississauga	7.3	8.0	197	397	Moira	Belleville	7.1	6.1
161	73	I'Essor	Tecumseh	7.3	7.9	197	493	Eastdale	Oshawa	7.1	5.5
161	90	Notre Dame	Burlington	7.3	7.7	197	n/a	Huron Heights	Kitchener	7.1	n/a
161	90	R H King	Toronto	7.3	7.7	197	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Mississauga	7.1	n/a
161	90	St Anne's	Clinton	7.3	7.7	225	90	Mother Teresa	London	7.0	7.7
161	105	Jeunes sans frontières	Brampton	7.3	7.6	225	105	Bishop Allen	Toronto	7.0	7.6
161	130	E L Crossley	Fonthill	7.3	7.5	225	105	St Christopher	Sarnia	7.0	7.6
161	130	Sir William Mulock	Newmarket	7.3	7.5	225	148	Kingston	Kingston	7.0	7.4
161	130	St Michael	Stratford	7.3	7.5	225	148	M M Robinson	Burlington	7.0	7.4
161	148	Cobourg District CI West	Cobourg	7.3	7.4	225	165	Russel High	Russell	7.0	7.3
161	165	St Mark	Manotick	7.3	7.3	225	177	Ancaster	Ancaster	7.0	7.2
161	177	St Francis Xavier	Mississauga	7.3	7.2	225	177	Lambton Central	Petrolia	7.0	7.2
161	194	Emily Carr	Woodbridge	7.3	7.1	225	177	Lindsay	Lindsay	7.0	7.2
161	194	St Matthew	Orléans	7.3	7.1	225	177	Uxbridge	Uxbridge	7.0	7.2
161	246	Banting Memorial	Alliston	7.3	6.9	225	194	Osgoode Township	Metcalfe	7.0	7.1
161	246	Holy Trinity	Cornwall	7.3	6.9	225	194	Preston	Cambridge	7.0	7.1
161	301	F J Brennan	Windsor	7.3	6.6	225	223	Glengarry	Alexandria	7.0	7.0
161	397	Atikokan	Atikokan	7.3	6.1	225	223	Milton	Milton	7.0	7.0
181	51	Aldershot	Burlington	7.2	8.1	225	246	Grimsby	Grimsby	7.0	6.9
181	51	Gisèle-Lalonde	Orléans	7.2	8.1	225	246	Iona	Mississauga	7.0	6.9
181	130	Our Lady of Mount Carmel	Mississauga	7.2	7.5	225	246	St Mary's	Hamilton	7.0	6.9
181	148	Cardinal Carter	Aurora	7.2	7.4	225	281	Elliot Lake	Elliot Lake	7.0	6.7
181	165	John F Ross	Guelph	7.2	7.3	225	281	Sainte-Marie	New Liskeard	7.0	6.7
181	165	Vaughan	Thornhill	7.2	7.3	225	301	Carleton Place	Carleton Place	7.0	6.6
181	177	St John	Perth	7.2	7.2	225	301	Holy Cross	Peterborough	7.0	6.6
181	194	Orangeville	Orangeville	7.2	7.1	225	326	Bishop Paul Francis Reding	Milton	7.0	6.5
181	265	St Thomas Aquinas	Kenora	7.2	6.8	225	326	Notre Dame	Welland	7.0	6.5
181	281	Monseigneur-de-Charbonnel	Toronto	7.2	6.7	225	365	Father Leo J Austin	Whitby	7.0	6.3
181	281	Orchard Park	Stoney Creek	7.2	6.7	225	365	St Jean de Brebeuf	Hamilton	7.0	6.3
181	281	Port Perry	Port Perry	7.2	6.7	225	426	Holy Trinity	Courtice	7.0	5.9
181	301	Father John Redmond	Toronto	7.2	6.6	225	546	Sir Allan MacNab	Hamilton	7.0	5.1
181	326	Holy Names	Windsor	7.2	6.5	225	n/a	l'Odyssée	North Bay	7.0	n/a
181	326	Jeanne-Lajoie	Pembroke	7.2	6.5	253	73	Embrun	Embrun	6.9	7.9
181	379	Gravenhurst	Gravenhurst	7.2	6.2	253	90	Lester B Pearson	Burlington	6.9	7.7
197	51	Central Elgin	St Thomas	7.1	8.1	253	105	Waterloo	Waterloo	6.9	7.6
197	90	Listowel	Listowel	7.1	7.7	253	177	Acton	Acton	6.9	7.2
197	105	Eden	St Catharines	7.1	7.6	253	223	Harrow	Harrow	6.9	7.0
197	105	Stratford Northwestern	Stratford	7.1	7.6	253	223	Northern	Toronto	6.9	7.0
197	105	The Woodlands	Mississauga	7.1	7.6	253	246	Milliken Mills	Unionville	6.9	6.9
197	130	St. Joan of Arc	Mississauga	7.1	7.5	253	265	St Joseph-Scollard Hall	North Bay	6.9	6.8
197	148	Bishop Tonnos	Ancaster	7.1	7.4	253	281	Eastview	Barrie	6.9	6.7
197	148	Erin	Erin	7.1	7.4	253	343	Madawaska Valley	Barry's Bay	6.9	6.4
197	165	Holy Trinity	Simcoe	7.1	7.3	253	343	North Park	Brampton	6.9	6.4
197	165	Sir John A Macdonald	Toronto	7.1	7.3	253	379	Victoria Park	Toronto	6.9	6.2
197	177	Bell	Nepean	7.1	7.2	265	165	Dr Norman Bethune	Toronto	6.8	7.3
197	177	Pain Court	Pain Court	7.1	7.2	265	194	St. Thomas Aquinas	Russell	6.8	7.1
197	194	Bishop Ryan	Hamilton	7.1	7.1	265	223	Holy Cross	Kingston	6.8	7.0
197	194	Franco-Ouest	Nepean	7.1	7.1	265	223	La Citadelle	Cornwall	6.8	7.0
197	194	Nicholson	Belleville	7.1	7.1	265	246	Sir Oliver Mowat	Toronto	6.8	6.9
197	194	North Grenville	Kemptville	7.1	7.1	265	265	Fenelon Falls	Fenelon Falls	6.8	6.8

Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010		Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010	
Last 5 years		School name	City	Last 5 years		Last 5 years		School name	City	Last 5 years	
265	265	Peterborough	Peterborough	6.8	6.8	309	450	Francis Libermann	Toronto	6.5	5.8
265	281	Centre Dufferin	Shelburne	6.8	6.7	309	463	Clarke	Newcastle	6.5	5.7
265	301	St Jean de Brebeuf	Woodbridge	6.8	6.6	330	148	Essex	Essex	6.4	7.4
265	326	Father Michael McGivney	Markham	6.8	6.5	330	165	Hillcrest	Ottawa	6.4	7.3
265	343	Prince Edward	Picton	6.8	6.4	330	194	Martingrove	Toronto	6.4	7.1
265	365	St Patrick's	Sarnia	6.8	6.3	330	194	Saugeen	Port Elgin	6.4	7.1
265	397	Sainte-Famille	Mississauga	6.8	6.1	330	246	Centre Wellington	Fergus	6.4	6.9
265	479	St Edmund Campion	Brampton	6.8	5.6	330	265	O'Neill	Oshawa	6.4	6.8
279	81	St Marys	St Marys	6.7	7.8	330	265	St James	Guelph	6.4	6.8
279	105	Vincent Massey	Windsor	6.7	7.6	330	265	St Mary's	Owen Sound	6.4	6.8
279	165	A N Myer	Niagara Falls	6.7	7.3	330	281	Chippewa	North Bay	6.4	6.7
279	177	Glebe	Ottawa	6.7	7.2	330	301	Northview Heights	Toronto	6.4	6.6
279	194	Bishop Macdonell	Guelph	6.7	7.1	330	343	Catholic Central	London	6.4	6.4
279	246	Immaculata	Ottawa	6.7	6.9	330	343	Hammarskjold	Thunder Bay	6.4	6.4
279	246	West Hill	Owen Sound	6.7	6.9	330	343	St Patrick	Thunder Bay	6.4	6.4
279	281	Sydenham	Sydenham	6.7	6.7	330	365	Holy Cross	St Catharines	6.4	6.3
279	281	The Humerview	Bolton	6.7	6.7	330	379	St Paul	Ottawa	6.4	6.2
279	301	Assumption	Brantford	6.7	6.6	330	397	Bear Creek	Barrie	6.4	6.1
279	301	Crestwood	Peterborough	6.7	6.6	330	397	Etobicoke	Toronto	6.4	6.1
279	326	Renaissance	Aurora	6.7	6.5	330	397	Glencoe	Glencoe	6.4	6.1
279	426	White Pines	Sault Ste. Marie	6.7	5.9	330	463	Park Street	Orillia	6.4	5.7
279	479	St Dominic	Bracebridge	6.7	5.6	330	515	Westgate	Thunder Bay	6.4	5.4
279	606	Sharbot Lake	Sharbot Lake	6.7	4.4	330	596	Cathedral	Hamilton	6.4	4.6
294	130	A.Y. Jackson	Kanata	6.6	7.5	351	177	Our Lady of the Lake	Keswick	6.3	7.2
294	130	Our Lady of Lourdes	Guelph	6.6	7.5	351	194	Donald A. Wilson	Whitby	6.3	7.1
294	130	Sir Frederick Banting	London	6.6	7.5	351	194	F E Madill	Wingham	6.3	7.1
294	177	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Orléans	6.6	7.2	351	194	Ingersoll District	Ingersoll	6.3	7.1
294	223	Kincardine	Kincardine	6.6	7.0	351	223	Harbord	Toronto	6.3	7.0
294	223	Saunders	London	6.6	7.0	351	223	Resurrection	Kitchener	6.3	7.0
294	223	Thomas A Stewart	Peterborough	6.6	7.0	351	265	Dr John M Denison	Newmarket	6.3	6.8
294	246	Mitchell	Mitchell	6.6	6.9	351	265	Seaway	Iroquois	6.3	6.8
294	246	Philip pocock	Mississauga	6.6	6.9	351	301	Hawkesbury	Hawkesbury	6.3	6.6
294	281	Meadowvale	Mississauga	6.6	6.7	351	301	Maple	Maple	6.3	6.6
294	301	John Cabot	Mississauga	6.6	6.6	351	326	Robert Bateman	Burlington	6.3	6.5
294	326	South Huron	Exeter	6.6	6.5	351	343	Huron Park	Woodstock	6.3	6.4
294	326	St John's	Brantford	6.6	6.5	351	343	Ursuline (The Pines)	Chatham	6.3	6.4
294	379	Saltfleet	Stoney Creek	6.6	6.2	351	343	Vankleek Hill	Vankleek Hill	6.3	6.4
294	379	Turner Fenton	Brampton	6.6	6.2	351	379	Westlane	Niagara Falls	6.3	6.2
309	148	Strathroy District	Strathroy	6.5	7.4	351	397	East Northumberland	Brighton	6.3	6.1
309	194	Loyola	Mississauga	6.5	7.1	351	418	Courtice	Courtice	6.3	6.0
309	194	Thousand Islands	Brockville	6.5	7.1	351	450	Saint-Charles-Garnier	Whitby	6.3	5.8
309	223	Erindale	Mississauga	6.5	7.0	351	523	E.J.Lajeunesse	Windsor	6.3	5.3
309	223	St Mary's	Woodstock	6.5	7.0	351	523	Grey Highlands	Flesherton	6.3	5.3
309	246	Anderson	Whitby	6.5	6.9	371	105	de Casselman	Casselman	6.2	7.6
309	246	Cameron Heights	Kitchener	6.5	6.9	371	148	St Francis	St Catharines	6.2	7.4
309	246	Charlottenburgh and Lancaster	Williamstown	6.5	6.9	371	223	Parkside	St Thomas	6.2	7.0
309	265	South Lincoln	Smithville	6.5	6.8	371	326	E C Drury	Milton	6.2	6.5
309	281	St Joseph	Mississauga	6.5	6.7	371	326	St Thomas More	Hamilton	6.2	6.5
309	281	Stouffville District	Stouffville	6.5	6.7	371	343	Senator O'Connor	Toronto	6.2	6.4
309	301	Lord Dorchester	Dorchester	6.5	6.6	371	365	Saint Michael	Niagara Falls	6.2	6.3
309	301	St Joseph's	Windsor	6.5	6.6	371	379	Timiskaming District	New Liskeard	6.2	6.2
309	326	Father Michael Goetz	Mississauga	6.5	6.5	371	397	Bishop Alexander Carter	Hanmer	6.2	6.1
309	343	Huntsville	Huntsville	6.5	6.4	371	397	Brampton Centennial	Brampton	6.2	6.1
309	365	Fellowes	Pembroke	6.5	6.3	371	397	Opeongo	Douglas	6.2	6.1
309	365	Le Relais	Alexandria	6.5	6.3	371	418	Clarkson	Mississauga	6.2	6.0
309	379	Nouveau REGARD-				371	426	Cardinal Leger	Brampton	6.2	5.9
309	426	Pavillon Jeunesse Nord	Cochrane	6.5	6.2	371	426	Georgian Bay	Meaford	6.2	5.9
309	426	East Elgin	Aylmer	6.5	5.9	371	426	Kitchener Waterloo	Kitchener	6.2	5.9

Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010		Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010	
	years	School name	City		years		years	School name	City		years
371	426	St Joan of Arc	Maple	6.2	5.9	432	523	Tagwi	Avonmore	5.8	5.3
371	426	Wellington Heights	Mount Forest	6.2	5.9	432	559	St Basil The Great	Toronto	5.8	5.0
371	426	West Park	St Catharines	6.2	5.9	432	623	Bawating	Sault Ste. Marie	5.8	4.1
371	450	College Avenue	Woodstock	6.2	5.8	445	246	Southwood	Cambridge	5.7	6.9
371	450	R S McLaughlin	Oshawa	6.2	5.8	445	301	Paris	Paris	5.7	6.6
371	463	St Stephen's	Bowmanville	6.2	5.7	445	343	All Saints	Whitby	5.7	6.4
392	130	Assumption	Burlington	6.1	7.5	445	343	St Mary's	Kitchener	5.7	6.4
392	194	St Joseph's	St Thomas	6.1	7.1	445	379	Gloucester	Gloucester	5.7	6.2
392	246	Almonte	Almonte	6.1	6.9	445	379	Holy Trinity	Bradford	5.7	6.2
392	265	St David	Waterloo	6.1	6.8	445	397	Notre Dame	Ottawa	5.7	6.1
392	281	Rainy River	Rainy River	6.1	6.7	445	397	Simcoe	Simcoe	5.7	6.1
392	301	Dr G W Williams	Aurora	6.1	6.6	445	418	Patrick Fogarty	Orillia	5.7	6.0
392	301	Goderich District	Goderich	6.1	6.6	445	426	Cairine Wilson	Ottawa	5.7	5.9
392	301	Laura Secord	St Catharines	6.1	6.6	445	463	St Marguerite d'Youville	Brampton	5.7	5.7
392	365	Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes	Bracebridge	6.1	6.3	445	463	Theriault	Timmins	5.7	5.7
392	379	Applewood Heights	Mississauga	6.1	6.2	445	479	St Thomas Aquinas	Brampton	5.7	5.6
392	379	John Diefenbaker	Hanover	6.1	6.2	445	493	Port Hope	Port Hope	5.7	5.5
392	397	Robert F Hall	Caledon East	6.1	6.1	445	515	Cardinal Carter	Leamington	5.7	5.4
392	418	Collingwood	Collingwood	6.1	6.0	445	523	Barrie Central	Barrie	5.7	5.3
392	426	Twin Lakes	Orillia	6.1	5.9	445	532	Lakefield	Lakefield	5.7	5.2
392	463	Bayridge	Kingston	6.1	5.7	445	546	Lester B Pearson	Gloucester	5.7	5.1
392	463	Lakeshore	Port Colborne	6.1	5.7	445	596	Bloor	Toronto	5.7	4.6
392	479	Brookfield	Ottawa	6.1	5.6	464	281	London South	London	5.6	6.7
392	493	Wallaceburg	Wallaceburg	6.1	5.5	464	301	Mississauga	Mississauga	5.6	6.6
392	n/a	St Francis Xavier	Gloucester	6.1	n/a	464	326	Woburn	Toronto	5.6	6.5
411	177	Rideau	Elgin	6.0	7.2	464	365	Marshall McLuhan	Toronto	5.6	6.3
411	281	Notre Dame	Carleton Place	6.0	6.7	464	397	Sir Winston Churchill	Thunder Bay	5.6	6.1
411	343	Adam Scott	Peterborough	6.0	6.4	464	463	Holy Cross	Woodbridge	5.6	5.7
411	379	Sherwood	Hamilton	6.0	6.2	464	463	Ridgeway-Crystal Beach	Ridgeway	5.6	5.7
411	426	Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts	Toronto	6.0	5.9	464	479	Espanola	Espanola	5.6	5.6
411	493	Huron Heights	Newmarket	6.0	5.5	464	532	Notre Dame	Brampton	5.6	5.2
411	515	Central Algoma	Desbarats	6.0	5.4	464	546	Parry Sound	Parry Sound	5.6	5.1
411	596	Tilbury	Tilbury	6.0	4.6	464	570	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Toronto	5.6	4.9
419	18	Marymount	Sudbury	5.9	8.5	464	626	Kenner	Peterborough	5.6	4.0
419	301	Central Huron	Clinton	5.9	6.6	464	n/a	Marc-Garneau	Trenton	5.6	n/a
419	326	Collège français	Toronto	5.9	6.5	464	n/a	Sandalwood Heights	Brampton	5.6	n/a
419	326	Jacob Hespeler	Cambridge	5.9	6.5	478	265	Algonquin	North Bay	5.5	6.8
419	365	Dryden	Dryden	5.9	6.3	478	281	Holy Cross	Strathroy	5.5	6.7
419	397	Ernestown	Odessa	5.9	6.1	478	281	Korah	Sault Ste. Marie	5.5	6.7
419	397	Walkerton	Walkerton	5.9	6.1	478	301	John Paul II	London	5.5	6.6
419	426	Bishop Smith	Pembroke	5.9	5.9	478	343	Delhi	Delhi	5.5	6.4
419	426	St Mary's	Sault Ste. Marie	5.9	5.9	478	343	Father Bressani	Woodbridge	5.5	6.4
419	450	Clarington Central	Bowmanville	5.9	5.8	478	343	Franco-Cité	Sturgeon Falls	5.5	6.4
419	463	St Mary	Pickering	5.9	5.7	478	343	Westside	Orangeville	5.5	6.4
419	546	West Ferris	North Bay	5.9	5.1	478	365	North Lambton	Forest	5.5	6.3
419	559	St Thomas Aquinas	Lindsay	5.9	5.0	478	397	Governor Simcoe	St Catharines	5.5	6.1
432	281	Grand River	Kitchener	5.8	6.7	478	418	Silverthorn	Toronto	5.5	6.0
432	343	Albert Campbell	Toronto	5.8	6.4	478	426	Galt	Cambridge	5.5	5.9
432	365	St Josephs Morrow Park	Toronto	5.8	6.3	478	450	St Benedict	Cambridge	5.5	5.8
432	379	Cité des Jeunes	Kapuskasing	5.8	6.2	478	479	Centennial	Welland	5.5	5.6
432	379	Fort Frances	Fort Frances	5.8	6.2	478	479	Pickering	Ajax	5.5	5.6
432	418	Chatham-Kent	Chatham	5.8	6.0	478	523	Oshawa Central	Oshawa	5.5	5.3
432	426	L'Amoreaux	Toronto	5.8	5.9	478	532	La Salle	Kingston	5.5	5.2
432	493	Campbellford	Campbellford	5.8	5.5	478	532	Lambton Kent	Dresden	5.5	5.2
432	493	Lester B Pearson	Toronto	5.8	5.5	478	546	Fletcher's Meadow	Brampton	5.5	5.1
432	493	St Clair	Sarnia	5.8	5.5	497	194	Brebeuf	Toronto	5.4	7.1
						497	397	Cardinal Newman	Toronto	5.4	6.1
						497	397	Widdifield	North Bay	5.4	6.1

Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010		Rank 2009/ 2010				Overall rating 2009/ 2010	
Last 5 years		School name	City	Last 5 years		Last 5 years		School name	City	Last 5 years	
497	426	St Joseph's	Barrie	5.4	5.9	557	463	Heart Lake	Brampton	5.0	5.7
497	450	Iroquois Falls	Iroquois Falls	5.4	5.8	557	463	Woodstock	Woodstock	5.0	5.7
497	493	Brock	Cannington	5.4	5.5	557	532	St Joan of Arc	Barrie	5.0	5.2
497	493	Marc Garneau	Toronto	5.4	5.5	557	559	Lasalle	Sudbury	5.0	5.0
497	493	Ridgetown	Ridgetown	5.4	5.5	557	559	Nantyr Shores	Innisfil	5.0	5.0
497	515	Elmvale	Elmvale	5.4	5.4	557	570	Nouvelle-Alliance	Barrie	5.0	4.9
497	532	Hill Park	Hamilton	5.4	5.2	557	578	St Patrick's	Ottawa	5.0	4.8
497	532	Walkerville	Windsor	5.4	5.2	557	613	St Peter's	Barrie	5.0	4.3
497	570	W F Herman	Windsor	5.4	4.9	566	343	Sir James Dunn	Sault Ste. Marie	4.9	6.4
497	666	Monarch Park	Toronto	5.4	3.0	566	365	St Charles	Sudbury	4.9	6.3
497	n/a	Bruce Peninsula District	Lion's Head	5.4	n/a	566	450	Monsignor Doyle	Cambridge	4.9	5.8
497	n/a	Maxwell Heights	Oshawa	5.4	n/a	566	479	Athens	Athens	4.9	5.6
497	n/a	Rothwell-Osnabruck	Ingleside	5.4	n/a	566	493	Englehart	Englehart	4.9	5.5
513	130	Marathon	Marathon	5.3	7.5	566	523	Port Colborne	Port Colborne	4.9	5.3
513	343	Woodbridge	Woodbridge	5.3	6.4	566	570	Gananoque	Gananoque	4.9	4.9
513	418	Newtonbrook	Toronto	5.3	6.0	566	578	W C Eaket	Blind River	4.9	4.8
513	426	Brantford	Brantford	5.3	5.9	566	578	Woodroffe	Ottawa	4.9	4.8
513	450	Jean-Vanier	Welland	5.3	5.8	566	596	Confederation	Val Caron	4.9	4.6
513	450	St Basil	Sault Ste. Marie	5.3	5.8	566	596	Parkdale	Toronto	4.9	4.6
513	463	Archbishop Denis O'Connor	Ajax	5.3	5.7	566	629	West Elgin	West Lorne	4.9	3.9
513	463	St Francis Xavier	Hammond	5.3	5.7	578	223	Norwich	Norwich	4.8	7.0
513	493	Denis Morris	St Catharines	5.3	5.5	578	426	Cayuga	Cayuga	4.8	5.9
513	493	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	London	5.3	5.5	578	463	Owen Sound	Owen Sound	4.8	5.7
513	523	Henry Street	Whitby	5.3	5.3	578	532	Beamsville	Beamsville	4.8	5.2
513	532	Chaminade	Toronto	5.3	5.2	578	532	Eastwood	Kitchener	4.8	5.2
513	546	Harold M. Brathwaite	Brampton	5.3	5.1	578	546	Blessed Mother Teresa	Toronto	4.8	5.1
513	546	Pope John Paul II	Toronto	5.3	5.1	578	588	Valley Heights	Langton	4.8	4.7
513	578	Penetanguishene	Penetanguishene	5.3	4.8	578	656	James Cardinal McGuigan	Toronto	4.8	3.3
513	n/a	Manitouwadge	Manitouwadge	5.3	n/a	578	n/a	Chapleau	Chapleau	4.8	n/a
513	n/a	Superior CVI	Thunder Bay	5.3	n/a	587	426	Loyalist	Kingston	4.7	5.9
530	379	Deslauriers	Nepean	5.2	6.2	587	479	Forest Heights	Kitchener	4.7	5.6
530	379	Riverside	Windsor	5.2	6.2	587	493	Jarvis	Toronto	4.7	5.5
530	379	St Paul	Mississauga	5.2	6.2	587	493	Monseigneur-Brûlé	London	4.7	5.5
530	426	Dunbarton	Pickering	5.2	5.9	587	546	South Grenville	Prescott	4.7	5.1
530	426	McKinnon Park	Caledonia	5.2	5.9	587	570	Pine Ridge	Pickering	4.7	4.9
530	426	North Hastings	Bancroft	5.2	5.9	587	578	Birchmount Park	Toronto	4.7	4.8
530	450	St Augustine	Brampton	5.2	5.8	587	578	Sudbury	Sudbury	4.7	4.8
530	493	Stayner	Stayner	5.2	5.5	595	426	North Dundas	Chesterville	4.6	5.9
530	532	Napanee	Napanee	5.2	5.2	595	479	Vaughan Road	Toronto	4.6	5.6
530	532	Quinte	Belleville	5.2	5.2	595	493	General Panet	Petawawa	4.6	5.5
530	546	L'Héritage	Cornwall	5.2	5.1	595	493	Hon W C Kennedy	Windsor	4.6	5.5
530	546	Lively	Lively	5.2	5.1	595	515	Westminster	London	4.6	5.4
530	559	Bramalea	Brampton	5.2	5.0	595	523	St Thomas Aquinas	Tottenham	4.6	5.3
530	578	St Theresa's	Midland	5.2	4.8	595	559	Central Peel	Brampton	4.6	5.0
530	578	Waterford	Waterford	5.2	4.8	595	606	G L Roberts	Oshawa	4.6	4.4
530	618	Mère-Teresa	Hamilton	5.2	4.2	595	613	Lake Superior	Terrace Bay	4.6	4.3
530	636	Ascension of Our Lord	Mississauga	5.2	3.8	595	666	Thistletown	Toronto	4.6	3.0
547	397	Blenheim	Blenheim	5.1	6.1	605	326	Collège Notre-Dame	Sudbury	4.5	6.5
547	463	Regina Mundi	London	5.1	5.7	605	515	Cobourg District CI East	Cobourg	4.5	5.4
547	479	Port Dover	Port Dover	5.1	5.6	605	546	Dante Alighieri	Toronto	4.5	5.1
547	493	Glenview Park	Cambridge	5.1	5.5	605	570	Almaguin Highlands	South River	4.5	4.9
547	493	Perth and District	Perth	5.1	5.5	605	570	Geraldton	Geraldton	4.5	4.9
547	493	Red Lake	Red Lake	5.1	5.5	605	623	Archbishop Romero	Toronto	4.5	4.1
547	515	Plantagenet	Plantagenet	5.1	5.4	605	n/a	Gabriel-Dumont	London	4.5	n/a
547	532	Bowmanville	Bowmanville	5.1	5.2	612	493	Monsignor Paul Dwyer	Oshawa	4.4	5.5
547	578	Thorold	Thorold	5.1	4.8	612	523	Innisdale	Barrie	4.4	5.3
547	596	George S Henry	Toronto	5.1	4.6	612	606	Rideau	Ottawa	4.4	4.4
557	418	Notre Dame	Ajax	5.0	6.0	612	629	Loretto	Toronto	4.4	3.9

Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years		Rank 2009/ Last 5 2010 years School name				Overall rating 2009/ Last 5 2010 years	
612	650	Kipling	Toronto	4.4	3.5	672	629	West Hill	Toronto	3.5	3.9
612	n/a	Georges Vanier	Toronto	4.4	n/a	672	662	Delta	Hamilton	3.5	3.2
618	532	Sarnia	Sarnia	4.3	5.2	672	662	Sir Winston Churchill	Hamilton	3.5	3.2
618	596	Thomas L Kennedy	Mississauga	4.3	4.6	672	666	North Albion	Toronto	3.5	3.0
618	618	Ridgemont	Ottawa	4.3	4.2	677	626	York Memorial	Toronto	3.4	4.0
618	665	Danforth	Toronto	4.3	3.1	677	647	General Vanier	Cornwall	3.4	3.6
618	n/a	de la Verendrye	Thunder Bay	4.3	n/a	677	650	Runnymede	Toronto	3.4	3.5
623	450	Smiths Falls District	Smiths Falls	4.2	5.8	677	666	St Catharines	St Catharines	3.4	3.0
623	515	Trenton	Trenton	4.2	5.4	677	674	Sir John A Macdonald	Hamilton	3.4	2.7
623	546	Manitoulin	M'Chigeeng	4.2	5.1	677	681	Central	Toronto	3.4	2.0
623	559	Le Sommet	Hawkesbury	4.2	5.0	683	636	Oakwood	Toronto	3.3	3.8
623	570	Chinguacousy	Brampton	4.2	4.9	683	647	Le Caron	Penetanguishene	3.3	3.6
623	578	H B Beal	London	4.2	4.8	683	647	Stamford Collegiate	Niagara Falls	3.3	3.6
623	588	Assumption	Windsor	4.2	4.7	683	666	St Mary's	Toronto	3.3	3.0
623	588	Timmins	Timmins	4.2	4.7	683	n/a	Confédération	Welland	3.3	n/a
623	618	John McGregor	Chatham	4.2	4.2	688	623	Dr F J Donevan	Oshawa	3.2	4.1
623	656	West Humber	Toronto	4.2	3.3	688	n/a	Saint-François-Xavier	Sarnia	3.2	n/a
633	479	Ajax	Ajax	4.1	5.6	690	629	Norwood District	Norwood	3.1	3.9
633	559	Stephen Leacock	Toronto	4.1	5.0	690	629	Roland Michener	South Porcupine	3.1	3.9
633	588	Champlain	Chelmsford	4.1	4.7	690	640	Sutton	Sutton West	3.1	3.7
633	618	Arthur Voaden	St Thomas	4.1	4.2	690	662	Bishop Marrocco/ Thomas Merton	Toronto	3.1	3.2
633	636	Catholic Central	Windsor	4.1	3.8	694	676	George Harvey	Toronto	3.0	2.5
633	640	Kirkland Lake District	Kirkland Lake	4.1	3.7	695	640	Scarlett Heights			
633	656	Northern	Sturgeon Falls	4.1	3.3	695	666	Entrepreneurial	Toronto	2.9	3.7
633	684	Sir Sandford Fleming	Toronto	4.1	1.5	695	666	Don Bosco	Toronto	2.9	3.0
641	265	Cartwright	Blackstock	4.0	6.8	695	675	St Patrick	Toronto	2.9	2.6
641	450	Centre Hastings	Madoc	4.0	5.8	698	613	Cochrane	Cochrane	2.8	4.3
641	588	Pauline Johnson	Brantford	4.0	4.7	698	618	Dunnville	Dunnville	2.8	4.2
641	596	Michipicoten	Wawa	4.0	4.6	700	678	Eastdale	Welland	2.7	2.2
641	613	J Clarke Richardson	Ajax	4.0	4.3	701	656	Emery	Toronto	2.6	3.3
641	626	Clarke Road	London	4.0	4.0	701	682	Westview Centennial	Toronto	2.6	1.8
641	653	O'Gorman	Timmins	4.0	3.4	703	606	Barton	Hamilton	2.3	4.4
648	559	Keswick	Keswick	3.9	5.0	703	680	Father Henry Carr	Toronto	2.3	2.1
648	588	Monsignor John Pereyma	Oshawa	3.9	4.7	705	604	John L Forster	Windsor	2.1	4.5
648	604	Glendale	Hamilton	3.9	4.5	705	682	C W Jefferys	Toronto	2.1	1.8
648	640	Weston	Toronto	3.9	3.7	707	678	Central Commerce	Toronto	1.9	2.2
648	653	Monsignor Percy Johnson	Toronto	3.9	3.4	708	673	Fort Erie	Fort Erie	1.8	2.9
648	656	Macdonald-Cartier	Sudbury	3.9	3.3	709	n/a	Hammer	Hammer	1.7	n/a
648	666	Queen Elizabeth	Kingston	3.9	3.0	710	n/a	Jean-Vanier	Kirkland Lake	1.6	n/a
655	588	Beaver Brae	Kenora	3.8	4.7	711	656	Chelmsford Valley District	Chelmsford	1.5	3.3
655	606	Lincoln M Alexander	Mississauga	3.8	4.4	712	629	Kapuskasing	Kapuskasing	1.4	3.9
655	606	Nipigon Red Rock	Red Rock	3.8	4.4	713	677	Queen Elizabeth	Sioux Lookout	0.8	2.3
655	636	Western	Toronto	3.8	3.8	714	685	Alexander Mackenzie	Sarnia	0.6	1.0
655	640	East York	Toronto	3.8	3.7	715	687	Downsview	Toronto	0.4	0.5
655	640	St Lawrence	Cornwall	3.8	3.7	716	686	Eastern Commerce	Toronto	0.2	0.6
655	653	Lakeshore	Toronto	3.8	3.4	717	688	College Heights	Guelph	0.0	0.4
662	479	I'Horizon	Val Caron	3.7	5.6	717	689	Sir Robert L Borden	Toronto	0.0	0.1
662	559	Winston Churchill	Toronto	3.7	5.0	717	689	Western	Amherstburg	0.0	0.1
662	588	Niagara	Niagara-on-the-Lake	3.7	4.7	717	691	Bendale	Toronto	0.0	0.0
662	629	David and Mary Thomson	Toronto	3.7	3.9	717	691	Nelson A Boylen	Toronto	0.0	0.0
662	640	Hagersville	Hagersville	3.7	3.7	717	691	North Peel	Brampton	0.0	0.0
662	650	Madonna	Toronto	3.7	3.5	717	n/a	Dennis Franklin Cromarty	Thunder Bay	0.0	n/a
662	n/a	North Addington	Cloynne	3.7	n/a	717	n/a	Kernahan Park	St Catharines	0.0	n/a
669	479	Sacré-Coeur	Sudbury	3.6	5.6	717	n/a	Northern Lights	Moosonee	0.0	n/a
669	559	Montcalm	London	3.6	5.0	717	n/a	Pelican Falls	Sioux Lookout	0.0	n/a
669	613	Cedarbrae	Toronto	3.6	4.3	717	n/a	Tollgate Tech	Brantford	0.0	n/a
672	606	Midland	Midland	3.5	4.4						

Appendix: Calculating the *Overall rating out of 10*

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared with others in the report card?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the data received from the Ministry of Education into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

1 The results for English and French language examinations are separately subjected to the following procedures.

2 The *Average levels* achieved on each of the two grade-9 mathematics tests, the two OSSLT results (FTE and PE), and the indicators of failure on the four test sittings were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

3 The standardized data for results data were then aggregated. The weighting used was the number of student writers of each test relative to the total number of student test writers in the relevant subject area.

4 Similarly, the standardized data for the indicators of failure were aggregated using the same method of weighting.

5 The *Gender gap* values for the grade-9 mathematics test and the OSSLT were each calculated by determining the absolute value of the difference in the level of achievement (or success rate in the case of the OSSLT) of male students and female students at the school. The results for each subject were then standardized.

6 The four standardized indicator results created in steps 3, 4, and 5 were then combined to produce a weighted, average, summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were: combined results indicator—45%; Combined fail rate indicator—45%; Gender gap measures—5% each. For schools where there were fewer than two gender gap results, the weightings for the missing gender gap indicators were assigned to the combined fail rate indicator.

7 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

8 The allowable maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.0 and -3.29 respectively. Scores

equal to, or greater than, 2.0 receive an overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

Note that, beginning with the 2010 edition, the maximum standardized score is set at 2.2, rather than 2.0 as in previous Report Cards. This change has been made to bring the Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools in line with editions produced in other provinces. The effect of this change is to reduce the frequency of schools achieving an overall score of 10.

- 9 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings out of 10* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * StanScore)$$

where OR is the resulting *Overall rating out of 10*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and $StanScore$ is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above, OR_{\min} equals zero, Z_{\min} equals -3.29; and Z_{\max} equals 2.0.

- 10 Finally, the derived *Overall rating out of 10* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is the Senior Vice President, Operations and Director of School Performance Studies the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995.

In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools, Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual report cards on Canadian schools. Annual editions now include report cards on secondary schools in British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec and on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario as well as the occasional *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*.

Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton in addition to being a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute, is a professor of Economics, a member of the School for International Studies, and an Associate member of the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Marijuana Growth in British Columbia* (2004), *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* (2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* for British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Schooling* (Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the Stirling chain and many other newspapers around the country.

Michael Thomas

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

Acknowledgments



The Fraser Institute gratefully acknowledges
the generous support for this project received
from The W. Garfield Weston Foundation.

About this publication

Studies in Education Policy are published periodically throughout the year by the Fraser Institute.

Distribution

These publications are available from <http://www.fraserinstitute.org> and <http://www.fraseramerica.org> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or later or with Adobe Reader® 7 or later. Adobe Reader® X, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. and may be downloaded from: <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>. We encourage you to install the most recent version. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should install and use Reader® or Acrobat®.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator

- ⌘ e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.ca
- ⌘ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ⌘ fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department

- ⌘ 604.714.4582
- ⌘ e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org.

Disclaimer

The authors of this publication have worked independently and opinions expressed by them are, therefore, their own, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, trustees, or other staff of the Fraser Institute. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favor of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

Copyright

Copyright© 2011 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSNs

1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)

1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Printed and bound in Canada.

Date of issue

May 2011

Editing

Lindsey Thomas Martin

Typesetting

Nick Murphy

Cover design

Bill Ray

Images for cover

Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock

Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock

Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock

Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock

8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahooohooba; iStock

Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- ⌘ Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ⌘ telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- ⌘ e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org.

About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals. Founded in 1974, we are an independent, Canadian research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 70 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of individuals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

Nuestra visión es un mundo libre y próspero donde los individuos se beneficien de una mayor oferta, la competencia en los mercados y la responsabilidad individual. Nuestra misión es medir, estudiar y comunicar el impacto de la competencia en los mercados y la intervención gubernamental en el bienestar de los individuos.

Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Armen Alchian

Prof. James Gwartney

Prof. Terry Anderson

Prof. H.G. Johnson*

Prof. Robert Barro

Prof. Ronald W. Jones

Prof. Michael Bliss

Dr. Jerry Jordan

Prof. James M. Buchanan†

Prof. Ross McKitrick

Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi

Prof. Michael Parkin

Prof. John Chant

Prof. F.G. Pennance*

Prof. Bev Dahlby

Prof. Friedrich Schneider

Prof. Erwin Diewert

Prof. L.B. Smith

Prof. Stephen Easton

Prof. George Stigler*†

Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery

Mr. Vito Tanzi

Prof. Jack L. Granatstein

Sir Alan Walters

Prof. Herbert G. Grubel

Prof. Edwin G. West*

Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek*†

* deceased; † Nobel Laureate